

The Darling River is simply not supposed to dry out, even in drought

January 16 2019, by Fran Sheldon



Puddles in the bed of the Darling River are a sign of an ecosystem in crisis.

Credit: [Jeremy Buckingham/Flickr](#), [CC BY-SA](#)

The deaths of a million of fish in the lower Darling River system over the past few weeks should come as no surprise. Quite apart from specific

warnings given to the NSW government [by their own specialists](#) in 2013, scientists have been warning of devastation [since the 1990s](#).

Put simply, ecological evidence shows the Barwon-Darling River is not meant to dry out to disconnected pools – even during drought conditions. Water diversions have disrupted the natural balance of wetlands that support massive ecosystems.

Unless we allow flows to resume, we're in danger of seeing one of the worst environmental catastrophes in Australia.

Dryland river

The Barwon-Darling River is a "dryland river," which means it is [naturally prone](#) to periods of extensive low flow punctuated by periods of flooding.

However, the presence of certain iconic river animals within its channels tell us that a dry river bed is not normal for this system. The murray cod, dead versions of which have recently brought graziers to tears and politicians to retch, are the sentinels of permanent deep waterholes and river channels – you just [don't find them](#) in rivers that dry out regularly.

Less conspicuous is the large river mussel, [Alathyria jacksoni](#), an inhabitant of this system for thousands of years. Its shells are abundant in aboriginal middens along the banks. These invertebrates are unable to tolerate low flows and low oxygen, and while dead fish will float (for a while), shoals of river mussels are probably dead on the river bed.

This extensive drying event will cause regional extinction of a whole raft of riverine species and impact others, such as the [rakali](#). We are witnessing an ecosystem in collapse.

Catastrophic drying

We can see the effects of permanent drying around the world. The most famous example is the drying of the Aral Sea in Central Asia. Once the world's fourth largest inland lake, it was reduced to [less than 10% of its original volume](#) after years of [water](#) extraction for irrigation.

The visual results of this exploitation still shock: images of large fishing boats stranded in a sea of sand, abandoned fishing villages, and a vastly changed microclimate for the regions surrounding the now-dry seabed. Its draining has been described as "the world's worst environmental disaster."

So, what does the Aral Sea and its major tributaries and the Darling River system with its tributary rivers have in common? Quite a lot, actually. They both have limited access to the outside world: the Aral Sea basin has no outflow to the sea, and while the Darling River system connects to the River Murray at times of high flow, most of its water is held within a vast network of wetlands and floodplain channels. Both are semi-arid. More worryingly, both have more the 50% of their average inflows extracted for irrigation.



The basin that once held the Aral Sea. The giant lake has shrunk dramatically since dams were built around it in the 1960s. Credit: AAP Image/NASA Earth Observatory

There is one striking difference between them. The Aral Sea was a permanent inland lake and its disappearance was visually obvious. The

wetlands and floodplains of the Barwon-Darling are mostly ephemeral, and the extent of their drying is therefore hard to visualise.

All the main tributaries of the Darling River have floodplain wetland complexes in their lower reaches (such as the Gwydir Wetlands, Macquarie Marshes and Narran Lakes). When the rivers flow they absorb the water from upstream, filling before releasing water downstream to the next wetland complex; the wetlands acting like a [series of tipping buckets](#). Regular river flows are essential for these sponge-like wetlands.

So, how has this hydrological harmony of regular flows and fill-and-spill wetlands changed? And how does this relate to the massive fish kills we are seeing in the lower Darling system?

While high flows will still make it through the Barwon-Darling, filling the floodplains and wetlands, and connecting to the River Murray, the low and medium flow events have disappeared. Instead, these are captured in the upper sections of the basin in artificial water storages and used in irrigation.

This has essentially dried the wetlands and floodplains at the ends of the tributaries. Any water not diverted for irrigation is now absorbed by the continually parched upstream wetlands, leaving the lower reaches vulnerable when drought hits.



An orphaned ship in former Aral Sea, near Aral, Kazakhstan. Credit: [Wikipedia](#)

By continually keeping the Barwon-Darling in a state of low (or no) [flow](#), with its natural [wetlands](#) dry, we have reduced its ability to cope with extended drought.

While droughts are a natural part of this system and its river animals have adapted, they can't adjust to continual high water caused in some areas by water diversions – and they certainly can't survive long-term drying.

The Basin Plan has come some way in restoring some flows to the Barwon-Darling, but unless we find a way to restore more of the low and medium flows to this system we are likely witnessing Australia's worst environmental disaster.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The Darling River is simply not supposed to dry out, even in drought (2019, January 16) retrieved 19 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2019-01-darling-river-simply-drought.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.