

Counting the cost of sunshine: Finding a better metric to measure human ecological footprints

April 18 2023, by Lael Gilbert



Each day the sun shines, energy reaches the earth's surface to be processed by plants, trees, bacteria and other living things and stored as biomass. This can be measured as shown in this infographic. Credit: Lael Gilbert



This planet of 8 billion people is bumping up against its ecological limits, and researchers are trying to quantify the effect of human activity on these finite resources. Some keep tallies of how much carbon they contribute to the atmosphere, others measure direct and indirect water consumption or keep tabs on the amount of land that our food habits demand.

Each of these "footprints" offers an estimate of the impacts individuals and institutions have on the wider world, and are useful—but are flawed, according to geographer Chris Lant, from the Quinney College of Natural Resources, because most footprint scales report only a piece of the whole. Lant and a team of researchers are working to fine-tune a better way to measure the complete system <u>in newly published research</u>.

Simply calculating a disembodied footprint for a single aspect of an intertwined system offers an imperfect picture of the cost of any one product, Lant said.

Consider a taco you might have had for lunch today—determining the <u>carbon footprint</u> for the quarter-cup of shredded cheddar jack and three ounces of ground beef could account for methane produced by the meatand milk-producing animals, and for the fuel consumed by the semitruck on the trip from Texas or Idaho.

But it wouldn't account for the water consumed to feed the animal or indicate if the food had been produced on fragile sagebrush steppe or on resilient grasslands, or account for the energy cost of lightbulbs, heating and dishwater at the restaurant where you bought it, or for the landfill where the wrapper ends up.

The human food-energy-water system is wickedly interconnected, but most of the links in the network are neither global nor local—the action lies in everyday trade between counties and states that rely on each



other's ecosystems, Lant said.

To capture a better picture of human impacts in this system, you need a measurement that starts at the source—the sun. An emerging metric called 'Human Appropriation of Net Primary Production' (HANNP), has promise to be a more holistic way to accurately estimate the impacts of human actions on the earth's systems, according to Lant and co-authors.

The calculation starts with a tally of the total biomass that a piece of land can produce in a defined amount of time, called Net Primary Production (NPP). Through <u>satellite imagery</u>, researchers can quantify the amount of plant matter produced through photosynthesis, which offers a birdseye estimate for the total plant (and eventually animal) growth that a piece of land contributes to the world. Tall forests or wetlands have high NPP. Nebraska grasslands or <u>crop fields</u> have medium NPP, while the arid red rock landscapes of southern Utah have low NPP.

Humans already claim a good portion of the total plant biomass produced by the sun. The HANPP metric compares the total biomass to what humans have appropriated for their own use—the corn, soybeans, alfalfa, wood, onions, cotton, grazed plants, apples, coffee, rice, paper products, <u>peanut butter</u>, sugar, quinoa and more that humans claim from the system for food, livestock feed, fiber and biofuel. (In the U.S., the lowest of these four categories is direct food at 16 percent).

People tend to be pretty good at keeping records of crop production and agricultural yield—so although figuring HANPP is data intensive, it is more than just a theoretical calculation. It also has major advantages over other ecological footprints.

"HANPP is measured relative to ecological capacity, not just land area," Lant said. "So it's easy to see that a square mile of Gobi desert is not equivalent to a square mile of Brazilian rainforest. Also, nearly all of the



water we consume and nutrients we apply are used to grow things that we harvest. HANPP accounts for many of the connections in the system, especially between consuming cities and production of goods on rural lands."

Cities are dependent on rural areas for everything from blueberries to biofuels. That connection isn't always obvious in ecological footprint metrics. Rural land is, in effect, exporting water, nutrients and energy to keep cities running, Lant said. HANPP illustrates the strength of that connection through the line of production and consumption—supply chains—and documents how cities displace ecological footprints to land outside their boundaries.

The metric also allows researchers to see how much of total NPP humans are leaving behind for natural systems to function, such as for supporting biodiversity, Lant said. In some very intensively harvested places, that number can be close to zero.

"Photosynthesis on the planet produces 55-60 billion tonnes of carbon each year ... that's a total that's hard to fathom, but when human use climbs toward half of this total, nature starts to disappear," Lant said.

The new research pushes the HANPP metric forward and refines it, Lant said. The team has determined how to calculate HANPP down to the 30-meter level, tracking trends about how biomass is produced and what it is used for, he said.

More information: Suman Paudel et al, Product-Specific human appropriation of net primary production in US counties, *Ecological Indicators* (2023). DOI: 10.1016/j.ecolind.2023.110241



Provided by Utah State University

Citation: Counting the cost of sunshine: Finding a better metric to measure human ecological footprints (2023, April 18) retrieved 11 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2023-04-sunshine-metric-human-ecological-footprints.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.