

# Reducing debris threat from satellite batteries

14 March 2014



So far, about 200 explosions and at least 5 collisions in space have occurred. Further explosions and collisions are very likely. The explosions are mainly caused by onboard energy sources, either due to pressure build-up in propellant tanks, battery explosions, or the ignition of hypergolic fuels. Each explosion creates thousands of small debris objects. The most prominent collision event and the first known one between two catalog objects was in 1996 between the Cerise Satellite and a fragment of an Ariane upper stage explosion. Credit: ESA

(Phys.org) —Across a satellite's working life, batteries keep the craft's heart beating whenever it leaves sunlight. But after its mission ends, those same batteries may threaten catastrophe.

Space debris mitigation rules require the complete deactivation of electrical power sources aboard a satellite on retirement, in order to guard against explosive accidents that might produce fresh debris dangerous to other satellites.

Now a new study by ESA's Clean Space initiative – tasked with reducing the space industry's environmental impacts on both Earth and space – aims to evaluate battery behaviour after a satellite shuts down, assessing the risk of breakup and

ensuring full 'passivation'.

Batteries are among a satellite's bulkier items of equipment. Typically, they feed their host with power during launch. Once in orbit, it switches to power from its solar arrays, but the battery is an important backup to store power for eclipses and emergencies.

To reach the high reliability and performance a satellite demands, extending across many months or years, batteries are carefully designed and extensively tested in advance of launch.

By contrast, their behaviour after their parent mission has been shut down remains a relative blind spot.

As a satellite drifts freely, could batteries endure the harsh environment of orbit – including wild temperature swings, degradation of thermal control and components as well as radiation exposure – without leakage or bursting?

Some past [satellite](#) breakups have been triggered by [battery](#) malfunctions, although mostly before the 1990s and involving older non-lithium designs.

This multidisciplinary study aims to ensure a fully inert [power](#) system at the end of a mission, perhaps through physical disconnection, while also preventing accidental premature passivation.

Provided by European Space Agency

APA citation: Reducing debris threat from satellite batteries (2014, March 14) retrieved 20 June 2021 from <https://phys.org/news/2014-03-debris-threat-satellite-batteries.html>

*This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.*