

Our oceans are in deep trouble—a 'mountains to sea' approach could make a real difference

June 7 2023, by Karen Fisher



Credit: Greg Nunes, Author provided

The rapidly declining health of New Zealand's marine environment raises serious questions about how we care for and manage the oceans.

A recent <u>stocktake of our marine environment</u> identifies several cumulative pressures. These include <u>ocean acidification</u>, <u>sea-level rise</u> and ongoing warming of the ocean surface associated with climate



change.

The report also highlights how activities on land lead to excess sediment, nutrients and plastic pollution flowing into the sea. Pressures at sea include fishing and aquaculture, extraction of natural resources, introduced <u>invasive species</u> and coastal development.

Our <u>research</u> explores the potential of ecosystem-based management—an approach that focuses on the whole system rather than individual species—to better regulate activities on land and at sea to improve ocean health.

We looked at what we would need in law and <u>governance</u> to support ecosystem-based management. We examined existing innovative forms of environmental governance such as legal personhood, communitybased collaborative initiatives, policies that reflect Māori values and rethink our relationship to te taio, and management approaches led by kaupapa Māori.

We found we already have solid foundations to enable ecosystem-based management in Aotearoa New Zealand.

The state of our marine environment





Activities on land and at sea all have an impact on estuaries. Credit: Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge, <u>CC BY-ND</u>

Ecosystem-based management (EBM) emerged as an approach following concerns about declining biodiversity, <u>fish stocks</u> and habitats, as well as failures of sector-based governance arrangements to prevent <u>environmental degradation</u>.



EBM promotes a focus on ecosystems rather than on species. It seeks to sustain ecosystem health, integrity and resilience. It requires an integrated approach that takes into account social, ecological, cultural and economic impacts of multiple uses and stress factors.

An ecosystem approach can potentially reconcile conflicts between different user groups, resolve mismatches and fulfill sustainability objectives.

There are indications that <u>some things may be improving</u>. But the accumulation of sediment and the presence of heavy metals in estuaries are of <u>ongoing concern</u>. So is habitat degradation and biodiversity loss. Recent examples include the <u>closure of scallop fisheries</u> because of declining stocks.

A particular challenge is managing cumulative effects that arise from incremental or interacting stressors. These can come from human activities or natural events that overlap in space or time. Estuaries and coastal areas are often the final repositories.

Our understanding of the interaction between multiple stressors is still limited. Many arise from land-based activities and this <u>makes regulation</u> to protect the ocean challenging.

Changing environmental governance





Incremental changes and cumulative effects make it challenging to manage the marine environment well. Credit: Grace Caadiang, CC BY-ND

Marine governance in Aotearoa New Zealand is characterized by fragmentation. Sectoral interests are regulated through multiple laws and policies. Responsibility for management is shared among at least 14 agencies operating under more than 25 different statutes across seven spatial jurisdictions.

The functions of these statutes range from regulating the effects of activities and access to resources to ensuring sustainable use and protecting species or areas of significance.

There are gaps in <u>how land and sea are regulated</u>. EBM, as an approach that benefits from mountains-to-sea thinking and action, could support more joined-up governance.



Environmental governance in New Zealand is already changing. Collaboration and place-based decision making are becoming features in new governance arrangements. How the environment is understood in relation to people, ecosystems and landscapes has also changed. It now better aligns with Māori worldviews and values.

We examined seven governance arrangements that span different environmental domains and reflect aspects of te ao Māori. We looked at marine and other examples to show what might be possible to enhance the implementation of EBM.

Two of the examples we examined are <u>Ōhiwa Harbour</u> and <u>Kaipara</u> <u>Harbour</u>. Both provide valuable insights into EBM in practice.

In both harbors, cumulative effects over multiple decades led to a decline in water quality and biodiversity and affected access to seafood.



1. Enacting interactive administrative arrangements

This pou recognises the potential of collaboration and engaging actors across multiple levels (from decision-making through to implementation and management actions). Rather than advocating for a 'perfect' model of governance, this pou emphasises interactive, dynamic, and inclusive arrangements.

3. Prioritising equity, justice, and social difference

This pou recognises the importance of redressing inequities and injustices perpetuated against Māori peoples and knowledges. This pou emphasises Māori inclusion in decision-making processes as well as recognising and providing for Māori relationships with the environment. In so doing, the potential benefits will accrue to Māori and non-Māori alike.

2. Diversifying knowledge production

This pou recognises the importance of including diverse knowledges and worldviews as well as supporting inclusive knowledge production processes (in terms of who produces, disseminates and 'controls' knowledge). This pou prompts thinking and action towards possibility by emphasising diversity and by seeking to move beyond knowledge-based assumptions embedded in settler-colonial thinking.

4. Recognising interconnections and interconnectedness

This pou recognises humans are part of ecosystems and that there are myriad relationships between humans and more- thanhumans/nonhumans. This pou prompts a shift away from governance arrangements premised on a separation of people from nature towards arrangements that are holistic and inclusive.

Certain conditions support the implementation of ecosystem-based management. Credit: Sustainable Seas National Science Challenge, <u>CC BY-ND</u>

In Ōhiwa Harbour, the decline in mussels and an over-abundance of seastars led to research and action focused on restoration and recovery. Scientists and tangata whenua worked together to improve management.

In Kaipara Harbour, concerns over ecosystem health led to the establishment of a co-management entity. The relationship between tangata whenua and the Kaipara Harbour is central to management.

These are two examples of grassroots EBM initiatives involving tangata whenua, local councils, local people and central government agencies. Management practices are informed by science and mātauranga Māori



and emphasize resilience rather than resource extraction and exploitation.

Based on these examples, we identified four pou (enabling conditions) to support the implementation of EBM with both Indigenous and non-Indigenous worldviews, knowledge systems and values.

Strengthening these pou would help governance by promoting collaborative and inclusive institutional arrangements capable of upholding Māori worldviews while being responsive to social and ecological complexity. These changes are already occurring. Focusing on how to support these changes ought to be a priority.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Our oceans are in deep trouble—a 'mountains to sea' approach could make a real difference (2023, June 7) retrieved 24 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-06-oceans-deep-troublea-mountains-sea.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.