

Inequality and dreams of higher ed

13 June 2017, by Daphna Oyserman And Neil Lewis Jr.



Earning a degree has both personal and societal benefits. Credit: COD Newsroom/flickr, CC BY

The cost of college may be [on the rise](#), but most still agree that it's [a sound investment](#). There are, in fact, a number of [personal](#) and [societal](#) benefits associated with getting a bachelor's degree and, it seems, people know that: Over [90 percent](#) of Americans – across all races and socioeconomic statuses – aspire to attend college.

Despite these [aspirations](#), only about [a third of Americans](#) currently hold bachelor's degrees. And the gap between those who aspire to go to college and those who actually achieve a degree [is much larger](#) for students from some backgrounds than for others.

As a team of social scientists from the University of Southern California and University of Michigan, we had a question: Why are the gaps between aspiration and attainment wider for some groups of students? And can we reduce that gap?

College is a resource: More is better

Having a bachelor's degree is associated with significantly [higher average lifetime earnings](#), [longer life](#) and [better health](#). Bachelor's degree

holders are [more likely to get married and less likely to get divorced](#).

College graduates are also good for communities and societies. Neighborhoods with college-educated parents [have better schools](#), as college-educated people contribute more to the tax base. Local and national economies are better off with [more educated workers](#). Countries with a more educated population have [stronger democracies](#) and more [civic participation](#).

Aspirations matter, but aren't enough

Unsurprisingly, there's a strong link between aspiring to go to college and actually attending. And needless to say, people who don't aspire... rarely go to college. But aspirations aren't enough; [many who hope to earn a degree never start college, and fewer still actually graduate](#).

To better understand why, we conducted [a systematic review](#) of relevant studies from psychology, economics, sociology and public policy. We found that high aspirations are pretty evenly spread across the U.S.: Most Americans, regardless of demographics, want to go to college. But achievement of those aspirations is not evenly spread: The odds of graduating college heavily favor students with educated, wealthy parents.

Think of [family](#) income, wealth and education as resources that a [student](#) can use to attain academic success. These resources are like rungs on a social ladder. And, as it turns out, a family's position in this social hierarchy matters for their children's academic outcomes – no matter what racial or ethnic background their family has. Although [80 to 100 percent of students](#) (depending on the study) aspire to go to college, only [63 percent](#) of students from low- and middle-income families enroll in college, compared to [83 percent](#) of students from high-income families.

There also seems to be a correlation between race-ethnicity and going to college. People from all racial-

ethnic backgrounds are as likely to start college. What differs is their [likelihood of completion](#).

Why might this be? One difference is their families, who are less likely to be near the top of the social hierarchy. Some of this is wealth – the average wealth of white and black families, for instance, [differs by a factor of 14](#). But Latino, African-American and Native American children are also more likely to be from [low-income, low-education families](#), which places them at the bottom of the social hierarchy.

In fact, some studies show that once social hierarchy is taken into account, racial-ethnic minority and white students are [equally likely to be enrolled](#) in 2- or 4-year colleges.

What do the upper rungs get you?

According to a recent study, 38 colleges have [more students from the top 1 percent](#) of the nation's income distribution than the bottom 60 percent.

Some may find this unsettling. Why does it seem like students born on the upper rungs stay there, while everyone else doesn't even get the chance to move up? This lack of mobility may have to do with the benefits of family wealth.

So what does money buy? One thing is better schools before college. Even though states attempt to equalize funding, much of a [public school's money comes from local taxes](#). That means that students from wealthier families have access to better-resourced schools, which often includes [better support in applying for college](#).

But [social hierarchy](#) is not just about material resources. Rather, our research suggests that certain environments shape student motivation:

- Growing up in a resource-rich [school](#) or neighborhood makes it more likely that things like homework and studying – even going to school at all – feel like things that "people like me" do. School is more likely to seem like a part of who I am.
- Surroundings can bolster a student's belief that his or her actions and effort make a

difference: What I do now will actually impact my future; getting good grades will get me into college.

- Family, friends, neighbors and teachers can reinforce a "no pain, no gain" perspective. Students understand that difficulty signals importance – that important things like getting into college are achieved by engaging with difficulties.

In contrast, in modern America, students at lower rungs are often segregated into resource-poor homes, neighborhoods and schools. These environments can unintentionally reinforce an alternative perspective: that difficulty signals low odds or even impossibility. If something is hard, that means I can't do it and I should shift my effort to something else. When triggered, this perspective can mean that "people like me" can't succeed.

Children of the wealthy, on the other hand, tend to go to schools and attend activities that bolster them academically and motivationally.

Make climbing a real possibility for everyone

For the economy to grow, [more people need to attain college degrees](#) beyond the [one-third currently graduating](#). What's more, to protect American values of fairness and equal opportunity, climbing the ladder should be equally possible for all students.

But right now, there are many impediments. Our research shows that those on lower rungs of the social ladder are often not sure how to get going and how to keep moving up. They may not be sure that college is really a "me" thing to do. They may not have the resources to know how to get going. When schoolwork is hard, they may think it's a signal that they're just not cut out for it.

What can be done? We believe policymakers should understand that the problem is not low aspirations but low support compounded by economic segregation. Policy should focus on providing a clearer road map to college and college graduation. This means providing public support for programs – after school, in school, and weekends – that help give all children the support and

motivation they need to attain their [college](#) dreams.

This article was originally published on [The Conversation](#). Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

APA citation: Inequality and dreams of higher ed (2017, June 13) retrieved 20 September 2019 from <https://phys.org/news/2017-06-inequality-higher-ed.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.