

How a survey of over 2,000 women in the 1920s changed the way Americans thought about female sexuality

August 28 2024, by Anya Jabour



In the 1920s, many women became more comfortable in their skin. But the facts of life remained in short supply. Credit: George Grantham Bain Collection/Library of Congress

American women still have fewer orgasms than men, according to new research that suggests that decades after the sexual revolution, the "orgasm gap" is still very much in effect.



One of the study's lead authors at the <u>Kinsey Institute for Research in Sex, Gender, and Reproduction told the New York Times</u> that the gap persists because many Americans continue to "prioritize men's pleasure and undervalue women's <u>sexual pleasure</u>."

<u>As my research shows</u>, these attitudes toward sexual pleasure have a long history.

But so do efforts to push back against them.

Almost a century ago, a pioneering American sex researcher named Katharine Bement Davis challenged the prevailing view that respectable women did not—and should not—experience sexual desire or have sex, except to please men or to have children.

Davis's 1929 book, "<u>Factors in the Sex Life of Twenty-Two Hundred Women</u>," completely upended this thinking.

By surveying everyday American women, she was able to show that it was completely normal for American women to have sex for the sake of pleasure.

An unlikely advocate for sexual liberation

Davis spent the first half of her career policing women's sexuality, not promoting it.

In 1901, after earning her Ph.D. at the University of Chicago, Davis became superintendent of the New York State Reformatory for Women at Bedford Hills. While there, she studied the women in her care. Most female convicts, she concluded, were "immoral women."

Davis' efforts to enforce sexual morality drew the attention of



philanthropist John D. Rockefeller Jr. In 1917, he invited her to lead his private agency, the <u>Bureau of Social Hygiene</u>, founded to study and combat prostitution and venereal disease.

During World War I, Davis promoted <u>sex education to curb sexually</u> <u>transmitted infections</u> among soldiers and civilians. Through this work, she became convinced that sexual ignorance—not sexual immorality—posed the greatest danger to women's welfare.

Davis had long <u>criticized the sexual double standard</u>, which condoned men's sexual experimentation but condemned women's sexual experience.

Now, she also recognized that this double standard promoted women's chastity at the expense of knowledge. She complained that discussions of women's sexuality were "taboo," which resulted in "distorted views, baffled speculation, and unfortunate experiences."

Tackling a taboo topic

<u>Insisting</u> that Americans needed <u>accurate information</u> to achieve "a sane outlook on all matters pertaining to sex," Davis made it her mission to teach women about sex.

But first, she needed to learn about women's actual sexual experiences. Davis decided to undertake a large-scale study of what <u>she called</u> "the sex life of normal women."

Davis' approach was a dramatic departure from existing studies of "abnormal" sexuality focused on institutionalized populations. "Except on the pathological side," she remarked, "sex is scientifically an unexplored country."



By contrast, <u>Davis explained</u>, she wanted to understand "the woman who was not pathological mentally or physically."

To that end, Davis distributed a detailed questionnaire to what she called "women of good standing in the community" from 1921 to 1923. The resulting study sample of 1,000 married women and 1,200 unmarried women was not representative—it skewed white, well-educated and well-to-do. But their responses allowed Davis to redefine female sexuality.

America's first sexual revolution

Davis launched her study of women's sexuality during what historians now refer to as <u>America's first sexual revolution</u>. The second—and more well-known one—would take place in the 1960s.

In the 1920s, as <u>one commentator noted</u>, a "revolution in manners and morals" was underway. Sex suffused popular culture. Contestants in beauty pageants displayed their charms in skimpy bathing costumes and short skirts. Actresses flaunted their sex appeal on stage and screen.

New attitudes about sex affected the daily lives of average Americans, too. Young women throughout the nation adopted the sexy look of "flappers," the term used for women who sported short skirts, rolled stockings and bobbed hair.

Prior to the 1920s, courtship often took place in the home, allowing parents to closely supervise couples. But the ubiquitous automobile—which one juvenile court judge had dubbed "a house of prostitution on wheels"—rendered adult chaperonage obsolete and granted young people unprecedented sexual freedom.

Meanwhile, birth control activists like <u>Margaret Sanger and Mary Ware</u> <u>Dennett</u> distributed contraceptive devices and disseminated sexual



information in defiance of the Comstock Act of 1873, which had defined birth control and sex education as "obscene" and made circulating such materials a federal crime.

Sex, secrecy and shame

Even amid the nation's first <u>sexual revolution</u>, the facts of life remained in short supply.

According to surveys Davis distributed to married women, only <u>about</u> <u>half of the respondents</u> believed that they had been "adequately prepared ... for the sex side of marriage."

After expanding her study to include unmarried women, Davis found that <u>fewer than one-third of all participants</u> received sex education from their parents.

Many women didn't know how pregnancy occurred. Some had been unprepared even for menstruation. One recalled that when she experienced her first period, "I naturally thought I was bleeding to death."

In place of information, many women imbibed shame. "Having acquired the feeling as a small child that any sex pleasure was shameful and a great sin," as one respondent put it, some could never overcome their discomfort with sex. Another woman regarded all sexual thoughts as "something to be shunned like the devil."

One response <u>succinctly summarized the problem</u>: "Our present secrecy, fear, and repression are responsible for most of our sex ills."

Challenging the conspiracy of silence



Many women were eager to challenge what one called a "conspiracy of silence" surrounding female sexuality.

Study participants ended up providing Davis with over 10,000 pages of handwritten responses. She used this information to produce the nation's first major study of women's sexuality, a 400-plus page book brimming with both statistical data and personal stories.

"Factors in the Sex Life of Twenty-Two Hundred Women" covered a wide range of topics, ranging from sex education to sex play. Running throughout the entire work, however, was one central idea: Women liked sex.

Davis included data on birth control, same-sex relationships and masturbation. At the time, these practices were universally stigmatized and often criminalized. Yet significant proportions of study participants engaged in all these activities.

Nearly three-quarters of married respondents reported using contraceptives. Many probably took advantage of state laws allowing physicians to prescribe diaphragms to protect patients' health. Surprisingly, nearly 1 in 10 women admitted having abortions, even though the procedure was illegal in every state.

More than half of unmarried women and nearly one-third of married women stated that they had experienced "intense emotional relationships" with other women. In each group, approximately half described those relationships as sexual. This was a remarkably high figure, given prevailing views of homosexuality as sexual deviance and state laws criminalizing homosexual acts.

Nearly 65% of unmarried women and more than 40% of married women reported masturbating. Since nearly all physicians and pastors



<u>condemned the practice</u>, Davis assumed the actual numbers were even higher.

Davis' data demonstrated that "normal" women experienced what <u>one</u> <u>called</u> "natural sex feeling." In short, her study showed that many women enjoyed sex for its own sake.

<u>Davis believed</u> that reliable data would lead to "more satisfactory adjustments of the sex relationship." In other words, better information would lead to better sex.

Davis paved the way for future studies that validate women's sexual pleasure. While researching female sexuality, she <u>established</u> the <u>National Research Council's Committee for Research on the Problems of Sex</u>. The Rockefeller-funded committee later subsidized <u>Alfred Kinsey's</u> studies of human sexuality.

Davis' legacy lives on. The findings from the Kinsey Institute's latest study show that discussing sexual pleasure still matters, particularly for women. It also suggests that Americans' understandings of sex have improved over the past century.

When Davis conducted her study in the 1920s, <u>she found it "advisable"</u> to define "orgasm" for participants who were unclear on the concept. Now, a generation of better-informed Americans ponder how to address a persistent "orgasm gap."

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation



Citation: How a survey of over 2,000 women in the 1920s changed the way Americans thought about female sexuality (2024, August 28) retrieved 28 August 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2024-08-survey-women-1920s-americans-thought.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.