

Exploring the radiative effects of precipitation on Arctic amplification and energy budget

July 5 2024



A depiction of the geographical distribution of the radiative effects of precipitation-induced surface changes in the temperature at 2 m above the surface. Credit: *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science* (2024). DOI: 10.1038/s41612-024-00684-4



One of the key metrics for climate modeling is radiative forcing. Most climate models, including the general circulation models (GCMs), focus on the effects of different atmospheric factors on radiative forcing. However, there are still large uncertainties in satellite observations and multi-model simulations associated with some atmospheric factors.

Among them, clouds are a known source of uncertainty in GCMs, leading to radiative biases. However, another possible source of radiative uncertainty is associated with <u>precipitation</u>.

In principle, precipitating particles affect <u>radiative forcing</u> by disrupting incoming shortwave and outgoing longwave radiations. But most conventional GCMs in the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) treat precipitation diagnostically and exclude the radiative effects of precipitation (REP). Extracting the magnitude of REP in climate models is challenging because of complicated atmosphere-ocean feedback and multi-model variabilities.

To this end, a new study, <u>published</u> in *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science* on 19 June 2024, led by Associate Professor Takuro Michibata from Okayama University investigated the influence of REP on radiative forcing at different geographical scales.

Dr. Michibata employed three sub-versions of the Japanese GCM, MIROC6, incorporating different precipitation and radiative calculation treatments. These sub-versions included diagnostic precipitation without REP (DIAG), prognostic precipitation without REP (PROG REP-OFF), and prognostic precipitation with REP (PROG REP-ON), to quantify the influence of precipitating particles on the radiation budgets and hydrological cycles at both global and regional scales.

"In addition to modifying the MIROC6 model, we also used 34 climate models from the CMIP6 data archive to better understand the REP on



the seasonal variations of the Arctic amplification. Thus, by using sets of simulations and multiple satellite observations, we systematically documented the significance of REP on the global and regional hydrological cycle and energy budget," explains Dr. Michibata.

The study found that REP affects not only the local thermodynamic profile but also the remote precipitation rate and distribution by altering atmospheric circulation. Due to the precipitating particles in the atmosphere, there is a collective reduction in the net shortwave radiation ("parasol effect").

At the same time, the inverse is observed on the net longwave radiation ("warming effect"), especially in the Arctic zone. As per PROG REP-ON, this results in a weakening of the radiative cooling in the atmosphere and thus slowing down the hydrological cycle at a global level.

The surface warming is more pronounced in the <u>polar regions</u>, with a noticeable increase in surface temperature—by more than 1 K on an average—during winter, as compared to the simulation without REP. This <u>temperature increase</u> is more than twice as large as the summer warming.

The study basically emphasizes the magnitude of surface warming in PROG REP-ON, which is stronger in winter than in summer. This was further verified with the 34 CMIP6 models that also showed systematic variations in Arctic amplification upon factoring in REP.

In contrast, the <u>temperature variations</u> over tropical and subtropical regions were found to be relatively small. In fact, the three-dimensional radiation budget analysis showed that precipitation change was the main effect of REP in the tropics, as opposed to the significant temperature change in the polar regions.



These results indicate that REP has a significant influence on the radiation budget and hydrological cycle at both global and regional scales, which can provide valuable information on REP's impact mechanism on temperature and precipitation changes. Furthermore, this implies that including REP in GCMs could improve precipitation and temperature biases in climate models and therefore improve the accuracy of these climate simulations against observational evidence.

"Current climate models still have large uncertainties, particularly in simulating the Arctic climate. Given that the Arctic climate is remotely linked to mid-latitude meteorology and weather, this study will contribute towards the improvement of <u>climate models</u> for more accurate prediction of future climate change and changes in the occurrence of extreme weather.

"Moreover, the process-level understanding in the REP will be useful for other modeling groups in future model development," concludes Dr. Michibata.

More information: Takuro Michibata, Radiative effects of precipitation on the global energy budget and Arctic amplification, *npj Climate and Atmospheric Science* (2024). DOI: 10.1038/s41612-024-00684-4

Provided by Okayama University

Citation: Exploring the radiative effects of precipitation on Arctic amplification and energy budget (2024, July 5) retrieved 5 July 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2024-07-exploring-effects-precipitation-arctic-amplification.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private



study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.