

New pathways for compromise in migration policy

January 12 2024, by Linda Schädler



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

Migration is an increasingly dominating topic in politics and is discussed with increasing vehemence among the German population. Those in favor of migration say that the lack of skilled workers and shortage of

staff will become worse without immigration. Those who oppose migration fear that an increasing number of immigrants will exceed the reception capacities of the country.

A new study headed by Mannheim sociologist Professor Dr. Marc Helbling and the Mannheim political scientist Professor Dr. Richard Traunmüller shows that the populations' immigration preferences depend on two factors, besides the sheer number of immigrants: the entrance criteria for immigration and the migrants' participation rights in Germany. Professor Rahsaan Maxwell, Ph.D. of New York University is co-author of [the study](#) published in *Comparative Political Studies*.

"When it comes to evaluating the [migration policy](#), the number of persons allowed to enter the country currently plays the biggest role," Helbling explains. "However, migration policy should also take into account who is allowed to enter a country and which rights immigrants enjoy."

Despite the close connection between these two aspects of migration policy, they are usually analyzed separately in research—without checking if the preferences for the one dimension depend on the policy for the other dimension. "With our study, we follow a new path," says Traunmüller.

The study shows that those critical of migration are 40% more likely to agree to a larger number of immigrants if the entrance criteria become stricter. Stricter entrance criteria would mean, for example, that immigrants with suitable qualifications are prioritized when it comes to issuing residence permits.

Among those in favor of migration, every third person will agree to a more restrictive migration policy if migrants have more participation rights such as access to social benefits and the [labor market](#).

[Another study](#), which the authors have published together with Felix Jäger in *International Migration Review*, shows how this could look like. The study shows that persons in favor of more or less migration have relatively similar ideas on how integration should take place. Those in favor and those against migration are of the opinion that migrants should learn the language as best as possible, take part in integration courses and not be dependent on [social benefits](#). At the same time, they should have the same rights as locals in the labor market and have the option to extend their work permit.

For their study, the authors have evaluated several survey experiments in which almost 10,000 people from Germany took part between 2020 and 2023. The respondents were asked to evaluate certain policy suggestions.

"The migration debate often gives the impression that the positions of both camps are irreconcilable. Our study shows, however, that it is possible to bring those in favor of and those opposing immigration together," says Helbling. According to the study, most people would welcome the compromise to reduce the number of immigrants in total, introduce stricter entrance criteria, and extend the participation rights of migrants in Germany.

More information: Marc Helbling et al, Numbers, Selectivity, and Rights: The Conditional Nature of Immigration Policy Preferences, *Comparative Political Studies* (2023). [DOI: 10.1177/00104140231178737](#)

Marc Helbling et al, Broad and Detailed Agreement: Public Preferences for German Immigration Policy, *International Migration Review* (2023). [DOI: 10.1177/01979183231216076](#)

Provided by Universität Mannheim

Citation: New pathways for compromise in migration policy (2024, January 12) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2024-01-pathways-compromise-migration-policy.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.