

# Researchers find genetic links between traits are often overstated

November 17 2022

---



If spiky back dinosaurs like to mate with long horned dinosaurs, genes for spiky backs and for long horns will be correlated in the subsequent generation. This doesn't mean that the biological factors causing spiky backs or long horns are similar. Credit: Aaqilah M

Many estimates of how strongly traits and diseases share genetic signals

may be inflated, according to a new UCLA-led study that indicates current methods for assessing genetic relationships between traits fail to account for mating patterns.

Through the use of powerful genome sequencing technology, scientists in recent years have sought to understand the [genetic associations](#) between traits and disease risk, hoping that discoveries of shared genetics could point to clues for tackling diseases. However, UCLA researchers said their new study, published Nov. 17 in *Science*, provides caution against relying too heavily on genetic correlation estimates. They say that such estimates are confounded by non-biological factors more than has been previously appreciated.

Genetic correlation estimates typically assume that mating is random. But in the [real world](#), partners tend to pair up because of many shared interests and social structures. As a result, some genetic correlations in previous work that have been attributed to shared biology may instead represent incorrect statistical assumptions. For example, previous estimates of genetic overlap between [body mass index](#) (BMI) and educational attainment are likely to reflect this type of population structure, induced by "cross-trait assortative mating," or how individuals of one trait tend to partner with individuals of another trait.

The study authors said genetic correlation estimates deserve more scrutiny, since these estimates been used to predict disease risk, glean for clues for potential therapies, inform diagnostic practices, and shape arguments about human behavior and societal issues. The authors said some in the [scientific community](#) have placed too much emphasis on genetic correlation estimates based on the idea that studying genes, because they are unalterable, can overcome confounding factors.

"If you just look at two traits that are elevated in a group of people, you can't conclude that they're there for the same reason," said lead author

Richard Border, a postdoctoral researcher in statistical genetics at UCLA. "But there's been a kind of assumption that if you can track this back to genes, then you would have the causal story."

Based on their analysis of two large databases of spousal traits, researchers found that cross-trait assortative mating is strongly associated with genetic correlation estimates and plausibly accounts for a "substantial" portion of genetic correlation estimates.

"Cross-trait assortative mating has affected all of our genomes and caused interesting correlations between DNA you inherit from your mother and DNA you inherit from your father across the whole genome," said study co-author Noah Zaitlen, a professor of computational medicine and neurology at UCLA Health.

The researchers also examined genetic correlation [estimates](#) of psychiatric disorders, which have sparked debate in the psychiatric community because they appear to show [genetic relationships](#) among disorders that seemingly have little similarity, such as attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and schizophrenia. The researchers found that genetic correlations for a number of unrelated traits could be plausibly attributed to cross-trait assortative [mating](#) and imperfect diagnostic practices. On the other hand, their analysis found stronger links for some pairs of traits, like anxiety disorders and [major depression](#), suggesting that there truly is at least some shared biology.

"But even when there is a real signal there, we're still suggesting that we're overestimating the extent of that sharing," Border said.

Other study authors include Georgios Athanasiadis, Alfonso Buil, Andrew J. Schork, Na Cai, Alexander I. Young, Thomas Werge, Jonathan Flint, Kenneth S. Kendler, Sriram Sankararaman, and Andy Dahl.

**More information:** Richard Border, Cross-trait assortative mating is widespread and inflates genetic correlation estimates, *Science* (2022). DOI: [10.1126/science.abo2059](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abo2059).

[www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abo2059](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.abo2059)

Andrew D. Grotzinger, Potential bias in genetic correlations, *Science* (2022). DOI: [10.1126/science.ade8002](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.ade8002).

[www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.ade8002](https://www.science.org/doi/10.1126/science.ade8002)

Provided by University of California, Los Angeles

Citation: Researchers find genetic links between traits are often overstated (2022, November 17) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2022-11-genetic-links-traits-overstated.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.