

# A penguin farm in the Australian desert: A thought experiment that reveals the flaws in our environment laws

July 22 2022, by David Lindenmayer

---



Credit: Brett Sayles from Pexels

Imagine this fictitious scenario. The federal environment minister announces government approval for a large-scale penguin farm near

Alice Springs. It will produce 300,000 penguins each year for the high-end feather market in Europe.

Penguin feathers are also, in this make-believe world, proven superconductors that could provide an alternative to lithium for renewable energy batteries. The \$40 million farming project promises to create jobs and growth in regional Australia.

To any informed reader, the idea of farming cold-ocean seabirds in the Australian desert is mind-numbingly silly. But this hypothetical idea helps us better understand how environmental governance in Australia has gone badly wrong.

The real-world problems were laid bare on Tuesday when the State of the Environment report was released. It showed a devastating loss of plants, animals and habitat on land and in oceans. And without dramatic action, the problem will only get worse.

So let's look at the policies the federal government should fix to tackle this sorry state of affairs.

## **Penguins R Us**

First, let's explore our make-believe penguin farm a little further.

The venture would use [river water](#) to create a new, cool aquatic [environment](#) for the penguins. It will be located near two other large farm projects that also draw water from the river. The proponent, Penguins R Us, will monitor environmental impacts at the site.

Environmental groups oppose the plan. But the fictitious environment minister said the department thoroughly reviewed the proposal and it represents "world's best practice" penguin farming.

Environmental conditions attached to the project stipulate that water from only one river can be diverted to the project. Loss of habitat for the endangered orange-throated parrot—a fictitious [species](#) invented for the purposes of this example—will be offset by tree planting on a neighboring pastoral station.

Penguins R Us is a generous donor to major political parties. The fictitious federal government of the day will provide 50% of the project's initial finance.

No-one—yet—has proposed this silly farming idea. But all too often, a similar script plays out in real life. Here are five reasons why.

## **1. Weak endangered species protection**

Environment Minister Tanya Plibersek this week signaled reform of Australia's key environment law, the Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act. As an [independent review](#) in 2020 by Graeme Samuel showed, the law has done a woeful job of preventing actions that harm threatened species, such as land clearing.

As the State of the Environment report revealed, about 93% of terrestrial habitat used by threatened species, and cleared between 2000 and 2017, was not referred to the [federal government](#) for assessment under national laws.

Compounding the problem, the cumulative effects of developments across a landscape are largely ignored during the approvals process. This is the case with the hypothetical penguin farm, which is located alongside other developments that also disturb the landscape.

Orange-throated parrots are hypothetical threatened species. Protection of threatened species should take precedence over destructive

developments—but this almost never occurs.

## 2. Gross underfunding

Proper conservation of the fictitious orange-throated parrot is likely to be hampered by inadequate funding for conservation actions.



The report showed a devastating loss of plants, animals and habitat. Credit: WWF

Australia is a true laggard on environmental spending. Current expenditure is just 15% of what's [needed](#) to avoid extinctions and recover [threatened species](#).

Good science is needed to ensure funding is well-targeted and effective. The federally funded Threatened Species Recovery Hub once conducted targeted [practical research](#) on how to best and most effectively conserve threatened plants, animals and ecosystems. It partnered closely with land managers, including Traditional Owners.

But the hub was axed last year by the Morrison government. With it went a huge amount of capacity to tackle Australia's biodiversity crisis in many parts of the continent. This, and many other shortsighted funding decisions, must be reversed.

### **3. Poor offset process**

Under our make-believe scenario, loss of habitat for the endangered orange-throated parrot will be compensated by planting trees elsewhere. This is known as "biodiversity offsetting."

The [body](#) of [evidence](#) on poorly performing offsets is vast. They are supposed to prevent net loss of habitats or populations of a particular species. This almost never happens.

The Samuel review [found](#) environmental offsetting should be "immediately improved" to ensure, among other things, it delivers genuine protection and restoration.

This reform is urgent. Offsets must be properly assessed, rigorously verified and robustly monitored to ensure they deliver.



## 4. Inadequate environmental monitoring

In this fictitious scenario, the proponent will monitor the impacts of the penguin farm. This [often means](#) a poorly designed and executed monitoring [program](#).

What's more, it will be almost impossible for governments and the [general public](#) to evaluate the proponents' efforts to fix any environmental problems it causes. A [string](#) of [reviews](#) have shown Australia's record on monitoring species recovery and environmental remediation is woeful.

Mandatory monitoring of projects should be conducted independently—and by people who know how to design and implement such programs.

## 5. Problematic political donations

Penguins R Us is a major donor to the fictitious party in government. The government then invested in the penguin project to get it off the ground.

Australia's political donations system [lacks transparency](#). But from what we do know, the donations often buy companies access to politicians and [influence](#) over the political process, including environmental decisions on developments.

For example, [research](#) suggests powerful corporate interests have played a major role in the climate policy decisions and actions in Australia over the past 15 years.

So reform on campaign donations is essential to protecting Australia's

environment.

## Doing better

Australia may not be farming penguins in one of the world's hottest and driest environments. But this hypothetical scenario highlights serious deficiencies in species conservation, environmental management, project approvals, and monitoring of major development impacts.

Clearly, non-Indigenous Australians are failing in our custodial role to protect and properly manage the priceless natural assets of this continent. We can—and must—do far better.

This article is republished from [The Conversation](#) under a Creative Commons license. Read the [original article](#).

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: A penguin farm in the Australian desert: A thought experiment that reveals the flaws in our environment laws (2022, July 22) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2022-07-penguin-farm-australian-thought-reveals.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--