

Ageism, sexism, classism and more: Seven examples of bias in AI-generated images

July 10 2023, by T.J. Thomson and Ryan J. Thomas



Credit: Markus Spiske from Pexels

If you've been online much recently, chances are you've seen some of the fantastical imagery created by text-to-image generators such as Midjourney and DALL-E 2. This includes everything from the



naturalistic (think a soccer player's headshot) to the <u>surreal</u> (think a dog in space).

Creating images using AI generators has never been simpler. At the same time, however, these outputs can reproduce biases and deepen inequalities, as our <u>latest research</u> shows.

How do AI image generators work?

AI-based image generators use <u>machine-learning models</u> that take a text input and produce one or more images matching the description. Training these models requires massive datasets with millions of images.

Although Midjourney is opaque about the exact way its algorithms work, most AI image generators use a process called diffusion. Diffusion models work by adding random "noise" to training data, and then learning to recover the data by removing this noise. The model repeats this process until it has an image that matches the prompt.

This is different to the large language models that underpin other AI tools such as ChatGPT. Large language models are trained on unlabeled text data, which they analyze to learn language patterns and produce human-like responses to prompts.

How does bias happen?

In generative AI, the input influences the output. If a user specifies they only want to include people of a certain <u>skin tone</u> or gender in their image, the model will take this into account.





AI showed women for inputs including non-specialised job titles such as journalist (right). It also only showed older men (but not older women) for specialised roles such as news analyst (left). Credit: Midjourney

Beyond this, however, the model will also have a default tendency to return certain kinds of outputs. This is usually the result of how the underlying algorithm is designed, or a lack of diversity in the training data.

Our study explored how Midjourney visualizes seemingly generic terms in the context of specialized media professions (such as "news analyst," "news commentator" and "fact-checker") and non-specialized ones (such as "journalist," "reporter," "correspondent" and "the press").

We started analyzing the results in August last year. Six months later, to see if anything had changed over time, we generated additional sets of



images for the same prompts.

In total we analyzed more than 100 AI-generated images over this period. The results were largely consistent over time. Here are seven biases that showed up in our results.

1 and 2. Ageism and sexism

For non-specialized job titles, Midjourney returned images of only younger men and women. For specialized roles, both younger and older people were shown—but the older people were always men.



The AI generated images with exclusively light-skinned people for all the job titles used in the prompts, including news commentator (left) and reporter (right). Credit: Midjourney



These results implicitly reinforce a number of biases, including the assumption that <u>older people</u> do not (or cannot) work in non-specialized roles, that only older men are suited for specialized work, and that less specialized work is a woman's domain.

There were also notable differences in how men and women were presented. For example, women were younger and wrinkle-free, while men were "allowed" to have wrinkles.

The AI also appeared to present gender as a binary, rather than show examples of more fluid gender expression.

3. Racial bias

All the images returned for terms such as "journalist," "reporter" or "correspondent" exclusively featured light-skinned people. This trend of assuming whiteness by default is evidence of racial hegemony built into the system.

This may reflect a lack of diversity and representation in the underlying training data—a factor that is in turn influenced by the general lack of <u>workplace diversity</u> in the AI industry.





Without specifying a geographic context, and with a location-neutral job title, AI assumed an urban context for the images, including reporter (left) and correspondent (right). Credit: Midjourney

4 and 5. Classism and conservatism

All the figures in the images were also "conservative" in their appearance. For instance, none had tattoos, piercings, unconventional hairstyles, or any other attribute that could distinguish them from conservative mainstream depictions.

Many also wore formal clothing such as buttoned shirts and neckties, which are markers of class expectation. Although this attire might be expected for certain roles, such as TV presenters, it's not necessarily a true reflection of how general reporters or journalists dress.



6. Urbanism

Without specifying any location or geographic context, the AI placed all the figures in <u>urban environments</u> with towering skyscrapers and other large city buildings. This is despite only slightly <u>more than half</u> the world's population living in cities.

This kind of bias has implications for how we see ourselves, and our degree of connection with other parts of society.



AI used anachronistic technology, including vintage cameras, typewriters and printing presses, when depicting certain occupations such as the press (left) and journalist (right). Credit: the authors via Midjourney

7. Anachronism



Digital technology was underrepresented in the sample. Instead, technologies from a distinctly different era—including typewriters, printing presses and oversized vintage cameras—filled the samples.

Since many professionals look similar these days, the AI seemed to be drawing on more distinct technologies (including historical ones) to make its representations of the roles more explicit.

The next time you see AI-generated imagery, ask yourself how representative it is of the broader population and who stands to benefit from the representations within.

Likewise, if you're generating images yourself, consider potential biases when crafting your prompts. Otherwise you might unintentionally reinforce the same harmful stereotypes society has spent decades trying to unlearn.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Ageism, sexism, classism and more: Seven examples of bias in AI-generated images (2023, July 10) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-07-ageism-sexism-classism-examples-bias.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.