

New call for joint effort to bolster research integrity

August 17 2023

Stakeholder	Role in ecosystem	Role in the perpetuation of good science	Recommendations
Funder	Support well-conducted research and ensure funded research aligns with their organizational mission.	Clear expectations for reporting and sharing research.	Examine how their policies are implemented in practice; Adjust policies as necessary; Support the researchers with these processes when appropriate.
Publisher	Intermediaries in the dissemination of good science and offer space for full methodological processes to be described.	Publishing guidelines and checklists (e.g., STAR, Nature checklist).	Move information to more accessible formats; Require research transparency—moving beyond just data, into the sharing of full methods, interactive models, code, and software.
Scholarly societies	Provide a community of scholars aligned by a common discipline to offer support, opportunities for discussions, and guidance to members.	Setting expectations for reporting critical components of the research process, such as naming computer operating systems, code name and version, and even data availability.	Coordinate closer with other societies, publishers, and researchers, scholarly societies can support graduate students, faculty, and researchers.
Academic research institutions	Ensure prestige and ethics of research aligns with institutional mission and vision.	Providing support to ensure the institutions and their researchers comply with funding requirements, produce reproducible research, and uphold ethical standards of research.	Assess services and infrastructure available locally through University Libraries, campus IT, and Research offices; Look to external stakeholders for further collaboration.
Journalism and Media	Shape and influence public discourse.	Ensuring reporting is accurate.	Conduct research beyond the manuscript in question; Verify the research has been transparently reported.
Policy-makers	(Should) Make informed decisions based on science and balanced with public opinion.	Advocating and developing policies that uphold the integrity of science and research.	Establish more policies to increase trust and uphold the research integrity of science; Follow up those policies with assessments, modifications, and refinement to support the research enterprise.

Credit: *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics* (2023). DOI: 10.3389/frma.2023.1134082

Who's responsible for upholding research integrity, mitigating misinformation or disinformation and increasing trust in research? Everyone, even those reporting on research, says a new article published

by leading research integrity experts.

In their paper published in the journal *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics*, Dr. Leslie McIntosh (Vice President Research Integrity, Digital Science) and Ms Cynthia Hudson Vitale (Director, Science Policy and Scholarship, Association of Research Libraries) call for improved policies and worldwide coordination between funding bodies, publishers, [academic institutions](#), scholarly societies, [policymakers](#) and the media.

"Scientific reputation requires a coordinated approach across all stakeholders," they write.

"The burden of improving scientific integrity most naturally falls on those individuals conducting research; yet their work does not occur in a vacuum... the responsibility of upholding, fostering, and maintaining scientific integrity should rest on all stakeholders producing and consuming scientific information."

Dr. McIntosh says this sentiment is echoed by Dr. Holden Thorp's recent comments in *Science* in his editorial titled "Generative Approach to Research Integrity."

In their article, Dr. McIntosh and Ms Hudson Vitale say, "Systematic change comes when all players in the ecosystem work toward a common goal. Organizational stakeholders must coordinate efforts to fortify science integrity: making science better, and better science easier."

They say a global shift in culture will lead to advances in research integrity. To do this, responsibility must be shared, with "changes needed at the macro level in the scientific ecosystem."

"The current 'culture' of science may be collaborative at the research

level, yet is often disparate at the ecosystem level... disparate stakeholders and processes across the research integrity ecosystem need to increase coordination and communication," they write.

Dr. McIntosh and Ms Hudson Vitale have produced a table that summarizes the roles to be played and the changes required of each of the key stakeholder groups. This includes:

- Funders—Funding agencies "hold critical keys in fortifying the ecosystem"; researchers and academic institutions, which are motivated to earn funding for research, will respond to agency policy requirements, including a tightening of research integrity.
- Publishers—"...must further their push for research transparency and move beyond just data into the sharing of complete methods, interactive models, code, and software."
- Scholarly societies—Global coordination between societies and other stakeholders is needed. "Through coordination with other societies, publishers, and researchers, scholarly societies can support graduate students, faculty, and researchers in this critical research skill of the education and implementation of transparently reporting research. From an infrastructure perspective, this would be invaluable."
- Academic research institutions—They should assess their services available to researchers, and consider additional ways to support responsible collaboration on research integrity, while offering solutions to streamline and alleviate any unnecessary demands on researchers.
- Journalists and Media—"Journalists are an integral part of the new public and scientific discourse necessary for a well-informed community," Dr. McIntosh and Ms Hudson Vitale write. "Given the important role that journalism has in shaping and influencing [public discourse](#) (e.g., COVID pandemic public discourse), the integrity and factualness of news articles is critical to uphold a

well-informed community and create checks on federal and local policies. News organizations and media platforms (including and especially [social media](#)) must support the resources and initiatives that can enhance trust in science communication and make journalism a trusted source of scientific information."

- Policymakers—"More policy-makers must establish policies to increase trust and uphold the [research integrity](#) of [science](#), and then follow up those policies with assessments, modifications, and refinement to support the research enterprise."

More information: Leslie D. McIntosh et al, Coordinating culture change across the research landscape, *Frontiers in Research Metrics and Analytics* (2023). [DOI: 10.3389/frma.2023.1134082](https://doi.org/10.3389/frma.2023.1134082)

H. Holden Thorp, Generative approach to research integrity, *Science* (2023). [DOI: 10.1126/science.adk1852](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adk1852)

Provided by Digital Science

Citation: New call for joint effort to bolster research integrity (2023, August 17) retrieved 29 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2023-08-joint-effort-bolster.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.