

Tigray has resisted Ethiopia's far greater military might for two year—here's why neither side is giving in

October 17 2022, by Asafa Jalata



Map: Usifo Omozokpea • Created with Datawrapper



The Ethio-Tigray war started on <u>4 November 2020</u>. For almost two years, the governments of Ethiopia and Eritrea—along with Amhara regional forces and militia—have waged war against Tigray's regional government and society.

Tigray is a tiny ethnonational group that makes up about <u>6%</u> of Ethiopia's population of <u>121 million</u>. Yet, it has been able to hold off well-armed military forces.

As a sociologist who has <u>written extensively</u> on the cultures of nationalism in the region, I have studied the deep and complex roots of this conflict. I believe that understanding its history is key to comprehending how Tigray has developed the resolve to hold off a far greater military might than its own.

Neither the leaders of Ethiopia and Eritrea nor those of Tigray accept the principles of compromise, peaceful coexistence or equal partnership. According to their political cultures, winners take all. It's zero-sum politics.

The war today

The Ethiopian National Defence Force <u>captured Mekelle</u>, Tigray's capital city, on 28 November 2020. The Ethiopian army was helped by Eritrean and Amhara military forces.

Abiy Ahmed, Ethiopia's prime minister, congratulated his army and allied forces for what looked like a quick victory.

However, the Tigrayan Defence Force made a <u>tactical retreat</u>. Its troops moved to <u>rural areas</u> and used guerrilla operations supported by war veterans. This strategy demonstrated Tigray's effective fighting force, which was first developed in the 1970s.



As a result, eight months after the start of the war, Tigrayan troops returned to their capital. The Ethiopian army <u>retreated</u> from Mekelle and other cities.

Tigrayan troops then invaded the neighboring Afar and Amhara regions, and almost made it into Finfinnee (<u>Addis Ababa</u>) in November 2021. However, they soon retreated to their region.

Since then, Tigrayan forces have controlled and administered most of Tigray.

The Ethio-Tigray war has been <u>devastating</u> for Tigrayans. They have faced mass killings, military bombardment, rape, looting and the destruction of property. The conflict has denied them access to food, electricity, telecommunications, medicine, banking services and other necessities.

Yet they support the Tigray Defence Force. To understand why requires a deeper reading of Ethiopia's history.

A complex history

Two Amhara emperors and one Tigrayan emperor laid the foundation of the modern imperial state of Ethiopia. The first emperor of Abyssinia/Ethiopia was Tewodros (1855-1868). He was followed by Yohannes IV (1872-1889) of Tigray and then Menelik II (1889-1913).

Under Menelik II, the Amhara state elite replaced Tigray's leaders. They made Tigrayan society a junior partner in building the Ethiopian empire.

But Tigrayan nationalists believe their society was the foundation of the Ethiopian state.



In the last decades of the 1800s, the Ethiopian empire <u>expanded</u> from its northern core of Tigray and Amhara by colonizing the Oromo and other ethnonational groups.

It established slavery, the nafxanya-gabbar system (semi-slavery) and the colonial land-holding system by taking the land of conquered people.

The nafxanya (gun-carrying settlers) elite—led by the Amhara—dislodged the Tigrayan elite from Ethiopian state power. Tigray was pushed to the periphery of an Amhara-dominated society. This created <u>political rivalry</u> between the two groups.

The status and living conditions of the Tigrayan elite and people deteriorated. This, along with several wars in the region, aggravated political, economic and social problems.

Accumulated grievances and many forms of resistance produced the Tigray People's Liberation Front in 1975. It aimed to <u>liberate Tigrayans</u> from Amhara-led governments. This helped develop Tigrayan nationalism.

Tigray's two nationalisms

Tigrayans maintain two forms of nationalism.

The first promotes Tigrayan autonomy, self-reliance and development.

The second is Tigrayan Ethiopianism. This theoretically maintains Ethiopia's current geopolitical boundary, with its decentralized political structures where different population groups have some autonomy.

After building military power in the 1980s, Tigrayan elite <u>dominated</u> other ethnonational groups, particularly the Oromo, the empire's largest



ethnonational group.

Between 1991 and 2018, the Tigrayan elite controlled state power and the political economy. The Tigrayan elite created a pseudo-democracy. The Tigray People's Liberation Front was the mover and shaker of the Ethiopian state.

The Oromo expressed their collective grievances with this political arrangement through the struggles of the Oromo Liberation Front. The Qeerroo/Qarree (Oromo youth) movement got involved between 2014 and 2018. This eventually dislodged Tigrayan leadership from Ethiopian central power in 2018.

Abiy was a member of the Oromo People's Democratic Organisation, a subsidiary political party of the Tigray People's Liberation Front. The Tigrayan Front, alongside its allied organizations, <u>elected Abiy</u> as Ethiopia's prime minister in April 2018. He later turned on his support base.

Once he came to power, Abiy and his allies believed they wouldn't stay in control if they did not destroy Tigrayan and Oromo nationalists. These were symbolized by the Tigray People's Liberation Front, the Oromo Liberation Front and the Oromo youth movement.

Zero-sum politics

Tigrayan and Amhara elites express and practice Ethiopianism differently.

The Amhara elite dominated Ethiopia from 1889 to 1991. The Tigray People's Liberation Front overthrew them in 1991.

The wealth and experience Tigrayan elite accumulated over nearly three



decades increased their national organizational capacity. This has helped them in the current war.

The Oromo have rejected the dominance and tyranny of both these groups. They have carried out their liberation struggle.

Abiy and his Amhara collaborators are fighting Tigrayans, Oromos and others to control Ethiopian state power. Their winning the war in Tigray and Oromia would allow the Abiy regime to continue a modified version of Ethiopia's pre-1991 policy.

For Tigrayans, losing this battle would be equivalent to losing political power and returning to victimization, poverty and the threat of annihilation.

Uncertain future

Given their complicated political history, reconciling the central government and the Tigrayan regional government is challenging. Even if these two groups negotiate a peace deal, conflict will continue if the Oromo are left out of the process.

If Tigray and Oromia's political problems aren't correctly understood and resolved, conflicts will continue until the collapse of the Ethiopian state.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.



Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Tigray has resisted Ethiopia's far greater military might for two year—here's why neither side is giving in (2022, October 17) retrieved 17 July 2024 from https://phys.org/news/2022-10-tigray-resisted-ethiopia-greater-military.html

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.