

Canada's Nature Agreement underscores the need for true reconciliation with Indigenous nations

January 5 2024, by Justine Townsend and Robin J. Roth



Gros Morne National Park, Canada. Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

In late 2023, the federal government, British Columbia and the First Nations Leadership Council signed a <u>\$1 billion Nature Agreement</u> to protect 30% of B.C.'s lands by 2030.

The agreement stressed the full collaboration of Indigenous Peoples in



alignment with the <u>United Nations Declaration on the Rights of</u> <u>Indigenous Peoples</u>.

The Nature Agreement follows a series of <u>historic federal investments</u> in nature conservation over the <u>past several years</u>. Like the previous announcements, the 2023 Nature Agreement includes funding for <u>Indigenous Protected and Conserved Areas</u>, or IPCAs.

Environment <u>Minister Steven Guilbeault</u> stated about the agreement, "I think people will look at this agreement and say, 'OK, this is how it needs to be done going forward now in Canada'... It's nature, it's conservation, it's restoration, but it's also about reconciliation."

However, despite advances in Canadian conservation policy and practice, <u>our research</u> has shown that First Nations advancing IPCAs can still face significant challenges.

Unless Canadian governments meaningfully address these challenges, the reconciliatory potential of IPCAs—and new funding agreements intended to support them—will be undermined.

Indigenous protected and conserved areas

IPCAs present vast opportunities for nature conservation and reconciliation. However, they also <u>face multiple pressures</u>. Unlike regular parks and protected areas in Canada, IPCAs are established and maintained by First Nations, Métis and Inuit governments.

Indigenous governments establish IPCAs under their own Indigenous laws, while some also choose to <u>pursue protection</u> under Canadian law.

IPCAs are varied, but typically support ecological restoration or protection and local economic development while centering Indigenous



cultures, languages, knowledge and laws. At the heart of IPCAs is Indigenous governance over lands and waters for future generations.

The Indigenous-led conservation movement in Canada is gaining momentum along with growing awareness of how wilderness conservation has disenfranchised Indigenous Peoples through <u>displacement</u>, <u>criminalization</u> and <u>limiting access</u>.

Simultaneously, efforts to advance reconciliation in Canada and recognize inherent Indigenous rights are more widespread.

While a few First Nations in B.C. established the first <u>tribal parks</u> in the early 1980s, IPCAs have been emerging across the country since 2018, some with support from federal funding programs.

In 2018, the <u>Indigenous Circle of Experts</u>, a national Indigenous-led advisory group, advocated for IPCAs as a solution for Canada to achieve its <u>nature conservation</u> targets while advancing reconciliation with Indigenous Peoples.

Since 2018, Environment and Climate Change Canada has funded <u>59</u> <u>Indigenous-led conservation proposals</u> and a <u>First Nations National</u> <u>Guardians Network</u>.

Roadblocks to reconciliation

One of the biggest challenges for IPCAs is the pressure of <u>resource</u> <u>extraction</u>. Even once an IPCA is declared, it may not be safe from resource extraction, as was the case with Dasiqox Nexwagwez?an, an IPCA in B.C.

Canadian governments continue to grant tenures and licenses to companies for logging, mining, fish farms and other impactful activities



inside IPCAs against the wishes of Indigenous nations.

These actions go against the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples and its foundational principle of free, prior and informed consent. <u>Canada</u> and <u>B.C.</u> have both implemented legislation on the declaration.

This dynamic is not surprising since many Indigenous nations establish IPCAs precisely because Canadian governments do not respect their governance and decision-making authority around extractive industry.

Indigenous governments are sometimes forced to compensate companies by <u>buying out tenures</u> to ensure protection of their IPCAs.

While there are examples of <u>tenure buyouts</u> that enabled Indigenous nations to establish IPCAs, these are extremely costly, impractical and should not be considered the norm.

Another option is for <u>"cooling-off periods"</u> that pause resource extraction while IPCA planning and negotiations are underway.

These challenges are particularly acute in instances where IPCAs are not designated under Canadian protected area legislation. The vast majority of Canadian governments have not created new legislation or amended existing legislation to explicitly enable the designation and protection of IPCAs.

This means that Indigenous governments seeking additional legal protection for their IPCAs must make do with regular protected area designations that limit Indigenous authority, even under <u>co-management</u> arrangements.

Indigenous governments establishing IPCAs also face financial struggles.



Previous federal investments in Indigenous-led conservation revealed high demand for funds but resulted in only a small percentage of projects getting funding, sometimes due to IPCA visions <u>clashing with</u> <u>resource extraction aims</u>.

A further issue is that funding is only for IPCA establishment and not ongoing stewardship.

At the core of these challenges are fundamental conflicts regarding the Crown's continued assertion of its ultimate authority. This assertion is in spite of the <u>Canadian government's own guidance</u> for reconciliation and <u>legal pluralism</u>—including the recognition of Indigenous rights and building equal relationships with Indigenous Peoples.

Systemic change will advance reconciliation

Canadian governments increasingly view IPCAs as a means of meeting their conservation targets under the Convention on Biological Diversity—especially the goal of protecting 30 percent of Canada's lands and waters by 2030. This requires roughly <u>doubling the total protected</u> area in Canada.

At the recent COP28 climate conference, parties underscored the need to take action on biodiversity loss, climate change and land degradation in a "coherent, synergetic and holistic manner." This includes <u>cutting</u> <u>global greenhouse gas emissions by 43 percent</u>, compared to 2019, by 2030 in order to keep global warming under 1.5°C.

While the most recent conservation funding announcement is commendable, it is unclear how the \$500 million of new federal funding, which includes previously announced funds, will be distributed. Additionally, <u>internal government records</u> allegedly show that B.C. may use the agreement to avoid federal efforts to protect species at risk in the



province.

The challenges IPCAs surface can be embraced as catalysts for reconciliation. This involves <u>changing mindsets</u>, behaviors, practices, policies and laws at multiple scales. It is the kind of transformative work that the <u>Truth and Reconciliation Commission</u> called for in all sectors of society.

IPCAs offer tremendous potential for addressing the biodiversity and climate crises and repairing relationships with Indigenous Peoples.

As such, how Canadian governments and the <u>conservation</u> sector respond to the roadblocks encountered by Indigenous governments advancing IPCAs is crucial. Only by assisting these initiatives can we build meaningful and lasting IPCAs which not only restore and protect ecosystems but also advance reconciliation through Indigenous governance, laws, and knowledge systems.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Canada's Nature Agreement underscores the need for true reconciliation with Indigenous nations (2024, January 5) retrieved 29 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2024-01-canada-nature-agreement-underscores-true.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.