

## Do poison pills work? A finance expert explains the anti-takeover tool that Twitter hopes will keep Elon Musk at bay

April 19 2022, by Tuugi Chuluun



Credit: Pixabay/CC0 Public Domain

Takeovers are <u>usually friendly affairs</u>. Corporate executives engage in top-secret talks, with one company or group of investors making a bid



for another business. After some negotiating, the companies engaged in the merger or acquisition announce a deal has been struck.

But other takeovers are more hostile in nature. Not every company wants to be taken over. This is the case with <u>Elon Musk's US\$43 billion bid</u> to buy Twitter.

Companies have various measures in their arsenal to ward off such unwanted advances. One of the most effective anti-takeover measures is the <u>shareholder</u> rights plan, also more aptly known as a "poison pill." It is designed to block an investor from accumulating a majority stake in a company.

Twitter <u>adopted a poison pill</u> plan on April 15, 2022, shortly after <u>Musk</u> <u>unveiled his takeover offer</u> in a Securities and Exchange filing.

I'm a <u>scholar of corporate finance</u>. Let me explain why poison pills have been effective at warding off unsolicited offers, at least until now.

## What's a poison pill?

Poison pills <u>were developed in the early 1980s</u> as a defense tactic against corporate raiders to effectively poison their takeover efforts—sort of reminiscent of the suicide pills that <u>spies supposedly swallow if captured</u>

There are many <u>variants of poison pills</u>, but they generally increase the number of shares, which then dilutes the bidder's stake and causes them a significant financial loss.

Let's say a company has 1,000 shares outstanding valued at \$10 each, which means the company has a market value of \$10,000. An activist investor purchases 100 shares at the cost of \$1,000 and accumulates a



significant 10% stake in the company. But if the company has a poison pill that is triggered once any hostile bidder owns 10% of its stock, all other shareholders would suddenly have the opportunity to buy additional shares at a discounted price—say, half the market price. This has the effect of quickly diluting the activist investor's original stake and also making it worth a lot less than it was before.

Twitter adopted a similar measure. If any shareholder accumulates a 15% stake in the company in a purchase not approved by the board of directors, other shareholders would get the right to buy additional shares at a discount, diluting the 9.2% stake Musk recently purchased.

Poison pills are useful in part because they can be adopted quickly, but they usually have expiration dates. The poison pill adopted by Twitter, for example, expires in one year.

## A successful tactic

Many well-known companies such as <u>Papa John's</u>, <u>Netflix</u>, <u>JCPenney</u> and <u>Avis Budget Group</u> have used poison pills to successfully fend off hostile takeovers. And <u>nearly 100 companies adopted</u> poison pills in 2020 because they were worried that their careening <u>stock prices</u>, caused by the <u>pandemic market swoon</u>, would make them vulnerable to hostile takeovers.

No one <u>has ever triggered</u>—or swallowed—a poison pill that was designed to fend off an unsolicited takeover offer, showing how effective such measures are at fending off takeover attempts.

These types of anti-takeover measures are generally frowned upon as a poor corporate governance practice that can hurt a company's value and performance. They can be seen as impediments to the ability of shareholders and outsiders to monitor management, and more about



protecting the board and management than attracting more generous offers from potential buyers.

However, <u>shareholders may benefit from poison pills</u> if they lead to a higher bid for the company, for example. This may be already happening with Twitter as another bidder—a \$103 billion private equity firm—<u>may have surfaced</u>.

A poison pill isn't foolproof, however. A bidder facing a poison pill could try to argue that the board is not acting in the best interests of shareholders and appeal directly to them through either a <u>tender offer</u>—buying shares directly from other shareholders at a premium in a public bid—or a <u>proxy contest</u>, which involves convincing enough fellow shareholders to join a vote to oust some or all of the existing board.

And judging by his tweets to his <u>82 million Twitter followers</u>, that <u>seems</u> to be what Musk is doing.

This article is republished from <u>The Conversation</u> under a Creative Commons license. Read the <u>original article</u>.

## Provided by The Conversation

Citation: Do poison pills work? A finance expert explains the anti-takeover tool that Twitter hopes will keep Elon Musk at bay (2022, April 19) retrieved 26 June 2024 from <a href="https://phys.org/news/2022-04-poison-pills-expert-anti-takeover-tool.html">https://phys.org/news/2022-04-poison-pills-expert-anti-takeover-tool.html</a>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.