

Resolving the black hole 'fuzzball or wormhole' debate

January 4 2022, by Laura Arenschield



Credit: The Ohio State University

Black holes really are giant fuzzballs, a new study says.

The study attempts to put to rest the debate over Stephen Hawking's



famous information paradox, the problem created by Hawking's conclusion that any data that enters a black hole can never leave. This conclusion accorded with the laws of thermodynamics, but opposed the fundamental laws of quantum mechanics.

"What we found from <u>string theory</u> is that all the mass of a black hole is not getting sucked in to the center," said Samir Mathur, lead author of the study and professor of physics at The Ohio State University. "The black hole tries to squeeze things to a point, but then the particles get stretched into these strings, and the strings start to stretch and expand and it becomes this fuzzball that expands to fill up the entirety of the black hole."

The study, published Dec. 28 in the *Turkish Journal of Physics*, found that string theory almost certainly holds the answer to Hawking's paradox, as the paper's authors had originally believed. The physicists proved theorems to show that the fuzzball theory remains the most likely solution for Hawking's information paradox. The researchers have also published an essay showing how this work may resolve longstanding puzzles in cosmology; the essay appeared in December in the *International Journal of Modern Physics D*.

Mathur published a study in 2004 that theorized <u>black holes</u> were similar to very large, very messy balls of yarn—"fuzzballs" that become larger and messier as new objects get sucked in.

"The bigger the black hole, the more energy that goes in, and the bigger the fuzzball becomes," Mathur said. The 2004 study found that string theory, the physics theory that holds that all particles in the universe are made of tiny vibrating strings, could be the solution to Hawking's paradox. With this fuzzball structure, the hole radiates like any normal body, and there is no puzzle.



After Mathur's 2004 study and other, similar works, "many people thought the problem was solved," he said. "But in fact, a section of people in the string theory community itself thought they would look for a different solution to Hawking's information paradox. They were bothered that, in physical terms, the whole structure of the black hole had changed."

Studies in recent years attempted to reconcile Hawking's conclusions with the old picture of the hole, where one can think of the black hole as being "empty space with all its mass in the center." One theory, the wormhole paradigm, suggested that black holes might be one end of a bridge in the space-time continuum, meaning anything that entered a black hole might appear on the other end of the bridge—the other end of the wormhole—in a different place in space and time.

In order for the wormhole picture to work, though, some low-energy radiation would have to escape from the black hole at its edges.

This recent study proved a theorem—the "effective small corrections theorem"—to show that if that were to happen, black holes would not appear to radiate in the way that they do.

The researchers also examined physical properties from black holes, including topology change in quantum gravity, to determine whether the wormhole paradigm would work.

"In each of the versions that have been proposed for the wormhole approach, we found that the physics was not consistent," Mathur said. "The wormhole paradigm tries to argue that, in some way, you could still think of the black hole as being effectively empty with all the mass in the center. And the theorems we prove show that such a picture of the hole is not a possibility."



More information: Study: journals.tubitak.gov.tr/physic 550C414D174?id=30416

Samir D. Mathur, The elastic vacuum, *International Journal of Modern Physics D* (2021). DOI: 10.1142/S0218271821410017

Provided by The Ohio State University

Citation: Resolving the black hole 'fuzzball or wormhole' debate (2022, January 4) retrieved 27 June 2024 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2022-01-black-hole-fuzzball-wormhole-debate.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.