


Where hominid brains are concerned, size doesn't matter

14 May 2018




Homo naledi endocranium (top) with a curvature map (bottom) highlighting the sulci that are visible. The frontal of *naledi*'s brain looked very humanlike despite its small size. Credit: Heather Garvin

The recently discovered species *Homo naledi* may have had a pint-sized brain, but that brain packed a big punch. New research by Ralph Holloway and colleagues—that include researchers from the University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa—published in the *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* examines the imprints of the brain upon the skulls of this species, called endocrasts. The research highlights the human-like shape of *naledi*'s tiny brain, surprising scientists who studied the fossils. These findings draw further into question the long-held belief that human evolution was an inevitable march towards bigger, more complex brains.

The discovery of *Homo naledi* by Professor Lee Berger of Wits University and his team at the Rising Star caves in the Cradle of Human Kind in 2013 was one of the largest hominin discoveries ever made and hailed as one of the most significant hominid discoveries of the 21st Century. Berger and Professor John Hawkes who was also part of the original Rising Star team who made the

naledi discovery, as well as Professor Heather Garvin from Des Moines University in the US, are associated with the Evolutionary Studies Institute (ESI), based at Wits University. They are all co-authors of the current study.

In 2017, geologists demonstrated that this species existed in southern Africa between 236,000 and 335,000 years ago—potentially the same time that modern humans first emerged in Africa. This is a puzzle to scientists, who long held that there was only one species in Africa at this late time period—*Homo sapiens*. How did this species exist alongside others with brains three times its size? The new study suggests that *naledi*'s behavior may have reflected the shape and structure of the brain more than its size.



The skulls of *Homo naledi* bear traces on their inside surfaces of the shape of *naledi*'s brain. Only a third the size of human brains, they nonetheless had some surprisingly humanlike features. Credit: John Hawks

The researchers pieced together traces of *Homo naledi*'s brain shape from an extraordinary collection of skull fragments and partial crania, from at least five adult individuals. One of these bore a very clear imprint of the convolutions on the surface of the brain's left frontal lobe. "This is the skull I've

been waiting for my whole career," said lead author Ralph Holloway, of Columbia University.


The anatomy of naledi's frontal lobe was similar to humans, and very different from great apes. Naledi wasn't alone. Other members of our genus, from *Homo erectus* to *Homo habilis* and the small-brained "hobbits," *Homo floresiensis*, also share features of the [frontal lobe](#) with living humans. But earlier human relatives, like *Australopithecus africanus*, had a much more apelike shape in this part of the brain, suggesting that functional changes in this brain region emerged with *Homo*. "It's too soon to speculate about language or communication in *Homo naledi*," said coauthor Shawn Hurst, "but today, human language relies upon this brain region."

The back of the brain also showed humanlike changes in naledi compared to more primitive hominins like *Australopithecus*. Human brains are usually asymmetrical, with the left brain displaced forward relative to the right. The team found signs of this asymmetry in one of the most complete naledi skull fragments. They also found hints that the visual area of the brain, in the back of the cortex, was relatively smaller in naledi than in chimpanzees—another humanlike trait.

Big brains were costly to human ancestors, and some species may have paid the costs with richer diets, hunting and gathering, and longer childhoods. But that scenario doesn't seem to work well for *Homo naledi*, which had hands well-suited for toolmaking, long legs, humanlike feet, and teeth suggesting a high-quality diet. According to study coauthor John Hawks, "Naledi's brain seems like one you might predict for *Homo habilis*, two million years ago. But *habilis* didn't have such a tiny brain—naledi did."

A humanlike brain organisation might mean that naledi shared some behaviours with humans despite having a much smaller brain size. Lee Berger, a co-author on the paper, suggests that the recognition of naledi's small but complex [brain](#) will also have a significant impact on the study of African archaeology. "Archaeologists have been too quick to assume that complex stone tool industries were made by modern humans. With naledi being found in southern Africa, at the same time and place that the Middle Stone Age industry emerged, maybe we've had the story wrong the whole time."

More information: Ralph L. Holloway et al., "Endocast morphology of *Homo naledi* from the Dinaledi Chamber, South Africa," *PNAS* (2018). www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1720842115



Provided by Wits University

Evidence about the brain shape of *Homo naledi* comes from many partial skulls. The team used virtual methods to understand how naledi's brain fits into the big picture. Credit: Heather Garvin

The small brains of *Homo naledi* raise new questions about the evolution of human brain size.

APA citation: Where hominid brains are concerned, size doesn't matter (2018, May 14) retrieved 24 June 2019 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-05-hominid-brains-size-doesnt.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.