

The Johnny Depp-Amber Heard defamation trial shows the dangers of fan culture

May 31 2022, by Maddie Brockbank



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain



It's difficult to scroll through social media right now without seeing at least one post mentioning Johnny Depp, Amber Heard and <u>the</u> <u>defamation trial</u> that began on April 11, 2022.

Depp is suing ex-wife Heard for defamation over <u>an op-ed she penned</u> for the *Washington Post* in 2018. Depp says that by presenting herself as a victim of domestic <u>violence</u>, Heard has tarnished his name, despite not naming him in the piece. He is seeking US\$50 million in damages.

Many have been eager to take sides, declare guilt, assign blame and condemn <u>cancel culture</u> on <u>social media</u> and in coverage of the trial. <u>#JusticeForJohnnyDepp</u> and <u>#AmberHeardIsAPsychopath</u>, among other hashtags, have been trending over the past several weeks.

Fans of Depp claim that his life and career have been "<u>ruined</u>" by false allegations of violence and that he is the "<u>real</u>" victim. <u>Others</u> have written about how <u>disturbing the public treatment</u> of Heard has been <u>throughout the trial</u>, particularly as it relates to <u>claims</u> that she is a "liar," a "psychopath" and a "monster."

As a <u>social worker</u> and researcher who has spent the past six years working with people who have survived or been criminalized for domestic and sexual violence, I'm interested in the ways in which this case demonstrates the significant dangers of <u>parasocial relationships</u> (onesided relationships with public figures) and their ability to reinforce <u>carceral logics</u> (the ways we have been shaped by the idea and practices of imprisonment).

Depp and Heard represent the risks related to the emotional ties fans develop with celebrities and how these relationships can have material implications for how we understand violence and how it should be dealt with.



What are parasocial relationships and carceral logics?

<u>Parasocial relationships</u> are one-sided intimate, emotional bonds that people develop with public figures.

The Depp-Heard trial has <u>exposed the dangers</u> of these bonds as fans of Depp are compelled to <u>passionately defend him</u>, despite not knowing him personally.

<u>Carceral logics</u> are "<u>the variety of ways our bodies, minds, and actions</u> <u>have been shaped by the idea and practices of imprisonment</u>." And they produce <u>specific images</u> about who perpetrates violence, why and how those people should be dealt with.

When this happens, <u>the carceral state</u> (police, courts, laws and prisons) is framed as a necessary intervention that effectively addresses violence through arrest, prosecution and punishment of people who commit violence. For example, people who have deemed Heard a liar and the aggressor have called for her <u>arrest</u>, <u>imprisonment</u> and <u>removal</u> from her role in the Aquaman franchise.

Carceral logics cling to assumptions that the system is effective, and ignore the reality that <u>most perpetrators of violence never see a</u> <u>courtroom</u>, let alone a cell, the <u>systemic issues of racism within a broken</u> <u>system</u>, and that <u>domestic</u> and <u>sexual violence</u> continue to be some of the most underreported crimes.

Violence is a spectrum

When people develop parasocial bonds with public figures, <u>sharp lines</u> are drawn between those who are considered good or innocent and those who are considered bad or guilty. When this happens, <u>grand assumptions</u> are often made about someone's character based only on what is



represented in the media.

People don't know Depp or Heard, and don't know the full history of their <u>relationship</u>. They only know them as <u>beloved characters</u>.

These bonds are influencing conversations about what does or doesn't count as violence. Right now, online abuse of Heard is being seen as acceptable because she has been <u>declared a liar</u> by the social media majority.

<u>TikTok trends</u> have <u>openly mocked</u> Heard's emotional reactions during testimony, as <u>people re-enact skits</u> of the trial with their pets. Social media users have also made <u>#AmberTurd</u> trend across platforms.

But <u>violence is a spectrum</u> and it is deeply nuanced in relationship with <u>power</u>, <u>identity</u> and <u>context</u>. When people assign the label of "<u>abuser/offender</u>" to some and not others, they are deciding which violence is unacceptable and which is excusable.

The impacts

How could these conversations <u>impact</u> survivors when it comes to disclosure? What does it say about who we consider "<u>real</u>" victims and perpetrators? What happens to people whose <u>stories are complex</u>?

While commentary on social media appears as a product of <u>"stan"</u> <u>culture</u>—a portmanteau of "stalker" and "fan"—it has tangible implications for socio-legal responses to the issue.

This all plays out in real time. When people decide that those <u>who lie</u> <u>about violence</u> should be punished, we see "<u>frivolous claims</u>" sections in institutional sexual violence policies and on <u>police portals</u> for reporting sexual violence.



We see <u>renewed commitments to the criminal justice system</u>, regardless of the violence it perpetrates <u>within prisons</u> and in the <u>mass incarceration</u> of <u>marginalized communities</u>.

What appears to be normal social media activity exposes a much darker reality: that parasocial relationships help entrench deeply harmful conversations shaping how people address and redress domestic and <u>sexual violence</u>.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

Provided by The Conversation

Citation: The Johnny Depp-Amber Heard defamation trial shows the dangers of fan culture (2022, May 31) retrieved 2 May 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-05-johnny-depp-amber-heard-defamation-trial.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.