

# Remote areas are not safe havens for biodiversity

December 22 2021

---



A school of fish on a reef. Credit: Davide Seveso

An international research team led by Associate Professor Giovanni Strona from the University of Helsinki has identified a general macroecological mechanism that calls for a reconsideration of global conservation strategies.

"To truly understand how global change is affecting natural communities and to identify effective strategies to mitigate the ongoing dramatic biodiversity loss, it is fundamental to account for the overarching complexity emerging from biotic interactions. As we show in our new research, doing this might reveal important counterintuitive mechanisms," Giovanni Strona says.

The researchers combined a massive dataset of fish distribution and ecological traits for more than 9,000 [fish species](#). Using artificial intelligence techniques, they generated thousands of networks mapping the interactions between corals and fish and those between fish prey and fish predators in all reef localities worldwide.

They quantified, for each locality, the degree of fish dependency on corals. This analysis confirmed what Strona and colleagues showed in another paper published earlier this year: coral loss might detrimentally affect, on average, around 40 per cent of fish species in each coral reef area.

The researchers also found that the dependency between fish and corals becomes stronger the further away they are from humans. This means that fish communities in remote reefs might be the most vulnerable to the cascading effects of coral mortality.

## **Areas of critical vulnerability**

Next, the researchers asked whether the increased risk that stems from the potential cascading effects of coral mortality might counteract the benefits that remote fish communities experience because they are far away from direct impacts of human activities.

"For this, we devised a novel risk assessment framework that is applicable to any ecosystem. It combines local anthropogenic impacts

such as overfishing and pollution and global impacts like climate and [environmental change](#) with the risk deriving from ecological interactions," explains Mar Cabeza, head of the Global Change and Conservation Lab at the University of Helsinki.

The framework revealed that taking into account ecological dependencies flattens the expected [negative relationship](#) between extinction risk for fish communities and remoteness.

"For example, the hotspots of risks for fish communities from local human-derived impacts and [global change](#) are almost perfectly the same as the hotspots of risk from fish coral dependencies. This produces a global map of risk for fish communities where no place is safe, regardless of distance from humans," Giovanni Strona says.

"The validity and relevance of these findings might extend far beyond reef [fish](#), depicting a world where remote localities, rather than safe havens for biodiversity, might be, instead, areas of critical vulnerability," Mar Cabeza concludes.

The research was published in *Nature Communications*.

**More information:** Giovanni Strona et al, Ecological dependencies make remote reef fish communities most vulnerable to coral loss, *Nature Communications* (2021). [DOI: 10.1038/s41467-021-27440-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27440-z)

Giovanni Strona et al, Global tropical reef fish richness could decline by around half if corals are lost, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (2021). [DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2021.0274](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0274)

Provided by University of Helsinki

Citation: Remote areas are not safe havens for biodiversity (2021, December 22) retrieved 25 April 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2021-12-remote-areas-safe-havens-biodiversity.html>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.