

## How assigning labels at work can delegitimize employees

January 26 2023, by Claudine Mangen



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Have you or a colleague ever been negatively labeled at work, whether it's based on your gender, age, race or ethnicity? Labels can often be mundane because we use them spontaneously on an everyday basis. But they can also be far from innocuous. Labels convey value judgments and serve to control the behavior of the people they're applied to.



My explanations of labeling draw on research, including my own. I head a <u>research program</u> on gender inequalities and organizational leadership at Concordia University. My research is concerned with everyday practices <u>like labeling</u>, how they arise and what they do.

## **Differing expectations**

To understand <u>labels</u>, we have to look at how we interact with the world around us. We make sense of this world by using mental shortcuts that enable us to save our mental resources. Shortcuts draw on categories; one of the most salient categories is gender.

We instantaneously and spontaneously categorize people around us in gender categories, relying on information accumulated throughout our lives. Categories of course go beyond gender and also include race, age, ethnicity and so on.

As we assign people to gender categories, we evaluate them in their roles, notably whether these roles are consistent with their gender category. During this evaluation process, we draw on social norms about women and men, who they are and what they do. Today's social norms continue to view men and women differently: women are expected to act communally and care for others; men are expected to be agentic and assert themselves.

As a result, when we assign a person to the woman category, we are inclined to see her in a caregiving role rather than an agentic role like a leader. Our beliefs are gender-biased: if she had been a man, we would have attributed a different role to her.

When we see others behave in ways that deviate from the roles associated with their gender categories, we often draw on labels that designate this deviance. For instance, suppose we see a woman who is



assertive. Since we categorized her as a woman, we expect her to be caregiving; we see her assertive behavior as a deviance from this caregiving behavior. We might then draw on a label that identifies and designates this deviance.

## Labels matter

<u>Women leaders I interviewed</u> told me how they have been labeled "bitch." The names of interview participants I cite below have been changed to protect their anonymity.

For instance, Leslie explained: "Women are still perceived as the ones who should be softer, caretaking; everything is just from the heart, and doting and nurturing."

When women do not meet expectations around caretaking, they are penalized for their deviance. Leslie pointed out: "When you don't fill that role, and people expect you to fill that role going back to expectations, you're seen as a tough, sorry to say it, bitch."

Tina argued that men do not have similar caretaking expectations: "We all know a guy who's tough—he's assertive, he's confident. A woman who's tough, she's a bitch."

Labels have consequences for those who are labeled. When labels are used to designate behavior that deviates from an <u>expectation</u>, they can <u>delegitimize and undermine people</u>.

Consider again the <u>women leaders</u> I interviewed. Labels that emphasize their <u>gender</u> obscure their other identities and roles. In other words, the labels suggest that their identities as women and leaders are incompatible.



The interview participants reacted in three ways to their labeling. They accepted it and made efforts to be seen as nice. They also rejected it, questioning the person who did the labeling. Finally, they sometimes ignored it. Either way, they spent time and energy dealing with labels that went to the core of who they are.

There are many other labels that we often use, many of which do the same thing as the "bitch" label that I illustrated. We do not question labels because they often seem so mundane and spontaneous. Therein, however, lies the danger of labels: they constitute a way of putting people down and delegitimizing them.

We should observe ourselves and question why we use the labels we do. What are our expectations of the people we label? If they don't meet our expectations, rather than blaming them through a label, perhaps we should question our expectations.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: How assigning labels at work can delegitimize employees (2023, January 26) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2023-01-assigning-delegitimize-employees.html">https://phys.org/news/2023-01-assigning-delegitimize-employees.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.