

Houses and high-rises (and nothing in between): Why land zoning hasn't been effective for improving urban density

June 30 2023, by Rachel Gallagher and Yan Liu



Brisbane's West End. Credit: Rachel Gallagher, Author provided

For almost a century, zoning has been the key tool used by urban planners to influence how our cities grow and change. Our newly published <u>research</u> looked at whether zoning is effective at guiding new urban growth patterns.

Zoning schemes vary, but generally consist of a map that classifies land



into zones and a code that says what activities are permitted in each zone.

We found simply rezoning land for higher density is not enough to transform low-density and car-centric neighborhoods into the mixed-use and walkable neighborhoods envisioned in our planning schemes.

A post-war model for guiding growth

Contemporary zoning truly developed after the second world war. Australian cities grew rapidly during this period.

A combination of large real estate interests and the emerging field of urban planning created low-density, car-centric suburbs. Urban plans called for standardized subdivisions and land uses, and engineering of streets and infrastructure.

Urban development was largely characterized by detached houses in residential suburbs. Large road networks separated these suburbs from commercial and industrial areas of the city.

Fast-forward to the late 1980s and many cities began to introduce planning mechanisms to restrict outward expansion. Changes in zoning allowed for increased density and a mix of land uses. The aim was to encourage redevelopment of existing <u>urban areas</u>.

What did the study look at?

Our research sought to understand how this new zoning, with the goal of increasing urban density, works in practice. We wanted to explore how differences in neighborhood layouts influence outcomes.



To find out, we compared the redevelopment of six neighborhoods over 70 years. Each neighborhood has zoning that allows for high-rise apartments to be built. But the six neighborhoods have different street, land parcel and land use patterns. This is because their initial construction happened in different periods.

Study areas included: inner suburbs with a gridded street pattern; inner and middle suburbs retrofitted for motorway and road-widening projects and large drive-in shopping centers; and suburbs built with motorways, streets following curved lines and drive-in shopping centers at the outset.

Our research looked at the city at three scales: street network, land parcels and building footprints.

What did the study find?

We found street networks were stable, except for government-led motorway projects. Large-scale disruption of street networks often requires acts of overt, top-down power. This can fundamentally alter the urban fabric of a neighborhood—a highway cut one study area in half.

We found parcel boundaries were reconfigured for new development. Parcel size was reduced for most uses, except for commercial services like shopping centers.

We found a decrease in built structures over time, but an increase in their size. This was mainly due to larger buildings replacing smaller cottages and businesses. The total area covered by buildings increased substantially.

Some neighborhoods were more adaptable to redevelopment than others. Less-connected neighborhoods with large highways and cul-de-sacs, including those close to the city center, had smaller increases in density



than neighborhoods with more grid-like street networks.

<u>Protections on so-called character homes</u> and successful campaigns <u>against zoning for housing diversity</u> mean multi-family buildings like apartments were concentrated in specific precincts, often next to low-density areas.

<u>Character houses</u> in Brisbane are dwellings built before 1947. Most innercity parcels allow the construction of small apartments or townhouses if the development retains the original house.

However, our results show most parcels with character houses still contained only houses in 2021. This could be because contemporary renovations of houses significantly increase their building footprints, leaving little space for extra dwellings.

What does this mean for planning?

Our findings highlight the need to consider the existing built form and urban layout when applying generalized densification targets.

The suburbs of most cities in the developed world occupy more land than the gridded and denser city centers—and these suburban developments are not very adaptable to change.

Zoning alone may not be enough to catalyze redevelopment of suburbs that have disconnected and curvilinear street networks. Investment in infrastructure that improves transport connections may be needed if these areas are to be desirable (and practical) locations for increased urban density.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Houses and high-rises (and nothing in between): Why land zoning hasn't been effective for improving urban density (2023, June 30) retrieved 28 April 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2023-06-houses-high-rises-zoning-hasnt-effective.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.