

Pesticides are harming Nigeria: It's time to update the law, says researcher

July 28 2023, by Jane Ezirigwe



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

The European Union has banned the use of several pesticides, and heavily restricted others. This is because of their potential health effects or environmental contamination, or because there's not enough data to be sure that they aren't harmful. Over 50% of these pesticides are still registered in Nigeria, however.



Nigeria's use of such pesticides is the reason some markets, including the EU and the US, <u>reject</u> the country's agricultural products. Yet some countries with strict regulations at home still export the banned pesticides to countries like Nigeria.

As a <u>researcher</u> in the field of food and agricultural law, <u>international</u> <u>trade</u> and natural resource development, I've <u>explored</u> the laws and regulations that govern the use of pesticides. My research highlights the gaps that undermine export opportunities.

I identified four major factors that make Nigeria's pesticide regulations ineffective. They are: outdated laws; overlapping regulatory functions; resource limitations; and the influence of multinationals.

Good pesticide regulation should do three main things: protect people and the planet, support effective pest control, and provide redress when harm occurs.

Better regulation will make Nigeria's agricultural products safer for local consumption and export.

Limiting factors

Outdated laws: Nigeria's laws are <u>outdated</u>. The laws that regulate pesticides do not stop Nigerians from importing banned chemical products.

More than half of the products approved by the National Agency for Food and Drug Administration and Control, the principal agency for pesticides control, contain harmful active ingredients banned by the European Union.

There are no provisions to hold manufacturers accountable for the



negative impact of hazardous pesticides. No law requires people to use personal protective equipment when applying pesticides. And there's no legal duty to monitor residue in food.

Overlapping regulatory functions: The agencies regulating pesticide use in Nigeria don't work together. Some of their functions overlap and other functions are neglected. A national pesticide policy was announced in March 2019 to help coordinate what they do. But it hasn't been put into practice.

The regulators and civil society organizations that are supposed to enforce rules and protect consumers don't collaborate either. The regulatory agencies could get valuable insights and feedback from farmers' associations, for example. This lack of cooperation makes it harder to develop and use robust regulations.

Resources and financial limitations: Agencies of government are unable to function effectively because of limited financial and human resources. For example, they may not have adequate machinery and laboratories to test products.

Influence of multinationals: Big multinational companies have a lot of power. For instance, the proposed bill to establish a pesticides council reserves two seats for an internationally affiliated association, CropLife Nigeria.

CropLife comprises private companies like Syngenta and Bayer that manufacture highly hazardous pesticides. Council members can make decisions and provide strategic direction. With CropLife as a council member, the regulated becomes part of the regulator. Instead, the council should consist of neutral and independent organizations from the scientific and academic communities.



Why effective regulation matters

In 2020, over 270 people <u>died</u> from pesticide poisoning of a community river in Benue State.

A recent <u>survey</u> by the Alliance for Action on Pesticide in Nigeria and Small-scale Women Farmers Organisation in Nigeria showed that <u>80%</u> of the pesticides used most frequently by small-scale farmers were hazardous. Seventy-five percent of the women farmers in the survey reported symptoms from using pesticides. They include respiratory and eye problems, dizziness, vomiting and skin rashes.

Without strict regulation and enforcement, farmers might misuse or overuse pesticides in farms and storerooms. This would lead to environmental contamination and health hazards for farmers and consumers.

The average Nigerian consumer is unaware of the amount of pesticides in the food they consume. But Nigeria's food exports are tested regularly, and pesticide residues far exceed maximum limits on some crops.

These regulatory lapses have blocked export opportunities. Since 2015, the European Union has <u>banned</u> agricultural products from Nigeria that contain pesticide residues. These <u>include</u> groundnut, palm oil, sesame seed and beans.

Weak regulations also make Nigeria vulnerable to becoming a <u>dumping</u> ground for internationally banned and counterfeit pesticides.

What needs to be done



Nigeria can learn from <u>Tunisia</u>, <u>Mexico</u> and <u>Palestine</u>. They have passed laws that prohibit pesticides that are banned in the countries that make or export them.

The legal community should engage in public interest litigation to hold manufacturing companies accountable for harm caused by pesticides.

The government should do more to inform farmers, pest control operators and extension officers. A community-based, hands-on safety program will be more effective than formal training.

Food consumers also need to know about pesticide residues in food. The media should inform the public.

Lastly, <u>alternatives to toxic pesticides exist</u> and should be promoted as good ways to manage pests.

These steps will give Nigerian agricultural products a better chance of acceptance in the international market.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Pesticides are harming Nigeria: It's time to update the law, says researcher (2023, July 28) retrieved 2 May 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2023-07-pesticides-nigeria-law.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.