

Public participation in environmental planning suffered during COVID-19 crisis in Ontario

May 2 2022



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Public participation in environmental decisions in Ontario declined significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic, raising concerns the



system failed to protect a core value at a time of crisis.

A statistical analysis by researchers at the University of Waterloo showed direct intervention in land use decisions by the provincial government using a tool called Minister's Zoning Orders (MZOs) soared in the first 10 months after a state of emergency was declared in March 2020.

At the same time, public comments on changes to laws, regulations and policies impacting the environment significantly declined via an online portal created by the province to facilitate broad input on decisions.

"Public participation clearly suffered during this period," said Nayyer Mirnasl, a research assistant for the Conflict Analysis Group at Waterloo. "Based on our <u>statistical analysis</u>, although consultation periods were longer during the COVID-19 pandemic, the number of comments each proposal received was significantly lower than before the pandemic."

A key finding was the increased frequency with which the Ontario government used MZOs, which take land use decisions out of the hands of local municipalities, avoid requirements such as public meetings and are typically used only in special circumstances.

"There was a dramatic rise in the use of MZOs during the period we examined," said Simone Philpot, a postdoctoral research fellow in systems design engineering. "The government essentially used a tool that bypasses public participation at a significantly higher rate than usual."

The researchers didn't analyze the motives or purposes of the MZOs issued during the study period to determine whether they were beneficial or detrimental to long-term environmental policy.



But they concluded the combination of more direct intervention by the <u>provincial government</u> and less public input into land use decisions should raise red flags about the ability of the policy-making system to withstand stresses during crises.

"The idea that our <u>government</u> system and its core value of public participation has not been maintained during a crisis is something we need to pay attention to," said Philpot. "The institutions that are supposed to protect <u>public participation</u> have not done that.

"What's really important is that this isn't our last crisis. I'm looking ahead and wondering what will happen when we have climate catastrophe or other social and ecological issues. We need to be able to trust our institutions to keep our <u>democratic values</u> strong whether we're in a crisis or not—in fact, particularly when we're in a crisis."

A paper on the study, Assessing policy robustness under the COVID-19 <u>crisis</u>: an empirical study of the environmental policymaking system in Ontario, Canada, appears in the *Journal of Environmental Policy and Planning*.

More information: Nayyer Mirnasl et al, Assessing policy robustness under the COVID-19 crisis: an empirical study of the environmental policymaking system in Ontario, Canada, *Journal of Environmental Policy & Planning* (2022). DOI: 10.1080/1523908X.2022.2051454

Provided by University of Waterloo

Citation: Public participation in environmental planning suffered during COVID-19 crisis in Ontario (2022, May 2) retrieved 19 July 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-05-environmental-covid-crisis-ontario.html</u>



This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.