

South Korea court to rule on embryo plaintiff climate case

August 29 2024



Children play in a water fountain during a heatwave in Seoul. The country's constitutional court will decide Thursday a case brought by child plaintiffs against official carbon emission goals.

A groundbreaking climate case brought against the South Korean government by young environmental activists who named an embryo as a

lead plaintiff is set to be decided Thursday by the country's Constitutional Court.

In Asia's first such case, the plaintiffs claim South Korea's legally binding [climate](#) commitments are insufficient and unmet, violating their constitutionally guaranteed [human rights](#).

A similar youth-led effort recently succeeded in the US state of Montana, while another is being heard at the European Higher Court.

The case—known as "Woodpecker et al. v. South Korea" after the in-utero nickname of an embryo, now toddler, involved—includes four petitions by children.

In 2021, South Korea made a legally binding commitment to reduce [carbon emissions](#) by 290 million tons by 2030—and to achieve net-zero carbon emissions by 2050.

To meet this goal, the country needs to reduce emissions by 5.4 percent every year from 2023—a target they have so far failed to meet.

If no changes are made, the plaintiffs argue, [future generations](#) will not only have to live in a degraded environment, but will also have to bear the burden of undertaking massive greenhouse gas reductions.

This, the case claims, would mean that the state has violated its duty to protect their fundamental rights.

Similar climate cases globally have found success, for example, in Germany in 2021, where climate targets were ruled insufficient and unconstitutional.

But a child-led suit in California over alleged government failures to

curb pollution was thrown out in May.

The lawyer for one 12-year-old plaintiff told AFP that the age of the plaintiffs helped hammer home people's desperation for change, and urged the court to rule in their favour.

"This [lawsuit](#) is not just a symbolic lawsuit, but a lawsuit that we can and must win," Youn Se-jong said.

"The essence of the climate lawsuit is no different from the numerous constitutional issues related to fundamental rights that the Constitutional Court has judged, such as conscientious objection to [military service](#) or right to abortion," Youn said.

"If we delay our response to reduce the burden of climate change, that burden will be passed onto future generations."

© 2024 AFP

Citation: South Korea court to rule on embryo plaintiff climate case (2024, August 29) retrieved 29 August 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2024-08-south-korea-court-embryo-plaintiff.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--