

The future of the US workforce will rely on AI, but don't count human workers out just yet

24 October 2019, by Katherine Newman

Artificial intelligence has replaced [many skills in recent years](#) – including [the skills needed to do some human jobs](#).

The tech revolution has [not gone unnoticed](#) by American workers. A 2018 Gallup poll revealed that [70% of Americans believe](#) AI will eliminate more jobs than it creates.

[Democratic presidential candidate](#) Andrew Yang [has sounded the alarm](#), raising the prospect that millions are at risk for long-term joblessness.

I'm [an expert on labor markets](#) in the U.S., and I believe that AI will undoubtedly change the future of U.S. labor—but Yang is also exaggerating the impact AI will have on the workforce.

The solution to job loss sparked by automation lies less in [Yang's guaranteed income proposal](#), and more in [reskilling](#) the labor force, a process that would involve educating workers to do the jobs a more automated economy will require.

Growing industries

MIT economist [Frank Levy](#) has noted that [AI generally automates parts of occupations](#) rather than the whole job.

For example, people process information at work, while computers can only execute instructions. Even jobs that have shrunk because of automation include components that require human judgment.

This is why there are still occupations like customer service agents, [even as automated processes have learned to handle](#) many routine transactions.

[Predictive models](#), which lie at the heart of AI, are

never right all the time. Incidents that fall outside the boundaries of ordinary or routine decisions—for example, [legal cases](#) – have to be handled by people who can make complex decisions.

This means that [low-skill jobs](#), the ones that can truly be replaced because they follow the same routines, [are likely to disappear](#), while middle- and high-skill jobs are likely to grow.

But the results are not always easy to predict. For example, many bank tellers have been [replaced by ATMs](#). But this development has lowered the cost of running a bank branch so profoundly that banks are sprouting on every corner in most major cities.

As a result of these two countervailing forces, the number of tellers [has actually stayed roughly constant](#) since the invention of the ATM, mainly because they were needed to service the nonroutine aspects of customer service in a growing number of branches.

Who's at risk?

Economists who study AI often underplay the gravity of the [jobs](#) losses it will create because they tend to look at the big picture.

Overall, new technology usually increases the demand for labor because it spurs growth. But for single-industry regions like [Detroit with its auto factories](#) or [Appalachia with its coal mines](#), the big picture is little comfort.

Positive economic growth across the country – [reflected in national trends](#) – does not pay the bills for auto workers on the assembly line who have been replaced by robots. If they haven't had the opportunity to become an expert in robot repair, they may have a hard time.

Yang—who [believes that reskilling does not work](#) – necessitates a [federal and state investment](#) as well as [the government should give every American US\\$1,000 a month](#) so that they have time to ponder the right path.

[Another presidential candidate](#), Sen. Elizabeth Warren, argues that [Yang's plan is a form of social security](#), and I believe she's right. Giving people money [works well](#) for [those who can no longer manage to work](#), but isn't the right solution for people who need to remain in the workforce.

Instead, U.S. officials need to build ladders to [middle-skill jobs](#) that are [growing rapidly](#). Institutes of higher education need to create certificates for technical courses so that current workers do not become obsolete.

Reskilling the workforce

Education is not an enterprise that should end after school.

Accommodating change in the labor market means continuous training. Educational institutions that complement classroom learning, which creates general skills, with shop floor experience, [which generates firm-specific skills](#), forge a valuable combination.

That's what the [Germans learned](#) decades ago when they created their "[dual education](#)" system that combines rigorous classroom training with apprenticeships on the shop floor.

That fusion has [produced the world's best-trained labor force in hundreds of occupations ranging from manufacturing to nursing](#). [Rigorous national examinations](#) in all those occupations certify just how much an apprentice knows and can do on the shop floor.

Although the U.S. pursued [a similar path during World War II](#), most companies later abandoned it, mainly because employers were not willing to commit the kind of resources in the form of in-house training that the [Germans willingly provide](#).

Investing in these forms of training will not happen just by giving people [an allowance](#). Reskilling

Reskilling is [not an inexpensive proposition](#). In 2010, the [federal government](#), states and municipalities [spent 7.2 billion euros on vocational education and training](#) in Germany.

But I see it as the only sensible solution to keep workers—whether new to the [labor force](#) or experienced and determined to avoid technical obsolescence—ahead of automation, productively employed in the industries of the future and able to take care of themselves.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the

[original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

APA citation: The future of the US workforce will rely on AI, but don't count human workers out just yet (2019, October 24) retrieved 28 September 2020 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-10-future-workforce-ai-dont-human.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.