

The public health benefits of adding offshore wind to the grid

June 19 2018, by Jonathan Buonocore



Offshore wind turbine near Scotland. Credit: U.S. Department of the Interior

New plans to build two commercial offshore wind farms near the Massachusetts and Rhode Island coasts have sparked a lot of [discussion](#) about the [vast potential of this previously untapped source of electricity](#).

But as [an environmental health and climate researcher](#), I'm intrigued by how this gust of [offshore wind power](#) may improve [public health](#). Replacing fossil fuels with [wind and solar energy](#), research shows, can [reduce risks of asthma, hospitalizations and heart attacks](#). In turn, that can save lives.

So my colleagues and I calculated the health impact of generating electricity through [offshore wind turbines](#) – which until now the U.S. has barely begun to do.

Greening the grid

New England gets almost none of its electricity from burning coal and more than three-quarters of it from burning [natural gas and operating nuclear reactors](#). The rest is from hydropower and from renewable energy, including wind and solar power and the burning of wood and refuse.


The health benefits of moving to wind power would be significant, particularly for regions that rely more heavily on coal and oil to generate electricity.

Replacing coal and oil with offshore wind will reduce emissions of air pollutants like [fine particulate matter, nitrogen oxide and sulfur dioxide](#). These pollutants can form smog, soot and ozone. When people downwind are exposed to them, they [can develop incapacitating and deadly diseases](#).

New England's sources of electricity

New England gets more than three-quarters of its power from nuclear energy and natural gas and nearly nothing from coal. But wind and solar energy are ticking up.

■ Oil ■ Other sources ■ Coal ■ Wind ■ Solar ■ Hydroelectric ■ Biomass ■ Nuclear
 ■ Natural Gas



Credit: The Conversation

Saving 13 lives a year

When my colleagues and I studied what would happen if offshore wind farms were installed off the Mid-Atlantic coast, we determined that they would bring about health and climate benefits.

We projected that a 1,100-megawatt wind farm off the coast of New Jersey, a bit smaller than the two approved [offshore wind farms](#), would save around [13 lives per year](#).

When connected to the grid, this new source of power would make carbon emissions decline by [around 2.2 million tons every year](#), the [equivalent to taking over 400,000 cars off the road](#).

Offshore wind faces a number of technical and economic hurdles, including installation of transmission lines. But at least theoretically, this form of [renewable energy](#) could generate enough electricity to supply [all the electricity the U.S. consumes and then some](#), according to the Energy Department. Members of the Trump administration, including Interior Secretary [Ryan Zinke](#), appear to support offshore wind.

While it may not be possible, practical or necessary to build offshore wind everywhere, even replacing a small portion of the nation's fossil-fueled [electricity](#) will be good for everyone's health.

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The public health benefits of adding offshore wind to the grid (2018, June 19) retrieved 23 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-06-health-benefits-adding-offshore-grid.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.