

# Illuminating dark seas: Why fisheries management must be more transparent

June 13 2023, by Daniel Skerritt and Dyhia Belhabib



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Fisheries management is complex, characterized by uncertainty, contest and compromise. It must balance scientific advice with myriad expectations from fishers, local communities, conservationists, corporations and consumers.



Fisheries also face the threat of <u>illegal</u>, <u>unreported and unregulated</u> (<u>IUU</u>) fishing. This makes management a struggle, exacerbates overfishing, destroys marine habitats and threatens millions of livelihoods worldwide.

Transparency has emerged as an <u>essential tool</u> for clarifying management and shedding light on IUU. <u>Louis Brandeis, an American</u> <u>lawyer and former United States Supreme Court justice, once wrote</u> that sunlight is the best of disinfectants, meaning people perform better and more honestly if their actions are observed and they are held accountable.

As calls for greater fisheries <u>transparency</u> grow louder, let's take a moment to reflect on the importance of context for understanding where transparency exists and where it's needed most.

#### Why is fisheries transparency important?

First, fish are a common good. Citizens have the right to know how they are exploited. Second, <u>over a third of the world's fish stocks are</u> <u>overfished</u>. Third, <u>seafood production is increasingly transboundary</u>.

The often flawed perception of small boats feeding local communities is an oversimplification of a complex network spanning multiple jurisdictions.

Sustainably managing increasingly globalized and contested fisheries requires <u>international co-operation</u> and open decision-making.

As such, <u>transparency advocates call on governments to</u> divulge data on catches, stock status, finances, trade and vessel identification, ownership and location.



Civil society has been a key driver behind progress in these areas. But success is never straightforward nor cheap and is <u>often dependent upon</u> <u>policy and governance</u>.

# **Context is key**

Transparency is vital where widespread unsustainable and illegal fishing severely impact local communities.

In West Africa, for example, fisheries support <u>food security</u> and <u>livelihoods</u>, but are often in poor or unknown condition. <u>Illegal fishing</u> there costs more than \$2 billion each year.

Greater transparency could help communities receive a fairer share of fishery benefits. But for it to be effective, it must be coupled with reform elsewhere that improves enforcement, democratic processes and access to justice.

Transparency is not only a domestic issue. <u>More than 60 percent of illegal fishing in West Africa is committed by foreign vessels</u>, particularly from Spain and China.

Effective transparency in this situation is not just about <u>public</u> <u>information</u>, but a function of financial and institutional capacity and the influence of <u>foreign power and money</u> on decision-making.

# **Transparency in Ghana**

Recently the Ghanaian government was <u>called out for failing to publish</u> <u>adequate fisheries data</u>, despite <u>its commitment to 100 percent</u> <u>transparency of industrial fleets</u>.



But we can't ignore the complicity of foreign governments—<u>44 percent</u> of industrial catches in Ghana are made by foreign vessels. The need for investment in Ghana has also allowed foreign businesses to infiltrate local fleets—<u>more than 90 percent of Ghanaian industrial trawlers are</u> <u>Chinese-owned</u>.



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Financially and politically powerful fishing nations <u>target countries with</u> <u>weaker governance</u>, and may even be <u>integral to creating that weakness</u> by implementing policies that constrain small-scale fishers and let industrial fleets off the hook.

<u>China is estimated to report only eight percent of its industrial catch</u> <u>from West Africa, while the European Union reports 29 percent</u>.



Foreign fleets in the region are notorious for <u>obscuring their locations</u> and <u>illegally fishing in areas reserved for small-scale fishers</u>.

In addition to the lack of published data, there is also a lack of accountability and justice. We believe transparency in Ghana is as much about revealing power struggles and the prioritization of corporate profit as it is about publishing data.

## **Transparency in Europe**

In Europe, transparency looks quite different. Decades of relative political and <u>financial stability</u> have led to highly developed infrastructure and institutions. The EU has extensive online data sharing, including <u>mandatory catch declarations</u>, vessel monitoring systems and <u>public registries of all vessels</u> and company finances.

The EU also has a <u>world-leading system</u> for controlling imports and punishing opacity by denying poor performers access to EU markets—the <u>notable exception being China</u>. In fact, Ghana was recently issued a <u>yellow card as a non-co-operating country in the fight against</u> <u>illegal fishing</u>. The EU claims to have zero tolerance for <u>illegal fishing</u>.

However, the EU was recently labeled "hypocritical and neocolonial" for their refusal to implement measures against the overfishing of yellowfin tuna while being the largest beneficiary of their exploitation. The EU has reportedly undermined sustainability and <u>authorized its vessels to fish</u> unlawfully in African waters.

The EU's economic clout has created a <u>legacy of distortion</u> that favors their fleets over others.

This dichotomy of transparency at home and opacity abroad raises several questions about how our expectations for transparency must



reflect the realities of different contexts.

#### Let's be clear about transparency

Making information public and opening up decision-making can reduce corruption, increase compliance and lead to fair and effective policies.

But transparency clearly depends upon on historical, cultural and financial realities. Expecting transparency to be one-size-fits-all can result in us oversimplifying the complexity of international fisheries.

Ignoring context may <u>perpetuate power imbalances</u> and promote the view that transparency is a so-called "developing world issue," rather than ubiquitous. It may cast some nations as poor performers and others as world leaders, despite both having issues with opacity.

How we determine the arbiters of good governance sets a precedent for future decision-making, especially because transparency is no longer just about monitoring fishers, but is also about monitoring states.

## Moving beyond symbolism

Why do we seek transparency in the first place? Is the goal to catch <u>fish</u> <u>pirates</u>? Maximize revenue? Or prioritize equitability? Ignoring equity issues can lead to transparency being regarded as intrusive and may be resisted or ineffective, especially in countries like Ghana.

We believe that transparency is one part of enabling conditions for delivering sustainable and equitable fisheries. It must be coupled with capacity-building for monitoring, enforcement and truly participatory stakeholder engagement.



It must overcome rigidity to allow multiple interpretations for transparency, including incorporating <u>traditional or Indigenous</u> <u>knowledge systems</u>. Those systems tend to focus on seasonality and leaving resources for the ecosystem, context-specific data types and are inclusive of different languages and cultural norms.

It must empower <u>local communities</u> to make informed decisions about the fate of their own resources and be attentive to existing transparency measures that rigid Eurocentric standards may not embody or recognize.

Ultimately, achieving transparency must not become more important than addressing the socio-ecological expectations that <u>fisheries</u> <u>management</u> set out to resolve. Effectiveness should be measured by sustainable and equitable outcomes—not the degree of transparency, but the degree to which <u>fisheries</u> sustainably and equitably serve local people

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Illuminating dark seas: Why fisheries management must be more transparent (2023, June 13) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-06-illuminating-dark-seas-fisheries-transparent.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.