Organizations led by more greedy CEOs—that is, CEOs that are driven by the pursuit of excessive or extraordinary material wealth—before the global financial crisis of 2008 suffered more severe consequences of that systemic shock. That is one of the main conclusions by a team of organization scientists from Antwerp and Tilburg based on a recent study that will be published in the *Journal of Management*.

The authors used a sample of 301 CEOs of large, publicly traded, US organizations to study greed among CEOs and its implications on corporate social responsibility (CSR). The researchers also investigated how CEO greed and (the lack of) CSR affected these organizations' resilience to the 2008 global financial crisis.

**Societal interests versus self-interest**

In essence, CSR is about finding a balance between the interests of the organization (and CEO) and those of the other stakeholders, such as employees and customers, but also society at large. Organizations usually see investing in CSR as a strategy that might be costly in the short term, but will pay off in the long term, as tending for stakeholders' interest will increase these stakeholders' engagement to the organization. Greed is related to an excessive form of *self-interest*, which explains the researchers' finding that more greedy CEOs invested less in CSR. This *negative effect* became even stronger when it coincided with compensation policies that encouraged short-term financial results (bonuses).

**Vulnerable**

The researchers also found that CEO greed and the lack of *stakeholder* engagement (because of not investing in CSR) made organizations more vulnerable to external shocks, such as the *global financial crisis* of 2008. As a result of a lack of support from stakeholders, as well as the depletion of resources and internal buffers, organizations led by more greedy CEOs took longer to recover from the crisis, and get their share prices back the level of before the *crisis*.
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