

## **Clearing up a supermassive (black hole) confusion**

April 30 2020



The Mercator telescope on La Palma, Spain. Image credit: Péter I. Pápics

Black holes are among the most enigmatic objects in our universe. These mysterious celestial bodies do not emit any light of their own and are thus incredibly difficult to spot. In fact, one can only detect black holes



based on the effects that they have on their surroundings. Black-holes come in various flavors and sizes, from 'small' stellar-mass black holes to supermassive black holes found in the center of galaxies. Stellar-mass black holes are the final remnants of massive stars, born more than 20 to 30 times the mass of our Sun and should only form in certain mass ranges according to current theory. In this context, the claimed discovery, published in the distinguished journal *Nature* in November 2019, of a black hole 70 times more massive than our Sun caught the attention of the astronomical community.

The system in question, LS V +22 25 or LB-1 in short, was claimed to be a double-star system consisting of an 8 solar mass star and a 70 solar mass black hole that orbit around one another in just 80 days, very much the same way as planets orbit around stars. The data used in the original study showed two spectral signatures that moved in different ways: one clear signature belonging to the star and another, more subtle, that was interpreted as belonging to material around the black hole, thus tracing its orbital motion. Based on the motion of these two signatures, the original authors reached their controversial conclusion.

"A stellar black hole this massive challenges everything we know about massive star evolution," says Michael Abdul-Masih, a Ph.D. student from the KU Leuven Institute of Astronomy in Belgium. "Theory tells us that in this mass range, when a star dies it should completely annihilate itself without leaving anything behind, and certainly not such a massive black hole."

The interpretation of the second signature has since come under scrutiny. Using higher-resolution data from the Flemish-funded Mercator Telescope on the island of La Palma (Spain), the KU Leuven team ran several simulations and concluded that the original interpretation of the system was in fact incorrect.



"As we examined the available data more carefully, we began to realize that something didn't seem quite right" explains Michael Abdul-Masih. "The second signature did not behave as we expected it to. This is when I realized that maybe this second signature is not moving at all, but only appears to do so because of the movement of the star." "It is a little like the fake impression of moving you get while sitting in a train and the train next to you starts moving while you are not.", explains Prof. Hugues Sana of KU Leuven further.

The team quickly tested this interpretation and found that it indeed was able to reproduce the observations without the need of such a massive black hole in the system.

"It was quite exciting when we first saw the results. The simulations matched the observations perfectly and we were able to prove that LB-1 does not contain a 70 solar mass black hole as originally thought," concludes Julia Bodensteiner, another Ph.D. student in the team of Prof. Sana.

The findings of Ph.D. student Abdul-Masih appear in the prestigious journal *Nature* this week and solve the riddle posed by the claimed presence of a massive black-hole in LB1. Even though astronomers can breathe a sigh of relief that LB-1 does not violate stellar evolution theory, this system is indeed remarkable and will surely be the subject of additional studies in the future.

**More information:** Jifeng Liu et al. A wide star–black-hole binary system from radial-velocity measurements, *Nature* (2019). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-019-1766-2

Michael Abdul-Masih et al. On the signature of a 70-solar-mass black hole in LB-1, *Nature* (2020). DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2216-x



## Provided by KU Leuven

Citation: Clearing up a supermassive (black hole) confusion (2020, April 30) retrieved 19 September 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2020-04-supermassive-black-hole.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.