

Study examines how the type of packaging influences purchase intention

May 15 2023



Vegetable oil, blueberries, butter: - Whether or not we choose something at the supermarket also depends on how environmentally friendly we think the packaging is. Credit: Janine Macht/Uni Bonn

German consumers consider paper-based packaging to be particularly environmentally friendly. Nevertheless, they tend to be skeptical about innovative products such as paper-based bottles. This is shown by a recent study by the University of Bonn and Forschungszentrum Jülich. Almost 3,000 women and men from all over Germany were surveyed for the study. The results have now been published in the journal *Food Quality and Preference*.

Worldwide, almost 400 million tons of plastic are produced annually—all of the cars in Europe together weigh only slightly more. According to estimates, 40 percent of plastics are processed into



packaging: for refrigerators, books, deodorants, but also for drinks or cucumbers. A large part of this later ends up in the garbage or in the environment. At the same time, production wastes valuable fossil resources and endangers the climate.

"One possible solution to these problems is environmentally friendly plastic alternatives," explains Janine Macht, a doctoral student at the Institute for Food and Resource Economics at the University of Bonn. "These include plastics made from renewable raw materials, such as agricultural waste. Some manufacturers also rely on innovative paper-based packaging such as ice cream cups or bottles. We wanted to know what level of acceptance these alternatives receive from consumers and to what extent this also depends on the product that is packaged."

Macht investigated these aspects together with her colleague Jeanette Klink-Lehmann and project coordinator Dr. Sandra Venghaus from Forschungszentrum Jülich (Venghaus has since moved to a junior professorship at RWTH Aachen University). The researchers conducted an <u>online survey</u> with nearly 3,000 male and <u>female participants</u> from across Germany. The sample was chosen to be as similar as possible to the distribution in the <u>general population</u> in terms of gender, age distribution, and education.

The researchers focused their survey on three very different foods: Blueberries, butter and vegetable oil. In addition, there were three different ways in which these products were packaged: in a traditional (but at least recyclable) plastic container, in a bioplastic container, or in a paper-based alternative. There were therefore nine different foodpackaging combinations in total.

Respondents were now randomly divided into nine groups. Each of the groups was shown a photo of one of these combinations, along with brief information about the packaging. The subjects were then asked to



indicate how environmentally friendly they thought this packaging shown was. They were also asked how suitable they thought the packaging was for protecting, transporting and storing the food in question.

A key finding: Paper-based packaging received significantly better environmental scores on average than packaging made from bioplastics. Conventional plastic packaging scored worst on this point. However, respondents were suspicious about the practicality of the paper containers. They did consider them quite suitable for protecting soft fruit such as berries from damage during transport. As storage containers for vegetable oil, on the other hand, they saw plastic containers clearly in the lead. In fact, conventional plastics scored best here.

Participants were also asked to indicate whether they would buy the product in the packaging shown. According to the study, significantly more people would pick berries in a cardboard container than in a plastic basket. Vegetable oil, on the other hand, was most attractive for purchase when it was filled in a bottle made of bioplastic. "So when it comes to making a purchasing decision, customers don't just look at the presumed environmental friendliness, but also at how suitable they think the packaging is for the food in question," says Macht.

Incidentally, the study says nothing about how sustainable bioplastics or cardboard bottles really are. "In some cases, there isn't even any data on the new packaging yet," explains Macht, who is also a member of the Transdisciplinary Research Area "Sustainable Futures" at the University of Bonn.

In any case, she adds, it was difficult to make a general assessment of the eco-balance. Whether plastic made from renewable raw materials, for example, is really sustainable depends on many factors: Where the source materials come from. Whether valuable agricultural land was



sacrificed for production, which may then lead to more forests being cleared. How well compostable and recyclable the <u>plastic</u> is.

It is a similar story with paper-based packaging: Its production also consumes resources and energy—sometimes more, sometimes less, depending on the production location and method. "In principle, it's certainly best to avoid packaging as much as possible," the researcher emphasizes. "But that doesn't always work. Liquids need a container in which to be stored. Fruits such as raspberries would not survive transport to the retailer or even from the supermarket to home without protective packaging."

Nevertheless, she is convinced that solutions made from renewable raw materials can be a first step toward solving at least some problems, such as the consumption of precious fossil resources or—in the case of compostable <u>packaging</u>—the huge amounts of waste that will pollute our oceans for centuries to come.

More information: Janine Macht et al, Eco-friendly alternatives to food packed in plastics: German consumers' purchase intentions for different bio-based packaging strategies, *Food Quality and Preference* (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.foodgual.2023.104884

Provided by University of Bonn

Citation: Study examines how the type of packaging influences purchase intention (2023, May 15) retrieved 29 June 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2023-05-packaging-intention.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.