

# Three reasons why disinformation is so pervasive and what we can do about it

August 12 2022, by Mathieu O'Neil and Michael Jensen

---



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Donald Trump derided any critical news coverage as "fake news" and his unwillingness to concede the 2020 presidential election eventually led to the January 6, 2021 riot at the U.S. Capitol.

For years, radio host Alex Jones denounced the parents of children slaughtered in the Sandy Hook school shooting in Newton, Connecticut as "crisis actors." On August 5, 2022, he was ordered by a jury to pay [more than US\\$49 million in damages to two families for defamation](#).

These are in no way isolated efforts to flood the world's media with dishonest information or malicious content. Governments, organizations and individuals are spreading [disinformation](#) for profit or to gain a strategic advantage.

But why is there so much disinformation? And what can we do to protect ourselves?

## **Three far-reaching reasons**

Three schools of thought have emerged to address this issue. The first suggests disinformation is so pervasive because [distrust of traditional sources of authority](#), including the [news media](#), keeps increasing. When people think the [mainstream media](#) is not holding industries and governments to account, they may be more likely to accept information that challenges conventional beliefs.

Second, social media platforms' focus on engagement often leads them to [promote shocking claims](#) that generate outrage, regardless of whether these claims are true. Indeed studies show false information on social media spreads [further, faster and deeper](#) than true information, because it is more novel and surprising.

Last, the role of hostile and deliberate disinformation tactics cannot be overlooked. Facebook estimates that during the 2016 U.S. election, malicious content from the Russian [Internet Research Agency](#) aimed at creating division within the American voting public reached 126 million people in the U.S. and worldwide.

## The many shades of disinformation

This crisis of information is usually framed in terms of the diffusion of [false information](#) either intentionally (disinformation) or unwittingly (misinformation). However this approach misses significant forms of propaganda, including techniques honed during the Cold War.

Most [Russian influence efforts on Twitter](#) did not involve communicating content that was "demonstrably false." Instead, subtle, subversive examples of propaganda were common and unrelenting, including calling for the removal of American officials, purchasing divisive ads, and coordinating real life protests.

Sadly even misinformation spread unwittingly can have tragic consequences. In 2020, following Donald Trump's false claims that hydroxychloroquine showed "very encouraging results" against COVID-19 rapidly spread over social media, [several people in Nigeria died from overdoses](#).

## Responses to propaganda and disinformation

So how have various entities addressed both mis- and disinformation?

The Jones jury case and verdict is one example of how societies can counter disinformation. Being hauled into court and forced by a jury of your peers to shell out \$49 million in damages would cause most people to verify what they're saying before they say it.

Governments and corporations have also taken significant steps to mitigate disinformation. In the wake of the 2022 Russian invasion of Ukraine, [the EU ceased retransmitting Russia Today](#), the well-known Russian state-controlled television network, and it is now no longer

available in Europe or in Africa.

The [EUvsDisinfo](#) project has countered Russian propaganda and addressed "the Russian Federation's ongoing disinformation campaigns affecting the European Union, its Member States, and countries in the shared neighborhood" since 2015. In 2022 Google followed suit, launching its [Russia-Ukraine Conflict Misinfo Dashboard](#), which lists dubious claims related to the invasion and fact-checks their veracity.

## **Wikipedia as anti-propaganda?**

Ordinary citizens have several avenues to counter disinformation as well. Information literacy is typically framed as an individual responsibility, but Swedish scholars [Jutta Haider and Olof Sundin](#) point out that "a shared sense of truth requires societal trust, especially institutional trust, at least as an anticipated ideal."

How can we re-create a common sense of truth? Wikipedia—the freely accessible online encyclopedia where knowledge is collectively produced—is a good place to start.

Wikipedia has community-enforced policies on [neutrality](#) and [verifiability](#). Anyone can edit a Wikipedia page, but countless administrators, users and automated type-setting "bots" ensure these edits are as correct as possible. Modifications and disputes about article content are archived on the website and visible to all: the editorial process is transparent. With the possible exception of obscure topics where very few editors are involved, misinformation is weeded out fast.

## **Education is key**

As information consumers, some important steps we can take to protect

ourselves from disinformation include seeking out and reading a wide variety of sources and not sharing dubious content. Schools are doing their part to spread this message.

Notable initiatives in Australia include Camberwell Grammar School in Canterbury, Victoria where teachers have drawn on resources produced by [ABC Education](#) to teach their students how to identify credible news sources. And a University of Canberra pilot program using Stanford University's "[lateral reading](#)" principle is being trialed in three primary and secondary ACT schools this year. The program instructs participants to open another tab and check Wikipedia if they come across any unknown or dubious claims. If the claim is not verifiable, move on.

Such information education needs to be complemented with an awareness of democratic norms and values. And it should also incorporate a better understanding of the importance of privacy: the more we share about ourselves, the more likely we are to be targeted by disinformation campaigns.

Though disinformation may continue and even prosper in certain corners, our best lines of defense are ensuring we read information from multiple, credible sources; utilize fact-checking services; and are more discerning about what we read and share.

To put it simply, don't feed the trolls—or the platforms where they thrive.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Three reasons why disinformation is so pervasive and what we can do about it (2022, August 12) retrieved 19 September 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2022-08-disinformation-pervasive.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.