

Dyson spheres: Astronomers report potential candidates for alien structures, and evidence against their existence

May 25 2024, by Simon Goodwin



Tabby's Star in infrared (left) and ultraviolet (right). Credit: Wikipedia

There are three ways to look for evidence of alien technological civilizations. One is to look out for deliberate attempts by them to communicate their existence, for example, through radio broadcasts. Another is to look for evidence of them visiting the solar system. And a



third option is to look for signs of large-scale engineering projects in space.

A team of astronomers have taken the third approach by searching through recent astronomical survey data to identify seven candidates for <u>alien megastructures</u>, known as Dyson spheres, "deserving of further analysis." Their research is published in the journal *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*.

This is a detailed study looking for "oddballs" among stars—objects that might be alien megastructures. However, the authors are careful not to make any overblown claims. The seven objects, all located within 1,000 light-years of Earth, are "M-dwarfs"—a class of stars that are smaller and less bright than the sun.

Dyson spheres were first proposed by the physicist <u>Freeman Dyson in</u> <u>1960</u> as a way for an advanced civilization to harness a star's power. Consisting of floating power collectors, factories and habitats, they'd take up more and more space until they eventually surrounded almost the entire star like a sphere.

What Dyson realized is that these megastructures would have an observable signature. Dyson's signature (which the team searched for in the recent study) is a significant excess of infrared radiation. That's because megastructures would absorb visible light given off by the star, but they wouldn't be able to harness it all. Instead, they'd have to "dump" excess energy as infrared light with a much longer wavelength.

Unfortunately, such light can also be a signature of a lot of other things, such as a disk of gas and dust, or disks of comets and other debris. But the seven promising candidates aren't obviously due to a disk, as they weren't good fits to disk models.



It is worth noting there is another signature of Dyson sphere: that <u>visible</u> <u>light</u> from the star dips as the megastructure passes in front of it. Such a signature has been found before. There was a lot of excitement about Tabby's star, or <u>Kic 8462852</u>, which showed many really unusual dips in its light that could be due to an alien megastructure.

It almost certainly isn't an alien megastructure. A variety of natural explanations have been proposed, such as clouds of comets passing through a dust cloud. But it is an odd observation. An obvious follow up on the seven candidates would be to look for this signature as well.

The case against Dyson spheres

Dyson spheres may well not even exist, however. I think they are unlikely to be there. That's not to say they couldn't exist, rather that any civilization capable of building them would probably not need to (unless it was some mega art project).

Dyson's reasoning for considering such megastructures assumed that advanced civilizations would have vast power requirements. Around the same time, <u>astronomer Nikolai Kardashev proposed a scale</u> on which to rate the advancement of civilizations, which was based almost entirely on their power consumption.

In the 1960s, this sort of made sense. Looking back over history, humanity had just kept exponentially increasing its power use as technology advanced and the number of people increased, so they just extrapolated this ever-expanding need into the future.

However, our <u>global energy use</u> has started to grow much more slowly over the past 50 years, and especially over the last decade. What's more, Dyson and Kardashev never specified what these vast levels of power would be used for, they just (fairly reasonably) assumed they'd be



needed to do whatever it is that advanced alien civilizations do.

But, as we now look ahead to future technologies we see efficiency, miniaturization and nanotechnologies promise vastly lower power use (the performance per watt of pretty much all technologies is constantly improving).

A quick calculation reveals that, if we wanted to collect 10% of the sun's energy at the distance the Earth is from the sun, we'd need a surface area equal to 1 billion Earths. And if we had a super-advanced technology that could make the megastructure only 10km thick, that'd mean we'd need about a million Earths worth of material to build them from.

A significant problem is that our <u>solar system</u> only contains about 100 Earths worth of solid material, so our advanced alien civilization would need to dismantle all the planets in 10,000 <u>planetary systems</u> and transport it to the star to build their Dyson sphere. To do it with the material available in a single system, each part of the megastructure could only be one meter thick.

This is assuming they use all the elements available in a planetary system. If they needed, say, lots of carbon to make their structures, then we're looking at dismantling millions of planetary systems to get hold of it. Now, I'm not saying a super-advanced alien civilization couldn't do this, but it is one hell of a job.

I'd also strongly suspect that by the time a civilization got to the point of having the ability to build a Dyson sphere, they'd have a better way of getting the power than using a star, if they really needed it (I have no idea how, but they are a super-advanced civilization).

Maybe I'm wrong, but it can't hurt to look.



More information: Matías Suazo et al, Project Hephaistos – II. Dyson sphere candidates from Gaia DR3, 2MASS, and WISE, *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* (2024). DOI: 10.1093/mnras/stae1186

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Dyson spheres: Astronomers report potential candidates for alien structures, and evidence against their existence (2024, May 25) retrieved 26 June 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2024-05-dyson-spheres-astronomers-potential-candidates.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.