

Technology can boost farming in Africa, but it can also threaten biodiversity. How to balance the two

July 18 2023, by Thomas Daum, Frédéric Baudron, Ingo Grass, Matin Qaim and Regina Birner



Agriculture is a leading employer in Africa. Credit: Wikimedia Commons

Cultivating one hectare of maize used to be an arduous task for Precious



Banda, a farmer in Zambia. It would take her hundreds of hours to prepare her land before sowing and to keep it weed-free until harvest—equipped with nothing but a small hoe. She says it was backbreaking work: "I can still feel it." For a few years now she has hired a tractor, and a neighbor sprays herbicides for her. "Life has become so easy," she says.

But she has also noticed changes around her farm. There are fewer bees and—most worrying for her—fewer caterpillars, which used to make a delightful dish.

Precious Banda's story is a perfect example of the situation millions of African farmers face.

Agricultural development is high on the policy agenda of African countries, as seen in the <u>Agenda 2063 of the African Union</u>. But while it's needed to reduce poverty and hunger, agricultural development often clashes with biodiversity, <u>which is declining at an alarming rate</u>. Losing biodiversity could reduce food security by undermining ecosystem services like pollination, nutrient cycling and maintenance of water supplies. Wild food sources could also be lost.

In <u>a new paper</u>, we as researchers in economics, agronomy and ecology emphasize the importance of biodiversity-smart agricultural strategies. With Precious Banda's story in our minds, we argue that such strategies need to pay much more attention to agricultural labor dynamics.

Biodiversity and agricultural labor

Biodiversity is lost when <u>agricultural land</u> expands and when farming is more intense. In Africa, <u>75%</u> of agricultural growth comes from farmland expansion into forests and savannas. This leads to habitat loss and fragmentation. Farming more intensely curtails expansion, but may



make the landscape less biodiverse and often leads to the use of more chemicals such as pesticides.

The importance of biodiversity-friendly agriculture is starting to be recognized more widely. But efforts to encourage it often neglect tradeoffs with farm labor needs. We argue that neglecting these needs will undermine the success of biodiversity conservation efforts.

Farmers can reduce heavy labor by adopting technologies such as <u>mechanization</u> and <u>herbicides</u>. For example, our <u>previous research in</u> <u>Zambia</u> showed tractors cut land preparation time from 226 to 10 hours per hectare. And in Burkina Faso, herbicides are referred to as <u>"mothers'</u> <u>little helpers"</u> because they reduce women's work in the fields.

But labor-saving technologies can negatively affect biodiversity through farmland expansion, farmland simplification, land degradation and spillover effects. For example, <u>in an earlier study</u> in Benin, Kenya, Nigeria, and Mali, we found that mechanization sometimes led to the removal of trees and hedges from farms, and changed plot sizes and shapes. This resulted in a loss of farm diversity and of a healthy "patchwork" of habitats. Pesticides can harm soil life, water systems and insect populations if badly regulated and managed, <u>as is often the case</u>.

Biodiversity-enhancing technologies have the opposite problem: farmers often don't adopt them because they add to the labor burden. Examples include inter-cropping (growing different crops close to each other) and planting basins (shallow indentations in the soil to provide a suitable environment for crops and place inputs). In Zimbabwe, a study noted that planting basins could be labor-intensive without always increasing yields.

Farmers typically adopt technologies and practices that use the least labor and provide high and stable yields, but those can be bad for



biodiversity conservation.

What's needed instead are biodiversity-smart technologies that enable farming with low labor, <u>high yields</u> and high biodiversity.

Biodiversity-smart agriculture

One potential solution is to adapt machines to farm size—and not the other way around. Smaller machinery can easily maneuver around trees, hedges and other landscape features that are key for biodiversity.

Combining smart biological solutions (like <u>crop rotation</u>) and mechanical ones (like precision spraying) is a path to lower <u>pesticide use</u>. In our paper, we discuss many other options, too.

For example, in plantation agriculture, <u>tree-islands</u> can improve biodiversity without reducing yields, as shown in a recent study.

Biodiversity-smart technologies reduce the costs (in terms of yield and labor) of <u>biodiversity conservation</u> for individual farmers. That increases the likelihood of adoption. Where conservation comes with higher costs than benefits, financial compensation may also be needed. This could, for example, be in the form of certification schemes or payment for ecosystem services.

Farm-level solutions have to be accompanied by efforts at the landscape level. These might be careful land-use planning and monitoring to preserve biodiversity hotspots and keep habitats connected. Our case study from Ethiopia shows that multi-functional landscapes can be planned to <u>"work for biodiversity and people."</u>

We argue that biodiversity-smart <u>agricultural development</u> requires a shift in both policy making and research and development. Conservation



ecologists must pay more attention to economic and social sustainability. Without accounting for labor issues, conservation efforts are unlikely to succeed. At the same time, agricultural scientists have to embrace multiple goals beyond yields.

Our paper shows that technological, agronomic and institutional innovations for biodiversity-smart agriculture exist. But more needs to be done to scale them. If successful, they can help to feed the growing population, improve the livelihoods of farmers, and conserve biodiversity before it is too late.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Technology can boost farming in Africa, but it can also threaten biodiversity. How to balance the two (2023, July 18) retrieved 27 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-07-technology-boost-farming-africa-threaten.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.