

## Leonardo da Vinci's mother might have been a slave. Here's what the discovery reveals about Renaissance Europe

March 29 2023, by Gabriele Neher



St Catherine of Alexandria as painted by Caravaggio (1598). Credit: <u>Museo Nacional Thyssen-Bornemisza</u>, <u>CC BY</u>

A recently discovered note, drawn up by Leonardo da Vinci's father,



Piero, in November 1452, shows that he emancipated an enslaved woman named Caterina.

The scholar who found the document (<u>Carlo Vecce</u>, a professor of Italian literature) has suggested that she was Leonardo's mother.

The claim was announced to coincide with the publication of Vecce's novel "Il Sorriso di Caterina" (Caterina's Smile)—a fictional account of the life of da Vinci's mother. Vecce's novel weaves together the few facts scholars agree on: that da Vinci was the illegitimate child of his father and a lower status woman and that his mother was called Caterina.

Scholars agree on these facts because of another archival discovery made by leading Leonardo da Vinci scholar Martin Kemp in 2016.

Kemp identified a <u>1457 tax return</u> filed by Leonardo's grandfather, Antonio da Vinci, who listed his <u>family members</u>, including Piero da Vinci's illegitimate son, "born of him and Caterina." That document led Kemp to identify da Vinci's mother as 15-year-old orphan, Caterina di Meo Lippi.

These two archival finds—Piero da Vinci's emancipation of Caterina, and Antonio da Vinci's <u>tax return</u>—mean that Vecce and Kemp agree on da Vinci's mother's <u>social background</u>. This is an important part of his life story.

Had da Vinci been a legitimate son, his professional career would have followed that of his father, who was a notary (a legal professional who authenticates and witnesses <u>legal documents</u>).

Da Vinci was recognized as his father's son and lived with his grandfather, but as an illegitimate child, his professional career and training had to lie elsewhere. Instead of pursuing a legal career, da Vinci



was apprenticed to <u>Andrea del Verrochio</u>, a goldsmith and painter. The rest, as they say, is history.

## **Slavery in Renaissance Europe**

Slavery was an intrinsic part of the social structure of Renaissance

Europe and was well documented in legal records. Much recent
scholarship has focused on gaining a better understanding of what being
"enslaved" meant and who these slaves may have been.



The possible birthplace and childhood home of Leonardo in Anchiano, Vinci, Italy. Credit: Roland Arhelger, CC BY

The newly discovered document demonstrates <u>how common</u> <u>enslavement was</u> in Renaissance Europe and how far down the social scale it reached. Piero da Vinci—who was reasonably well off but by no



means top of the social order—both owned an enslaved woman (Caterina) and could afford to emancipate her.

Piero followed contemporary social conventions in adding a <u>Circassian</u> slave to his household. Circassian slaves came from the northwest Caucasus and the women were <u>celebrated for their beauty</u>.

Many Circassians were Muslim and the name "Caterina" was commonly assigned on conversion to Christianity. Caterina refers to <u>St Catherine of Alexandria</u>, a Roman convert who became a martyr, so the name both referenced this act of conversion and an example of devoted service for the newly converted's emulation.

Emancipation of slaves was a social expectation of Christian charity and often occurred when the owner drew up their will, or when a slave had "proven" their service. This could relate to their length of service or—likely in Caterina's case—the birth of a son. These people often disappeared from the records after their emancipation.

In Caterina's case, <u>Kemp suggests</u> she was provided with a small dowry to enable a modest marriage. It is certainly possible that Caterina's emancipation followed the birth of her son, Leonardo, but the archives give us no more answers. There is likely no way of telling what Caterina's fate was and Vecce's fictional account is as good a reconstruction as any.

Vecce's document also raises questions about what is meant by the word "slavery" within the context of Renaissance Europe. Slavery, in the most generic sense of the word, means the ownership of a person by someone else, including ownership over their body and labor.

An enslaved person like Caterina was considered very valuable in Renaissance Europe. Adding a slave to his household staff acted as a



status marker for Piero da Vinci. His ownership of a Circassian slave showed that he had economically and professionally made it.

Furthermore, Piero's subsequent emancipation of his slave allowed him to demonstrate his supposed Christian compassion in freeing her, and again demonstrated his economic affluence in being able to lose her (free) labor.

A Renaissance slave was the "most unfree" of a Renaissance household's servants, but ultimately, every one of Piero da Vinci's servants was bonded and unfree in one way or another.

Enslaved people in Renaissance Europe were not considered a distinct group but belonged within the wider social context of <u>serfdom and servitude</u>. What set them apart was their fixed market value and that they could, by law, be sold and (re)sold unless emancipated—which led them to be seen as luxury possessions.

So, does Vecce's document change our understanding of da Vinci's life and work? Not in the slightest. What it does do, however, is shed light on just how far enslavement reached into the households of Renaissance Europe.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Leonardo da Vinci's mother might have been a slave. Here's what the discovery reveals about Renaissance Europe (2023, March 29) retrieved 18 June 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2023-03-leonardo-da-vinci-mother-slave.html">https://phys.org/news/2023-03-leonardo-da-vinci-mother-slave.html</a>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.