

Health care is responsible for 7% of carbon emissions, and there are safe and easy ways to reduce it

August 3 2022, by Scott McAlister and Alexandra Barratt



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

While we think of carbon emissions coming from manufacturing and agriculture, we don't often think of those arising from health care. In Australia, health care is responsible for 7% of national carbon emissions, while globally, health care is responsible for <u>4.4% of emissions</u>.



If global <u>health</u> care was a country, it would be the world's <u>fifth largest</u> <u>emitter</u>. The warming resulting from health care's emissions in turn cause harm to <u>human health</u> through heatwaves, wildfires, increased mosquito-borne infectious diseases, and undernutrition due to drought and <u>lower fish stock</u>.

In short, treating patients indirectly causes human harm, at odds with the mission of health-care professionals to increase the duration and quality of patients' lives.

What can health care do about its emissions?

Analysis of the U.K.'s National Health Service's (NHS) emissions shows nearly <u>45% of its carbon emissions</u> come from purchasing equipment and medicines, with only 10% coming from the electricity and gas needed to run hospitals and other health services.

We don't currently have detailed data on Australia's health sector emissions, but assuming we are similar to the U.K., reducing emissions will require changes in how health-care professionals provide care.

There are things health care can start doing today to reduce its emissions, while not harming patients.

Scans

<u>Our latest research</u> has shown one MRI scan has a carbon footprint of 17.5kg CO₂ equivalent, which is the same as driving a car 145km, while one CT scan has a footprint of 9.2kg CO₂ equivalent, or driving 76km.

These are significantly higher than X-rays (0.76kg CO_2 equivalent, 6km) and ultrasound (0.53kg CO_2 equivalent, 4km).



While imaging is important in providing information to doctors in many circumstances, it is often unnecessary. For example, studies have shown 36–40% of imaging for lower back pain, and 34–62% of CT scans for lung blood clots are unnecessary. These scans were assessed as unnecessary because they were given to patients who didn't need them according to evidence-based guidelines or decision rules. Such scans offer little or no benefit to patients, may result in harm, and waste resources.

There are also opportunities to use low-carbon scans instead of highcarbon, such as using ultrasound rather than <u>MRI for shoulder scans</u>.

Other research we have performed has shown the impact of blood tests is between 49–116g CO₂ equivalent per test. While individually small, more than 70 million blood tests are performed annually in Australia. Like imaging, studies have shown 12–44% of blood tests are unnecessary.

Some specific tests are ordered unnecessarily at even higher rates. For example, it's estimated over 75% of Vitamin D blood tests in Australia are <u>unnecessary</u>, with this costing Medicare more than \$80 million annually.

Gases

Around 5% of the U.K.'s health care emissions come from anesthetic gases and metered dose inhalers, commonly called puffers, used for the treatment of asthma.

Anesthetists can use the clinically equivalent anesthetic gas sevoflurane (144kg CO₂ equivalent per kilogram) instead of <u>desflurane</u> (2,540kg CO₂ equivalent per kilogram).



Nitrous oxide or laughing gas (265kg CO_2 equivalent) can be excluded from general anesthesia without harm, and there are calls for a reduction in its use as acute pain relief for <u>childbirth</u> due to its high levels of emissions.

<u>Midwives</u>, however, have cautioned mothers should not be be made to feel guilty about their pain relief choices, and suggested hospitals could introduce nitrous destruction systems to allow its ongoing use.

Metered dose inhalers contain hydrofluorocarbons, which are potent greenhouse gases. A patient using a preventer and a bronchodilator to stop wheezing can be safely moved from using metered dose inhaler delivery to the same drugs, delivered using a dry-powder inhaler in most cases.

This shift reduces their annual carbon footprint from 439kg to 17kg CO₂ equivalent. Importantly, it can be achieved without changing health outcomes for patients, as can be seen with 90% of inhalers in Scandinavian countries now being <u>dry-powder</u>, with no change in respiratory outcomes.

Getting health care to net-zero

These are only a few examples of how health care can reduce its emissions while not compromising patient safety or quality of care—either by moving from high carbon to low carbon alternatives, or by reducing unnecessary testing or treatments.

The Australian Medical Association and Doctors for the Environment have called for Australian health care to be net-zero by 2040, with an interim emission target of an 80% reduction by 2030.

This can be achieved, but will require both ongoing education of current



and future health-care professionals about low-carbon care, and targeted commitments by individual <u>health-care</u> organizations, and federal and state health departments.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Health care is responsible for 7% of carbon emissions, and there are safe and easy ways to reduce it (2022, August 3) retrieved 29 June 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-08-health-responsible-carbon-emissions-safe.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.