

Are the Amazon fires a crime against humanity?

September 17 2019, by Tara Smith



Credit: CC0 Public Domain

Fires in the Brazilian Amazon have [jumped 84%](#) during President Jair Bolsonaro's first year in office and in July 2019 alone, an area of rainforest the [size of Manhattan](#) was lost every day. The Amazon fires may seem beyond human control, but they're not beyond human culpability.

Bolsonaro ran for president promising to "[integrate the Amazon](#) into the Brazilian economy." Once elected, he slashed the Brazilian

environmental protection agency budget by 95% and [relaxed safeguards for mining projects](#) on indigenous lands. Farmers cited their support for Bolsonaro's approach as they [set fires to clear rainforest](#) for cattle grazing.

Bolsonaro's vandalism will be most painful for the indigenous people who call the Amazon home. But destruction of the world's largest rainforest may [accelerate climate change](#) and so cause [further suffering](#) worldwide. For that reason, Brazil's former environment minister, Marina Silva, called the Amazon fires [a crime against humanity](#).

From a legal perspective, this might be a helpful way of prosecuting environmental destruction. Crimes against humanity are [international crimes](#), like genocide and war crimes, which are considered to harm both the immediate victims and humanity as a whole. As such, all of humankind has an interest in their punishment and deterrence.

Historical precedent

Crimes against humanity were first classified as an [international crime](#) during the Nuremberg trials that followed World War II. Two German Generals, [Alfred Jodl and Lothar Rendulic](#), were charged with war crimes for implementing scorched earth policies in Finland and Norway. No one was charged with crimes against humanity for causing the unprecedented environmental damage that scarred the post-war landscapes though.

Our understanding of the Earth's ecology has matured since then, yet so has our capacity to pollute and destroy. It's now clear that the consequences of environmental destruction don't stop at national borders. All humanity is placed in jeopardy when burning rainforests flood the atmosphere with CO₂ and exacerbate climate change.

Holding someone like Bolsonaro to account for this by charging him with crimes against humanity would be a world first. If successful, it could set a precedent which might stimulate more aggressive legal action against environmental crimes. But do the Amazon fires fit the criteria?

Prosecuting [crimes against humanity](#) requires proof of widespread and systematic attacks against a civilian population. If a specific part of the global population is persecuted, this is an affront to the global conscience. In the same way, domestic crimes are an affront to the population of the state in which they occur.

When prosecuting prominent Nazis in Nuremberg, the US chief prosecutor, Robert Jackson, [argued](#) that crimes against humanity are committed by individuals, not abstract entities. Only by holding individuals accountable for their actions can widespread atrocities be deterred in future.

The International Criminal Court's Chief Prosecutor, Fatou Bensouda, [has promised](#) to apply the approach first developed in Nuremberg to prosecute individuals for international crimes that result in significant environmental damage. Her recommendations don't create new environmental crimes, such as "[ecocide](#)", which would punish severe environmental damage as a [crime](#) in itself. They do signal, however, a growing appreciation of the role that environmental damage plays in causing harm and suffering to people.

The International Criminal Court was [asked](#) in 2014 to open an investigation into allegations of [land-grabbing by the Cambodian government](#). In Cambodia, large corporations and investment firms [were being given](#) prime agricultural land by the government, displacing up to [770,000](#) Cambodians from 4m hectares of land. Prosecuting these actions as crimes against humanity would be a positive first step towards holding individuals like Bolsonaro accountable.

But given the global consequences of the Amazon fires, could [environmental destruction](#) of this nature be legally considered a crime against all humanity? Defining it as such would be unprecedented. The same charge could apply to many politicians and business people. [It's been argued](#) that [oil and gas executives](#) who've [funded disinformation about climate change](#) for decades should be chief among them.

Charging individuals for environmental crimes against humanity could be an effective deterrent. But whether the law will develop in time to prosecute people like Bolsonaro is, as yet, uncertain. Until the International Criminal Court prosecutes individuals for crimes against humanity based on their environmental damage, holding individuals criminally accountable for climate change remains unlikely.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Are the Amazon fires a crime against humanity? (2019, September 17) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-09-amazon-crime-humanity.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.