

Were ancient human migrations two-way streets?

6 June 2011



This undated handout photo provided by Reid Ferring of the University of North Texas shows the Dmanisi excavation site in the Republic of Georgia. The worldwide spread of ancient humans has long been depicted as flowing out of Africa, but tantalizing new evidence suggests it may have been a two-way street. A long-studied archaeological site in a mountainous region between Europe and Asia was occupied by early humans as long as 1.85 million years ago, much earlier than the previous estimate of 1.7 million years ago, researchers report in Tuesday's edition of Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences. (AP Photo)

The worldwide spread of ancient humans has long been depicted as flowing out of Africa, but tantalizing new evidence suggests it may have been a two-way street.

A long-studied archaeological site in a mountainous region between [Europe](#) and Asia was occupied by early humans as long as 1.85 [million years](#) ago, much earlier than the previous estimate of 1.7 million years ago, researchers report in

Tuesday's edition of [Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences](#).

Early human [Homo erectus](#) is known to have occupied the site at Dmanisi later. Discovering [stone tools](#) and materials from a much earlier date raises the possibility that Homo erectus evolved in Eurasia and might have migrated back to Africa, the researchers said - though much study is needed to confirm that idea.

"The accumulating evidence from Eurasia is demonstrating increasingly old and primitive populations," said Reid Ferring of the University of North Texas. Dmanisi is located in the Republic of Georgia.

"The recently discovered data show that Dmanisi was occupied at the same time as, if not before, the first appearance of Homo erectus in east Africa," the team led by Ferring and David Lordkipanidze of the Georgia National Museum reported. They uncovered more than 100 stone artifacts in deep layers at the site. Previously, fossil bones from a later period had been found at the site.

The new discovery shows that the Caucasus region was inhabited by a sustained population, not just transitory colonists. "We do not know as yet what the first occupants looked like, but the implication is that they were similar to, or possibly even more primitive than those represented by Dmanisi's fossils," Ferring explained.

The occupants of Dmanisi "are the first representatives of our own genus outside Africa, and they represent the most primitive population of the species Homo erectus known to date," added Lordkipanidze. The geographic origins of H. erectus are still unknown.

The early humans at Dmanisi "might be ancestral to all later H. erectus populations, which would suggest a Eurasian origin of H. erectus," said

Lordkipanidze. However, there's another theory as well: *H. erectus* originated in Africa, and the Dmanisi group might represent its first migration out of Africa.

Wil Roebroeks, a professor of archaeology at Leiden University in the Netherlands, said the new findings suggest a sustained regional population which had successfully adapted to the temperate environment of the southern Caucasus at about 1.8 million years ago.

He called it "an important observation for our views on the earliest colonization of Eurasia."

Roebroeks had suggested in a 2005 paper that Asia might have been a core area where *Homo erectus* emerged, evolving from an earlier, thus far unknown, pre-human.

But he stressed that's a hypothesis which will be tested in future studies. "Possible does not equate with observable, but the Dmanisi evidence has forced us to have a good fresh look at some of our basic assumptions," said Roebroeks.

Homo erectus, heavier, or more robust, than modern humans, and with characteristic brow ridges, is generally listed as having existed from about 1.8 million to 0.3 million years ago. It has some overlap with the earlier *Homo habilis* and was the first of the species to spread widely outside of Africa.

Not so sure of Ferring and Lordkipanidze's theory is Richard Potts, director of the human origins program at the Smithsonian's National Museum of Natural History.

"The new evidence at Dmanisi consists of stone tools, not fossil bones. So we don't really know who the toolmaker was in the time range of 1.85 to 1.77 million" years ago, he said. "(We) cannot know this for sure until fossils come from this older level."

Michael D. Petraglia, co-director of the Centre for Asian Archaeology, Art & Culture at England's University of Oxford, said the findings do show that early humans were present in Eurasia between 1.85 million and 1.78 million years ago.

"The stone tool evidence represents the oldest and best documented case for the presence of [early humans](#) in [Asia](#). This means that early forms of humans probably migrated out of Africa at or before 1.85 million years ago, or before, colonizing new regions of the world for the first time," he said.

But Petraglia added that he thinks the authors "are on less solid ground" with their suggestion that this early group may have migrated back to Africa.

More information: Ferring, R. , et al. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* [doi:10.1073/pnas.1106638108](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1106638108) (2011).

©2011 The Associated Press. All rights reserved. This material may not be published, broadcast, rewritten or redistributed.

APA citation: Were ancient human migrations two-way streets? (2011, June 6) retrieved 26 October 2021 from <https://phys.org/news/2011-06-ancient-human-migrations-two-way-streets.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.