

## **Pour points: A novel method for woodland water resource management**

## March 18 2024, by Hannah Bird



Schematic representation of the types of water movement through a canopy: direct rainfall, throughflow between gaps in the canopy (including drip points and pour points), and stemflow down stems and trunks. b) An example of a pour point from the confluence of branches on a banksia tree and c) from a change in branch angle. Credit: Kunadi et al. 2024.

Vegetation plays a vital role in regulating the percentage of precipitation reaching the ground to nourish the root systems of plants both in the canopy and undergrowth, which consequently supports the survival of the entire forest ecosystem. Water arrives to the ground via multiple



mechanisms, including throughfall (water falling directly through the canopy) or stemflow (water flowing down stems and trunks), while some is intercepted by the canopy leaves and does not reach ground level.

New research, <u>published</u> in *Water Resources Research*, has focused on pour points, locations where water flowing under the branches detach. These are distinguished from the large drops falling from leaves, known as drip points.

A pour point is formed when the raindrops, initially intercepted by leaves or the top half of the branch, flow to the underside of branches, coalesce with other droplets to form a rivulet, but fall before becoming a part of stemflow.

The detachment of the rivulet can occur where multiple branches converge or where a single branch changes in angle, leading to a pour point. These are important as they considerably increase water received from the canopy to the forest floor at fixed points, thereby supporting enhanced infiltration of water to the ground.

The volume of water received at pour points is impacted by a number of factors, such as the structure of the branches, leaf surface area (foliation), and the volume of <u>rainfall</u>. The larger water droplets occurring at these points have more <u>kinetic energy</u> than normal rainfall, meaning that they create more pronounced dips in the soil upon impact, thus augmenting infiltration efficiency.

Investigating banksia woodlands in Western Australia, Ashvanth Kunadi, a Ph.D. researcher at the University of Western Australia, and colleagues combined field data with rainfall simulation experiments to determine the role of pour points in woodlands that receive limited rainfall, similar to those in other Mediterranean regions (hot dry summers and mild wet winters). Indeed, features of this study have also been observed on <u>fig</u>



trees, American beech and oak trees.

Explaining the initial interest in the topic came while sitting under a fig tree during a rain shower, Ashvanth noticed water flowing underneath a branch was "continuously detaching at a certain point and the puddle beneath that point was growing."

"This piqued my interest. There is a water shortage in the southwest of Western Australia, and we rely heavily on groundwater. If trees, instead of just stopping rain, were actually channeling more water to the ground beneath them, then our conception of their impact would fundamentally change."

Further detailing the significance of this research, Ashvanth continued, "I would say that the amount and spatial distribution of rain that falls from the sky is fundamentally altered by the presence of a tree canopy. We don't have a good understanding of 1) where a certain volume of water is going to go and 2) why. Pour points are a gateway to understanding the flow of water on a tree.

"Aside from that grand ambition, areas where rainfall fluxes are concentrated (such as at pour points) 1) create biological hotspots (water is a precondition to life), 2) demarcate sites of enhanced and deeper infiltration, and 3) may represent a significant proportion of the overall flux of rainfall that is reaching the ground (so, if you ignore the flux, you underestimate the amount of water your system is getting and that error propagates further into the analysis)."

The team placed rain gauges below 16 suspected pour points of the two codominant banksia species in the woodlands: Banksia menziesii and Banksia attenuata, and a further six under branches where conditions were not met to create a pour point ('negative' test), over a two-year period. In addition, five Banksia menziesii branches (four with suspected



pour points and one 'negative'), were studied under controlled conditions in a rainfall simulation setup.

This rainfall simulation setup proved particularly challenging to maintain all of the variables, as Ashvanth reveals, "There were so many things to keep track of: is the branch angle steady, is the branch swaying, is there enough water in the rainfall simulator, is the pressure constant, have I taken pictures and videos of interesting phenomena, have I defoliated the leaves properly?

"On top of that, the branches were not designed to be cut from the trunk so, if the sun is out, the branch better be wet or it's dead. Consequently, from roughly 8 a.m. to 10 p.m. I would just be doing rainfall simulations... it was absolutely insane but completely worth it."

Banksia leaves have a large surface area, high stiffness, and an angle that facilitates the channeling of water down onto the stems, rather than dripping off the leaves. They found that rain collection from pour points was 1.5 to 15 times that of the surrounding rainfall and throughfall, and was usually greater than stemflow.

From the rainfall simulation experiments, the scientists determined that a branch with high leaf coverage experienced greater flux of water at the pour point. This flux was less sensitive to changes in branch angle. Fluxes from a fully foliated branch seemed insensitive to angle change, but when a third of the leaves were removed partitioning of water between stemflow and pour points was significantly affected by changes in branch angle.





Ashvanth Kunadi and colleague Tim Lardner conducting field experiments on banksia trees in Australia. Credit: A. Kunadi.

While no conclusive optimum branch angle for pour point initiation was found in this experiment, Ashvanth reveals that this is ongoing work for the research team. "One of the negative test points, where we thought the necessary not sufficient conditions were present had a really small branch angle (~

Citation: Pour points: A novel method for woodland water resource management (2024, March 18) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2024-03-method-woodland-resource.html</u>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.