

Researchers: Carbon removal is needed to achieve net zero but has its own climate risks

December 7 2023, by Kirsten Zickfeld and Pep Canadell



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

As delegates gather in Dubai <u>at the COP28 climate conference</u>—with the aim to ratchet up ambition towards meeting the goals of the <u>Paris</u> <u>Agreement</u>—a key component of these efforts are <u>countries' pledges</u> to



achieve net-zero emissions around mid-century.

Net-zero carbon dioxide (CO_2) emissions refers to a balance between CO_2 emissions into the atmosphere and CO_2 removals from the atmosphere, such that the net effect on CO_2 levels in the atmosphere is zero. It is often assumed that if such a balance is achieved, the net effect on <u>climate</u> would also be zero.

However, in a recent paper in *Nature Climate Change*, we show that unless we consider a number of other factors—such as permanence of carbon stored in vegetation and soils, changes in the reflectivity of landscapes and the full suite of greenhouse gases emitted—balancing CO_2 emissions with removals will not achieve the intended climate goal.

Carbon dioxide removal (CDR) refers to human activities that deliberately remove carbon dioxide (CO₂) from the atmosphere. CDR can leverage either natural or technological systems, though in either case, it must be additional to the CO₂ removal that is driven by passive carbon sinks already at work, such as existing forests.

Examples of CDR include planting trees on previously deforested or unforested lands, producing bio-energy and capturing and storing the emitted carbon, fertilizing the ocean to stimulate biological production and capturing CO_2 directly from the air through chemical and technological means.

What are the potential problems?

For CDR to balance the climate effects of CO_2 emissions from fossil fuel burning, it needs to result in permanent carbon storage, meaning that the carbon must remain undisturbed for centuries to millennia. However, carbon stored in trees is <u>vulnerable to natural disturbances</u> such as <u>droughts</u>, <u>wildfires</u>, <u>insect outbreaks</u> and other <u>biotic disturbances</u> and



could be re-released much sooner.

Carbon sequestered and stored in <u>seagrass meadows</u> or <u>mangrove forests</u>, for example, is re-released following marine heat waves. Any reversals in land-use and management decisions can also affect the permanence of carbon stored by CDR.

Several CDR approaches, when deployed at a large scale, affect fluxes of energy and water at the Earth's surface, resulting in so-called <u>"biogeophysical" effects</u> on climate that are in addition to the effects of CO₂ sequestration.

For example, large-scale planting of trees in agricultural areas or grasslands results in a reduction of how well the land surface is able to reflect sunlight, and therefore leading to a <u>warming effect</u>. This effect is particularly strong in regions with seasonal snow cover, where the darker color of trees reduces the high reflectivity of snow.

Deployment of a range of CDR methods can also result in increased emissions of nitrous oxide and methane, two powerful greenhouse gases. For instance, bio-energy with <u>carbon capture</u> and storage and reforestation require the use of nitrogen fertilizers, which <u>enhances</u> <u>nitrous oxide emissions</u>.

Restoration of coastal ecosystems, such as <u>seagrass meadows</u> or mangrove forests, can also result in an <u>increase in methane and nitrous</u> <u>oxide emissions</u>.

Because of the potential impermanence of carbon stored by CDR, and biogeophysical and other greenhouse gas effects, balancing emissions of CO_2 with CDR might not always result in the intended climate outcome.

For example, balancing fossil-fuel emissions with CO₂ removal through



large-scale reforestation can result in a <u>higher global warming</u> compared to a case where the fossil fuel emissions are eliminated. This asymmetry could lead to exceeding temperature limits set by the Paris Agreement.

What to do about it?

For the reasons above, greenhouse gas accounting, and policies designed to offset greenhouse gas emissions, need to consider the full suite of climate effects of the proposed CDR to ensure intended climate goals are not compromised.

CDR approaches with short carbon storage time scales, or at high risk of natural and/or anthropogenic disturbance (like in fire-prone regions), should not be used to balance fossil-fuel CO_2 emissions.

For carbon removal that targets carbon stores at lower risks of disturbance, it is crucial that net-zero protocols also require an excess amount of CDR as an insurance in the event of carbon losses.

Similarly, CDR approaches that result in biogeophysical effects or release gases such as methane and nitrous oxide upon deployment risk fully negating the climate benefit of carbon sequestration and should be excluded as a means of balancing fossil-fuel CO_2 emissions.

In cases where biogeophysical effects or the release of GHGs partly counter the climate benefit of carbon sequestration, an additional amount of CDR is also required to compensate these effects. The measures used to establish equivalency between CO_2 emissions and removals, and biogeophysical and GHG effects, need to be rigorous and grounded in science.

Emissions reductions remain primary



Nature-based climate solutions that are not suitable for balancing fossilfuel emissions because of a high risk of carbon losses—and/or large biophysical or GHG effects—may still be appropriate to deploy <u>because</u> <u>of benefits</u> other than climate change mitigation. That includes preserving or restoring biodiversity and increasing the resilience of landscapes.

If deployed in addition rather than as an alternative to fossil-fuel emission reductions, these <u>solutions can still have climate benefits</u>, <u>even</u> <u>if relatively temporary</u>.

Carbon dioxide removal <u>will be needed</u> to balance emissions that are difficult to eliminate and increase the odds of meeting the Paris Agreement climate goal.

However, while CDR can play a crucial role in climate change mitigation, the current uncertainty around its full effects underscores the need to prioritize reducing emissions as rapidly and as much as possible.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Researchers: Carbon removal is needed to achieve net zero but has its own climate risks (2023, December 7) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-12-carbon-net-climate.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.