

Geoengineering could return risk of malaria for one billion people

April 20 2022



A new study examining the impact of geoengineering uses climate models to identify which temperatures are most conducive for malaria transmission by the Anopheles mosquito and identify how many people live in areas where transmission is possible. Credit: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Geoengineering the climate would have massive repercussions for the



health of billions of people at risk of malaria who live in tropical countries, according to a new finding by scientists at Georgetown University Medical Center and colleagues. The study appeared April 20, 2022, in *Nature Communications*.

This is the first assessment of how geoengineering the climate could impact the burden of infectious diseases. The study focuses on solar radiation management (SRM), an intervention that hypothesizes emergency actions aimed at reducing dangerous impacts of climate change. One action that has been proposed is injecting aerosols into the stratosphere that reflect incoming sunlight, thereby temporarily "pausing" global warming. Though SRM is often discussed as a way to reduce climate injustice, its potential impacts on health have seldom been studied.

"The implications of the study for <u>decision-making</u> are significant," says Colin Carlson, Ph.D., an assistant research professor at the Center for Global Health Science and Security at Georgetown University Medical Center and lead author of the study. "Geoengineering might save lives, but the assumption that it will do so equally for everyone might leave some countries at a disadvantage when it comes time to make decisions. If geoengineering is about protecting populations on the frontlines of climate change, we should be able to add up the risks and benefits—especially in terms of neglected health burdens, such as mosquito-borne disease."

A team of eight researchers from the United States, Bangladesh, South Africa, and Germany used <u>climate models</u> to simulate what malaria transmission could look like in two <u>future scenarios</u>, with medium or high levels of global warming, with and without geoengineering. The models identify which temperatures are most conducive for transmission by the *Anopheles* mosquito and identify how many people live in areas where transmission is possible.



In both medium- and high-warming scenarios, malaria risk was predicted to shift significantly between regions; but in the high warming scenario, simulations found that a billion extra people were at risk of malaria in the geoengineered world.

"On a planet that's too hot for humans, it also gets too hot for the malaria parasite," says Carlson. "Cooling the planet might be an emergency option to save lives, but it would also reverse course on those declines."

The study follows a 2018 commentary in *Nature Climate Change* by Carlson and the study's senior author, Christopher Trisos, Ph.D., a senior researcher at the University of Cape Town in South Africa. In the commentary, the researchers proposed a hypothesis now confirmed in the new study: because <u>malaria transmission</u> peaks at 25°C, cooling the tropics using geoengineering might ultimately increase malaria risk in some places relative to an alternative future, but might also increase risk in the present day.

"The potential for geoengineering to reduce risks from climate change remains poorly understood, and it could introduce a range of new risks to people and ecosystems," says Trisos.

Carlson says that one of the most surprising findings was the scale of potential trade-offs between regions. For example, in both scenarios, the authors found that geoengineering might substantially reduce malaria risk in the Indian subcontinent even compared to the present day. However, that protective effect would be offset with an increase in risk in southeast Asia. For <u>decision-makers</u>, this might complicate the geopolitical reality of climate intervention.

"We're so early in this process that the conversation is still about increasing Global South leadership in geoengineering research. Our study highlights that the frontlines of <u>climate</u> injustice aren't one



monolithic bloc, especially when it comes to health," says Carlson.

More information: Solar Geongineering could redistribute malaria risk in developing countries, *Nature Communications* (2022).

Provided by Georgetown University Medical Center

Citation: Geoengineering could return risk of malaria for one billion people (2022, April 20) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-04-geoengineering-malaria-billion-people.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.