

Big strides in the push for affordable, effective antivenoms

February 5 2018, by Andreas Hougaard Laustsen And Timothy Patrick Jenkins



Credit: AI-generated image ([disclaimer](#))

For city dwellers, especially those in the developed world, the idea of being bitten by a venomous snake seems outlandish. But it is a daily and very real risk for millions around the world – and that includes many people living in African countries.

Over one million snakebites occur annually in sub-Saharan Africa alone. These cause over 20 000 deaths and leave 60 000 people permanently [disabled or disfigured](#). So approximately every hour in the region 115 people are bitten, seven suffer permanent damage, [and three die](#).

Snakebite can cause a variety of clinical symptoms. These include paralysis, necrosis and bleeding, among others. Snake venoms contain a myriad of highly diverse toxins that manifest in very different ways clinically. This means there's no single "magic bullet" treatment. Even so, there's no doubt that antivenoms are crucial in the fight against snakebites.

The World Health Organisation (WHO) is spearheading a global effort to get effective and affordable antivenoms to parts of the world that really need them. It is doing this in several ways, including through strict pre-testing for antivenoms whose manufacturers want to release them commercially.

Such coordinated international efforts may be key to improving the life expectancy and health of many [snakebite victims](#).

The impact of bad antivenoms

The only specific treatment for snakebite envenoming (the injection of venom by a snake) is to intravenously administer [antivenom](#) to a victim. Antivenoms are made by immunising a larger animal, like a horse, with increasing doses of snake venom. This triggers a reaction in the animal's immune system, increasing the production of specific antibodies against the venom toxins. The antibodies are then extracted from the horse's plasma and formulated into the final antivenom product.

Because snake venom differs from snake to snake, even within the same species, the neutralising ability of an antivenom must be carefully

assessed before a fresh batch can be released and used on patients.

Until recently there was no external process or committee established to assess antivenom manufacturers' preclinical testing. This was because these processes required a major investment of time and money. The result has been that not all antivenom products have lived up to expectations while in some cases they haven't met some regions' actual therapeutic needs. In sub-Saharan Africa, for instance, [low quality antivenoms](#) have been on sale.

This has been a major problem because certain antivenoms had little or no therapeutic value and can even cause harm, such as triggering allergic reactions and serum sickness. A case in point was the decision taken by Ghana's health ministry to switch from using [Fav-Afrique](#) – one of sub-Saharan Africa's most effective snakebite antivenoms – to the cheaper antivenom AsnaAntivenomC in 2004. This led to a [10-fold increase](#) in mortality (from 2% to 12%) because the new antivenom was inefficient.

[In Chad](#), the use of inefficient antivenom drove the snakebite death rate up to 15%.

Fixing the problem

News that Fav-Afrique was set to expire in 2016 caused dismay among public health experts and advocates, and received a great deal of media coverage. The WHO decided to step in.

In December 2015 it implemented a [pre-qualification scheme](#) for antivenoms. This scheme involves antivenom manufacturers assessing all aspects of their anti-venom production before submitting a dossier to the WHO, which then assesses if the antivenom lives up to the required standards.

Each production facility and manufactured antivenom is assessed by the WHO. Antivenoms with a favourable risk–benefit ratio are then entered into a list of [prequalified antivenoms](#) on the WHO website.

This list can easily be accessed by procurement agencies. To date, 90 antivenoms from 45 different manufactures have been listed publicly on the site.

This is an important step because it offers a database from which organisations such as Doctors Without Borders and national health ministries can make educated choices about which antivenom would be the most relevant, safest and most economical.

There is precedent for schemes like this. In 2001, the WHO launched a prequalification scheme for AIDS medicines. This has been [hugely successful](#) suggesting that the antivenom prequalification scheme could make a real difference to millions of snakebite victims.

A step further

In 2017 the WHO took its attention to snakebite a step further: it re-added snakebite envenoming to its [list](#) of neglected tropical diseases. It is expected that this will add impetus to antivenom development and boost the likelihood of investor funding for snakebite prevention and treatment access initiatives.

For example the Ministry of Health in Kenya is developing local guidelines on snakebite management and plans to engage local and international donor health agencies.

The WHO has recently established a working group on snakebite envenoming that aims to develop a strategy for prevention and [treatment of snakebite](#). Finally, snakebite is on the World Health Assembly's

agenda for the first time this year, receiving support from the [Kofi Annan Foundation](#).

These are all developments worth celebrating. But it is important to continue pushing so that more can be achieved and [snakebite](#) deaths can, ultimately, become a thing of the past.

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Big strides in the push for affordable, effective antivenoms (2018, February 5)
retrieved 20 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2018-02-big-effective-antivenoms.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.