

How much water does it take to make one liter of milk?

May 31 2022, by Mike Joy



Credit: AI-generated image (disclaimer)

Water scarcity and water pollution are increasingly <u>critical global issues</u>. Water <u>scarcity is driven</u> not only by shortages of water, but also by rendering water unusable through pollution. New Zealand is no exception to these trends.



Demand for <u>water</u> has rapidly increased, and New Zealand now has the <u>highest per capita</u> take of water for agriculture among OECD countries. Regulatory failures have also led to <u>over-allocation</u> of many ground and surface water resources.

Some <u>water sources</u> are also well on the way to being unusable. Over the past few decades, nutrient and sediment emissions into waterways <u>have</u> <u>increased</u>, driven by agricultural and horticultural intensification.

Much is made of the environmental benefits of New Zealand's "grassfed" dairy systems. But a major downside of high-intensity outdoor farming systems is the nitrate leaching from animal waste and synthetic fertilizers that contaminates <u>fresh water</u>.

Milk's gray water footprint

Our <u>new paper</u> focuses on <u>nitrate pollution</u> in Canterbury. We comprehensively quantify, for the first time, the nitrate "gray water" footprint of milk production in the region.

A <u>water footprint</u> (WF) is a measure of the volume of fresh water used to produce a given mass or volume of product (in this case, milk).

It's made up of both "consumptive" and "degradative" components. The consumption component is rainwater (green WF) and groundwater or surface water (blue WF) used in irrigation.

<u>Gray water</u> is the degradative part—the volume of water needed to dilute the pollutants produced to the extent the receiving water remains above water quality standards.

Most water footprint studies of food systems highlight the consumptive water component and often neglect the degradative component.



However, we found Canterbury's pasture-based systems mean gray water is the biggest component.

Standards and thresholds

Our analysis found the nitrate gray water footprint for Canterbury ranged from 433 to 11,110 liters of water per liter of milk, depending on the water standards applied and their nitrate thresholds.

The 11,110 liter figure is to meet the Australasian <u>guideline level</u> to protect <u>aquatic ecosystems</u>, and the 433 liter figure is to meet current drinking water limits.

(Drinking water having lower limits may seem counter-intuitive, but the limit is based on 70-year-old research that has been superseded without legislation catching up.)

The larger footprint is higher than many estimates for global milk production. It reveals that footprints are very dependent on the inputs (such as feed and fertilizer) included in analyses and water quality standards.

A previous <u>dairy water footprint study</u> in Canterbury gave a gray water footprint of about 400 liters of water to make a liter of milk. However, it used the New Zealand drinking water standard for nitrate-nitrogen (nitrogen present in the form of nitrate ion) of 11.3 milligrams per liter (mg/l).

This vastly underestimates the problem. <u>The Water Footprint</u> <u>Assessment Manual</u>, which sets a global standard, stipulates the concentration of pollutants should meet "prevailing" freshwater quality standards.



In New Zealand, the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management sets a bottom line for <u>nitrate-nitrogen of 2.4mg/l</u>, much lower than the level for drinking water.

Our analysis—based on prevailing freshwater quality standards—shows the production of one liter of milk in Canterbury requires about 11,000 liters of water to meet the <u>ecosystem health standards</u>.

12-fold reduction needed

The large footprint for milk in Canterbury indicates just how far the capacity of the environment has been overshot. To maintain that level of production and have healthy water would require either 12 times more rainfall in the region or a 12-fold reduction in cows.

Dairy farming at current levels of intensity is clearly unsustainable. We know <u>85% of waterways</u> in pasture catchments, which make up half the country's waterways (measured by length), exceed nitrate-nitrogen guideline values for healthy ecosystems.

Evidence is also <u>emerging</u> of the direct <u>human health</u> effects (colon cancer and birth defects) of nitrate in drinking water. Extensive <u>dairy</u> <u>farming</u> in Canterbury is already leading to significant pollution of the region's groundwater, much of which is used for drinking water.

Current practices also threaten the market perception of the sustainability of New Zealand's dairy industry and its products. The "grass-fed" marketing line overlooks the huge amounts of fossil-fuelderived fertilizer used to make the extra grass that supports New Zealand's very high animal stock rates.

Also overlooked is the palm kernel expeller (PKE) fed directly to cows. New Zealand is the <u>biggest importer globally</u> of this byproduct of palm



oil production.

The synthetic fertilizer problem

Growing use of synthetic nitrogen fertilizer has helped dramatically increase nitrate levels and the <u>water pollution</u> problems New Zealand faces.

Until the 1990s, reactive nitrogen (a term used for a variety of nitrogen compounds that support growth) in pastures was predominantly obtained through nitrogen-fixing clover plants. But synthetic nitrogen fertilizer from fossil fuels displaced natural systems and drove intensification.

Globally, synthetic nitrogen production has now <u>eclipsed</u> all that produced by natural systems. This disruption of the nitrogen cycle seriously threatens global human sustainability, not only through its impacts on the climate, but also through localized impacts on fresh water.

The European Science Foundation <u>described</u> the industrial-scale production of synthetic nitrogen as "perhaps the greatest single experiment in global geo-engineering that humans have ever made."

It is clear that water is becoming a defining political and economic issue. Changing attitudes to its quality and accessibility depends on <u>accurate</u> <u>information</u>—including how water is used to dilute agricultural waste.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: How much water does it take to make one liter of milk? (2022, May 31) retrieved 3 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-05-liter.html</u>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.