

## The quark model: A personal perspective

November 15 2023



Neutron quarks structure. Credit: <u>AspaasBekklund</u>/Wikipedia Commons, <u>CC</u>



BY-SA

The idea that protons and neutrons were composed of even smaller particles, with non-integral electric charges, was proposed in 1963/64 by Andre Petermann, George Zweig and Murray Gell-Mann, who dubbed them "quarks." It was not until the mid-1970s, however, that the quark model became widely accepted.

Chris Llewellyn Smith, now an emeritus professor at the University of Oxford and formerly the Director-General of CERN who put together the proposal to build the Large Hadron Collider, has <u>published</u> a "personal perspective" on the development of the quark model and of the theory of the force that holds them together (<u>quantum</u> <u>chromodynamics</u> or QCD) in *The European Physical Journal H*.

He had a ringside seat as a student in theoretical particle physics at Oxford from 1964–67, as a post-doctoral Fellow at CERN, and at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center where experiments that confirmed the reality of quarks were performed.

In this paper, Llewellyn Smith stresses the roles of George Zweig, who pioneered the treatment of <u>quarks</u> as real particles, James Bjorken, who developed what became known as "Feynman's parton model," and his own Ph.D. supervisor, Richard Dalitz.

The quark model arose from the need to impose order on the "zoo" of <u>elementary particles</u> that were found in the mid-twentieth century. This was analogous to Mendeleev's imposition of order on the <u>chemical</u> <u>elements</u> through the periodic table. Quarks are odd entities, carrying non-integral electrical charge—unlike all the observed particles.



It gradually became clear, however, that although they do not exist as free particles, they behave like real particles, as first proposed by Zweig in what Gell-Mann contemptuously called the "concrete" or "naive" quark model. It was only in the mid-1970s that QCD was shown to explain this apparently paradoxical behavior.

Llewellyn Smith concludes that this story, with its twists, turns, misunderstanding and confusion is far more typical of scientific progress than the idealized linear progression toward an enlightened future.

**More information:** Chris Llewellyn Smith, From concrete quarks to QCD: a personal perspective, *The European Physical Journal H* (2023). DOI: 10.1140/epjh/s13129-023-00061-4

Provided by Springer

Citation: The quark model: A personal perspective (2023, November 15) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-11-quark-personal-perspective.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.