

## New analysis of obsidian blades reveals dynamic Neolithic social networks

October 17 2022



The locations of Ali Kosh and Chagha Sefid as well as obsidian sources that were and were not identified among the assemblages. Geography based on the National Geophysical Data Center's ETOPO1 Global Relief Model (topographic data), World Data Bank (rivers), and NASA's Shuttle Radar Topography Mission and Natural Earth (coastlines). Credit: *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2022). DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2109321119



An analysis of obsidian artifacts excavated during the 1960s at two prominent archaeological sites in southwestern Iran suggests that the networks Neolithic people formed in the region as they developed agriculture are larger and more complex than previously believed, according to a new study by Yale researchers.

The study, published Oct. 17 in the journal *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, is the first to apply state-of-the-art analytical tools to a collection of 2,100 <u>obsidian</u> artifacts housed at the Yale Peabody Museum. The artifacts were unearthed more than 50 years ago at Ali Kosh and Chagha Sefid, sites on Iran's Deh Luran Plain that yielded important archaeological discoveries from the Neolithic Era—the period beginning about 12,000 years ago when people began farming, domesticating animals, and establishing permanent settlements.

Original analyses performed shortly after the artifacts were discovered had suggested people first acquired the obsidian—volcanic glass—from Nemrut Dağ, a now-dormant <u>volcano</u> in Eastern Turkey, and then relied on an unknown second source for the material. This new elemental analysis showed the obsidian came from seven distinct sources, including Nemrut Dağ, in present-day Turkey and Armenia, which is as far as about 1,000 miles on foot from the excavation sites.

"It wasn't a simple pattern of people obtaining obsidian from one source and then shifting to the next," said Ellery Frahm, an archaeological scientist in the Department of Anthropology in Yale's Faculty of Arts and Sciences, and the study's lead author. "Rather, our analysis shows that they were acquiring obsidian from an increasingly diverse number of geological sources over time—a trend that was impossible to detect with the technology and methods available 50 years ago."

The new analysis, combined with computer modeling, indicates that there were intensifying connections among Neolithic people, suggesting



the presence of a greater number of settlements between the source volcanoes and the two sites where the artifacts were unearthed thousands of years later, Frahm said.



Composite photograph of 200 obsidian artifacts from the MJ Phase of AK. This set is less than 10% of the Deh Luran Plain obsidian corpus chemically analyzed during the course of this study. These blade, bladelet, and flake artifacts are typical of both sites.Credit: Jordan Boggan, Council on Archaeological Studies, Department of Anthropology, Yale University



The artifacts were collected in the 1960s during multiple excavations of the two sites led by Frank Hole, the C.J. MacCurdy Professor Emeritus of Anthropology at Yale. The initial analyses were based largely on the artifacts' appearance, specifically their color when held up to sunlight. A subset of 28 artifacts were then subjected to an elemental analysis method common at the time that involved grinding them into powder.

Frahm and coauthor Christina M. Carolus, a doctoral student in the Department of Anthropology, are the first researchers to study the elemental composition of the obsidian artifacts since these early analyses. They used state-of-the-art portable X-ray fluorescence instruments, which allowed them to examine the entire collection without damaging the artifacts.

"Every aspect of the discoveries made at these sites had been revisited since the 1960s except the elemental composition and sourcing of the obsidian artifacts," Carolus said. "A lot more is known about the source volcanoes today than 50 years ago, and we know that sorting obsidian by color will miss a lot of nuances. Fortunately, we have instruments the size of cordless drills that, in a matter of seconds and without destroying material, give us a more accurate elemental signature than anything that was possible in the past."

Scientists widely believed that humanity's transition from the huntergatherer lifestyle to <u>agriculture</u> produced a period of rapid population growth due to the increased birth rates made possible by enhanced food supplies and permanent settlements. Finding evidence of this demographic shift often requires excavating locations that include <u>burial</u> <u>sites</u>, which can indicate a given settlement's population and provide a clearer picture of how agriculture allowed people to disperse across a landscape, Frahm said.

The researchers' analysis of the obsidian provided similar evidence.



"Tracing these obsidian artifacts from their sources to their endpoints offers insight into how they moved from hand to hand to hand over time, which helps us better understand population changes in the region during the Neolithic Era," Frahm said. "It suggested there were larger social networks and more settlements between the source volcanoes and the excavation sites than we previously thought."

**More information:** Ellery Frahm et al, Identifying the origins of obsidian artifacts in the Deh Luran Plain (Southwestern Iran) highlights community connections in the Neolithic Zagros, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* (2022). DOI: 10.1073/pnas.2109321119

Provided by Yale University

Citation: New analysis of obsidian blades reveals dynamic Neolithic social networks (2022, October 17) retrieved 28 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-10-analysis-obsidian-blades-reveals-dynamic.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.