


AC or DC? Two newly described electric fish from the Amazon are wired differently

August 28 2013



This is an image of the two new species with their electric organ discharges (EODs). *Brachyhypopomus walteri* (top) has a longer, thinner tail and produces an EOD with both positive and negative phases. *Brachyhypopomus bennetti* (bottom) has a shorter, thicker tail and produces monophasic EODs. Credit: John P. Sullivan

Much as human siblings can have vastly different personalities despite their similar resemblance and genetics, two closely related species of electric fish from the Amazon produce very different electric signals. These species, new to science, are described in the open access journal *ZooKeys* by Drs. John Sullivan of Cornell University in Ithaca, New York, Jansen Zuanon of the National Amazonian Research Institute in Manaus, Brazil and Cristina Cox Fernandes of the University of Massachusetts, Amherst.

The two new species are bluntnose knifefish, genus *Brachyhypopomus*, that live under rafts of unrooted grasses and water hyacinth along the margins of the Amazon River called "floating meadows." These are weakly electric relatives of South America's famous electric "eel" (not a true eel) that can produce strong electric discharges of hundreds of volts. By contrast, these weakly fishes produce pulses of only a few hundred millivolts from an organ under the body that extends out onto a filamentous tail. Nearby objects in the water create distortions to the electric field that are sensed by [receptor cells](#) on the fishes' skin. In this way, they are able to "electrolocate" through their complex aquatic environment at night. Their short electric pulses, too weak to be sensed by touch, are also used to communicate the sender's species identity and gender to other electric fishes.

"The most striking differences between these two similar species have to do with their electric organs and their electric organ discharges, or EODs," says lead author John Sullivan, Curatorial Affiliate at the Cornell University Museum of Vertebrates. "If it weren't for these traits, we undoubtedly would have thought they were a single species. The one we are calling *Brachyhypopomus bennetti* has a huge electric organ, a short, fat tail, and produces a monophasic EOD; the other one that we're calling *Brachyhypopomus walteri* has a more typical electric organ, a long thin tail, and a more typical biphasic EOD."

It turns out the monophasic EOD of the new species *Brachyhypopomus bennetti* is highly unusual. Most species of this kind of knifefish produce EOD waveforms with both a positive and negative phase to them, as viewed on an oscilloscope: essentially alternating current. In this way, there is no net positive or negative current generated by the signal. "All of this fish's relatives, including its newly described sister species, have biphasic EODs," says Sullivan. "For that reason we know that this trait evolved in this species' lineage. The interesting question is why."

One widely accepted idea is that the biphasic EOD with its reduced amount of direct current (DC) is an adaptation to hide from predatory fish, like catfishes and electric eels, that are equipped with a type of electroreceptor that are sensitive to DC. So why would one species seemingly court danger by evolving a monophasic EOD?

The only other [electric fish](#) in the Amazon with a similar monophasic EOD is the fearsome electric eel. This fish has both a weak EOD used for electrolocation and communication as well as a much more powerful EOD used to stun prey and for defense. A theory proposed by Dr. Philip Stoddard of Florida International University contends that, in much the same way that the Viceroy butterfly—a species tasty to birds—evolved wing color patterns to mimic the distasteful Monarch butterfly, the harmless *B. bennetti*'s EOD waveform evolved to mimic that of the electric eel, a species electroreceptive predatory fishes may have learned to avoid.

In this paper, the authors suggest an additional possible benefit of *B. bennetti*'s monophasic EOD. Unlike biphasic species, *B. bennetti*'s EOD waveform is largely unaffected after their tails are partially bitten off by predators, a common type of injury in this species. They suggest that this species' preference for floating meadow habitat near river channels may put them at particularly high risk of predation and 'tail grazing' by other fishes.

The authors show that the EOD waveforms of *Brachyhypopomus* species with biphasic EODs are severely altered after such injuries, whereas those of *B. bennetti* are not. "Any change to the EOD waveform likely impairs electroreception and communication and the monophasic EOD waveform may have been favored by natural selection in a species that suffers a lot of tail injuries," says Sullivan. "Selection for both EOD stability and mimicry of electric eels could be going on simultaneously...both hypotheses make predictions that should be tested," said Sullivan.

More information: Sullivan JP, Zuanon J, Cox Fernandes C (2013) Two new species and a new subgenus of toothed *Brachyhypopomus* electric knifefishes (Gymnotiformes, Hypopomidae) from the central Amazon and considerations pertaining to the evolution of a monophasic electric organ discharge. *ZooKeys* 327: 1–34. [DOI: 10.3897/zookeys.327.5427](#)

Provided by Pensoft Publishers

Citation: AC or DC? Two newly described electric fish from the Amazon are wired differently (2013, August 28) retrieved 20 September 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2013-08-ac-dc-newly-electric-fish.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.