

Carbon capture: how does CO₂ removal work?

January 19 2023, by Marlowe HOOD



Rising quickly on the climate agenda is the issue of tools and techniques to pull CO₂ straight out of the air.

With global temperatures still on the rise, even the most sceptical of scientists agree that carbon dioxide removal (CDR) is crucial to meet the Paris Agreement goal of capping global warming below two degrees Celsius.

A new global assessment published Thursday says limiting [global warming](#) at liveable levels will be impossible without massively scaling up CDR.

But even the most ardent promoters of carbon removal technology insist that slashing emissions remains the primary objective, even if the continued failure to do so has pushed CDR sharply higher on the climate agenda.

Methods range from conventional techniques like restoring or expanding CO₂-absorbing forests and wetlands, to more novel technologies such as [direct air capture](#).

Here AFP explains the essentials on CO₂ removal:

What is CO₂ removal?

There are basically two ways to extract CO₂ from thin air.

One is to boost nature's capacity to absorb and stockpile carbon. Healing degraded forests, restoring mangroves, industrial-scale tree planting, boosting carbon uptake in rocks or the ocean—all fall under the hotly debated category of "nature-based solutions".

The second way—called direct air capture—uses [chemical processes](#) to strip out CO₂, then recycles it for industrial use or locks it away in porous rock formations, unused coal beds or saline aquifers.

A variation known as bioenergy with [carbon capture](#) and storage, or BECCS, combines elements from both approaches.

Wood pellets or other biomass is converted into biofuels or burned to drive turbines that generate electricity. The CO₂ emitted is roughly


cancelled out by the CO₂ absorbed during [plant growth](#).

But when [carbon dioxide](#) in the power plant's exhaust is syphoned off and stored underground, the process becomes a net-negative technology.

Global temperature anomalies

Compared to the 1901-2000 average

In degrees Celsius



Source: NOAA

AFP 

Global temperature anomalies by month from 1880 to 2022.

Do we really need it?

Yes, for a couple of reasons.

Even if the world begins drawing down [carbon](#) pollution by three, four or five percent each year—and that is a significant "if"—some sectors like cement and [steel production](#), long-haul aviation and agriculture are expected to maintain significant emission levels for decades.

The first-ever State of Carbon Dioxide report concluded that CDR must extract between 450 billion and 1.1 trillion tonnes of CO₂ over the remainder of the 21st century—the equivalent of 10 to 30 times annual CO₂ emissions today.

And there is another reason.

The UN's Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) makes it alarmingly clear that the 1.5C threshold will be breached in the coming decades no matter how aggressively greenhouse gases are drawn down.

CO₂ lingers in the atmosphere for centuries, which means that the only way to bring Earth's average surface temperature back under the wire by 2100 is to suck some of it out of the air.

What's hot, what's not?

BECCS was pencilled into IPCC climate models more than a decade ago as the theoretically cheapest form of negative emissions, but has barely developed since.

A peer-reviewed proposal in 2019 to draw down excess CO₂ by planting a trillion trees sparked huge excitement in the media and among gas and oil companies that have made afforestation offsets a central to their efforts to align with Paris treaty goals.

But the idea was sharply criticised by experts, who pointed out that it would require converting twice the area of India into mono-culture tree

farms.



The inside of a Climeworks CO₂-removal factory in Iceland.

"I don't see a BECCS boom," said Oliver Geden, a senior fellow at the German Institute for International and Security Affairs and an expert on CDR.

Also, planting trees to soak up CO₂ is fine—until the forests burn down in climate-enhanced wildfires.

Among all the [carbon dioxide removal](#) methods, direct air capture is among the least developed but the most talked about.

How fast can we scale up?

Direct air capture (DAC) is a large-scale [industrial process](#) that requires huge amounts of energy to run.

Existing technology is also a long way from making a dent in the problem.

The amount, for example, of CO₂ potentially extracted from what will be the world's largest direct air capture plant (36,000 tonnes)—being built in Iceland by Swiss company Climeworks—is equivalent to 30 seconds' worth of current global emissions (about 40 billion tonnes).

But the trajectory of earlier technologies such as solar panels suggests that scaling the industry up to remove billions of tonnes per year is not out of reach.

"It's at the upper end of what we've seen before," University of Wisconsin–Madison professor Gregory Nemet. "It's a huge challenge, but it's not unprecedented."

Climeworks announced last week the world's first certified CO₂ removal and storage on behalf of paying clients, including Microsoft and software service company Stripe.

© 2023 AFP

Citation: Carbon capture: how does CO₂ removal work? (2023, January 19) retrieved 10 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2023-01-carbon-capture-co2.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.