

# Ecological restoration priorities must be informed by marginalized people

July 15 2022

---



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

The United Nations has declared the 2020s as the decade of ecosystem restoration, a call for countries around the world to dedicate resources towards healing the earth. While the goal of ecosystem restoration is to

reverse the degradation of the world's landscapes and waterways, the movement runs the risk of destroying the lives of millions of the world's poorest people if their farmlands and pastures are converted into natural ecosystems, therefore reducing global food production as part of the process.

Restorationists have proposed a variety of global priority maps that seek to identify where [restoration](#) funding should be focused. In a paper recently published in *Nature*, a team of international researchers and scientists argue that these proposed maps have several shortcomings.

By examining recent influential studies, most importantly a paper published in *Nature* in 2020 that was designed to influence global negotiations over restoration funding, the scientists note that these studies rarely include information about who is affected by restoration.

They found:

- The maps don't identify who lives on the lands identified as restoration priorities.
- They don't consider who will bear the costs of restoration, yet their maps clearly indicate that these costs will be concentrated in poor rural areas in the tropics.
- The maps put scientists, rather than affected people, as the key decision-makers.

For example, the 2020 paper they critique is based on optimizing restoration to achieve the greatest biodiversity and carbon storage gains. As it happens, biodiversity and carbon storage are highest in lowland tropical forests—areas which are also home to dense [human populations](#) in many parts of the world, many of whom already practice biodiverse and regenerative forms of small-scale agriculture.

"Our recent research in India and Mexico shows that small farmers in [poor countries](#) often make wise long-term decisions about managing their natural resources, especially when they are given [political power](#) and clear land rights. Political empowerment and land rights for indigenous and forest-dependent people should be a core component of the global restoration agenda," said Claudia Rodriguez Solorzano, an adjunct assistant professor in the University of Minnesota Department of Forest Resources.

Restoring these ecosystems in the ways described by restorationists could involve removing farmlands and [urban settlements](#) and replacing them with forest, savanna or grassland in an effort to create a landscape that functions as if no human populations exist there. Since many areas are currently densely populated or intensively used by people—an estimated 300 million people living in restoration priority landscapes—this plan would require coming up with a system to remove people from their farmland and homes, destroying their livelihoods and their diverse and sustaining agricultural systems.

Instead, these authors suggest that restorationists work directly with people in these areas to identify their restoration priorities. Such an approach would also improve restoration success, since it would focus on what local people want and are most likely to support.

"It's vital that people directly affected by restoration play a major role in determining what restoration happens. These people hold important local knowledge about ecosystems and their own economic needs which will enable them to craft restoration strategies that work and avoid large-scale human rights abuses," said Forrest Fleischman, Ph.D., corresponding author of this paper, and an associate professor of environmental policy at the University of Minnesota Department of Forest Resources.

"Biologists and social scientists need to collaborate to address disciplinary limitations. Ecologists in particular need to be aware that people are an important part of the equation for all forms of conservation. Many ecologists have particular beliefs about nature that are based on cultural values developed in Europe and North America, but these are not universal. Many of the people who live and work in lands identified as priorities for restoration hold different views and engaging with those views is essential for successful restoration," said Joseph Veldman, an assistant professor at Texas A&M University Department of Ecology & Conservation Biology.

**More information:** Forrest Fleischman et al, Restoration prioritization must be informed by marginalized people, *Nature* (2022). [DOI: 10.1038/s41586-022-04733-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04733-x)

Bernardo B. N. Strassburg et al, Global priority areas for ecosystem restoration, *Nature* (2020). [DOI: 10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2784-9)

Provided by University of Minnesota

Citation: Ecological restoration priorities must be informed by marginalized people (2022, July 15) retrieved 11 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2022-07-ecological-priorities-marginalized-people.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.