

# What is the true nature of the 'Matildas effect'? New research shows it is profound, but uneven

November 22 2023, by Jordan Bakhsh



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

No event in Australian history has captured the country's imagination like the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup. From coast to coast, Australians tuned in to witness the Matilda's historic tournament run, reaching the semi-finals for the first time in the team's history.



But prior to the Matilda's historic success, there was much concern throughout Australia. Australians were skeptical about the multi-city event model and what positive impacts they could expect. It didn't help that just days before the tournament's opening day, Victoria's multi-city plan to host the 2026 Commonwealth Games was canceled.

Our new research measured the impact of the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup on each Australian host city—Adelaide, Brisbane, Melbourne, Perth, and Sydney. Data collected from 2,000 Australians surveyed pre-event (June) and post-event (November) show the event increased Australians' interest in women's sports in all cities except Perth. In addition, each city showed greater support for hosting the event in the future, except Adelaide and Perth.

# Australia's view of the Women's World Cup

Why were the event outcomes different for all Australian cities? We know that every mega sports event comes with positive and negative impacts for the host nation and its cities. We measured the perceived benefits and costs of hosting the event according to survey participants in terms of economic, environmental, social, and sports participation criteria.

It was surprising to see residents in each host city report post-event that the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup had resulted in greater benefits for their communities than they had anticipated. A majority of survey respondents also felt the tournament had incurred lower costs than expected.

These results stand in stark contrast to what is usually expected for an event of this scale and bodes well for the potential of future multi-city events, such as the Brisbane 2032 Olympics.



Based on the graphs above, it would appear the event was a resounding success for all cities. However, looking beyond the surface of event perceptions, clear winners and losers are found.

# World Cup winners and losers

Post-event, Brisbane, Melbourne, and Sydney indicated greater support for their respective cities hosting the event, while Adelaide and Perth citizens showed a decrease in support. This was unexpected given Adelaide and Perth had the lowest hosting responsibilities of all cities, hosting five games each, while Melbourne (6), Brisbane (8), and Sydney (11) hosted more games.

This could suggest Adelaide and Perth citizens felt excluded from the multi-city event model, which favored more games in other cities. Adelaide and Perth were not initially part of Australia's bid for the tournament, which was originally slated to be held just in the eastern three cities. They were added after the initial bid development with New Zealand.

Highlighting this divide between Australia's host cities further is data on Australia's women's sports fandom. Australians in each city except Perth showed an increase in support for women's sport because of the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup.

Women's sports fandom results showed the biggest jump from pre-topost events for all other Australian cities. This reveals the true Matildas effect, as we saw a significant increase in support for women's sports that was not just centered on the team's historic semi-final run or their ability to draw the largest broadcast audience in Australian history.

# The future of global sporting events in Australia



Our research highlights the power that mega sports events have to captivate Australians and trigger <u>social change</u>, but it also reveals opportunities for improvement of the multi-city event model. With so many mega sports events planned in the coming decade in Australia, climaxing with the Brisbane 2032 Olympics, there are two important lessons here, particularly from the <u>survey results</u> coming out of Adelaide and Perth.

First, it is imperative to consider all cities in the multi-city operation, not just the "big ones." One way this could have been done is by engaging all cities in the 25-day tournament countdown leading to the first match. This would build a sense of unity across the country and spotlight the uniqueness of each city, as opposed to focusing mostly on Sydney.

This will be of paramount importance in the lead-up to the Brisbane 2032 Olympics, which will include several big cities (Brisbane, Melbourne, and Sydney) and several smaller cities (Gold Coast, Sunshine Coast, Cairns, and Townsville). Unless a conscious effort is made to engage each city, no matter its size and location, we may see more fragmented results.

Second, the 2023 FIFA Women's World Cup was a resounding success, giving Australia and New Zealand hope and scope to consider co-hosting more events in the future.

Building from this multi-<u>city</u> event model, the two countries might look to expand even further, perhaps bidding for a future FIFA Men's World Cup or the Commonwealth Games.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



### Provided by The Conversation

Citation: What is the true nature of the 'Matildas effect'? New research shows it is profound, but uneven (2023, November 22) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2023-11-true-nature-matildas-effect-profound.html">https://phys.org/news/2023-11-true-nature-matildas-effect-profound.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.