


Extinction and origination patterns change after mass extinctions

October 6 2021, by Josie Garthwaite



A trilobite fossil from the Ordovician period, which lasted from about 485 to 443 million years ago. A new analysis of marine fossils from most of the past half-billion years shows the usual rules of body size evolution change during mass extinctions and their recoveries. Credit: Smithsonian

Scientists at Stanford University have discovered a surprising pattern in

how life reemerges from cataclysm. Research published Oct. 6 in *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* shows the usual rules of body size evolution change not only during mass extinction, but also during subsequent recovery.

Since the 1980s, [evolutionary biologists](#) have debated whether mass extinctions and the recoveries that follow them intensify the selection criteria of normal times—or fundamentally shift the set of traits that mark groups of species for destruction. The new study finds evidence for the latter in a sweeping analysis of marine fossils from most of the past half-billion years.

Whether and how evolutionary dynamics shift in the wake of global annihilation has "profound implications not only for understanding the origins of the modern biosphere but also for predicting the consequences of the current biodiversity crisis," the authors write.

"Ultimately, we want to be able to look at the [fossil record](#) and use it to predict what will go extinct, and more importantly, what comes back," said lead author Pedro Monarrez, a postdoctoral scholar in Stanford's School of Earth, Energy & Environmental Sciences (Stanford Earth). "When we look closely at 485 million years of extinctions and recoveries in the world's oceans, there does appear to be a pattern in what comes back based on [body size](#) in some groups."

Build back smaller?

The study builds on [recent Stanford research](#) that looked at [body](#) size and [extinction](#) risk among [marine animals](#) in groupings known as genera, one taxonomic level above species. That study found smaller-bodied genera on average are equally or more likely to than their larger relatives to go extinct.

The new study found this pattern holds true across 10 classes of marine animals for the long stretches of time between mass extinctions. But mass extinctions shake up the rules in unpredictable ways, with extinction risks becoming even greater for smaller genera in some classes, and larger genera losing out in others.

The results show smaller genera in a class known as crinoids—sometimes called sea lilies or fairy money—were substantially more likely to be wiped out during [mass extinction](#) events. In contrast, no detectable size differences between victims and survivors turned up during "background" intervals. Among trilobites, a diverse group distantly related to modern horseshoe crabs, the chances of extinction decreased very slightly with body size during background intervals—but increased about eightfold with each doubling of body length during mass extinction.

When they looked beyond the marine genera that died out to consider those that were the first of their kind, the authors found an even more dramatic shift in body size patterns before and after extinctions. During background times, newly evolved genera tend to be slightly larger than those that came before. During recovery from mass extinction, the pattern flips, and it becomes more common for originators in most classes to be tiny compared to holdover species who survived the cataclysm.

Gastropod genera including sea snails are among a few exceptions to the build-back-smaller pattern. Gastropod genera that originated during recovery intervals tended to be larger than the survivors of the preceding catastrophe. Nearly across the board, the authors write, "selectivity on body size is more pronounced, regardless of direction, during mass extinction events and their recovery intervals than during background times."

Think of this as the biosphere's version of choosing starters and benchwarmers based on height and weight more than skill after losing a big match. There may well be a logic to this game plan in the arc of evolution. "Our next challenge is to identify the reasons why so many originators after mass extinction are small," said senior author Jonathan Payne, the Dorrell William Kirby Professor at Stanford Earth.

Scientists don't yet know whether those reasons might relate to global environmental conditions, such as low oxygen levels or rising temperatures, or to factors related to interactions between organisms and their local surroundings, like food scarcity or a dearth of predators. According to Payne, "Identifying the causes of these patterns may help us not only to understand how our current world came to be but also to project the long-term evolutionary response to the current extinction crisis."

Fossil data

This is the latest in a series of papers from Payne's research group that harness statistical analyses and computer simulations to uncover evolutionary dynamics in body size data from marine fossil records. In 2015, the team recruited high school interns and undergraduates to help calculate the body size and volume of thousands of marine genera from photographs and illustrations. The resulting dataset included most fossil invertebrate animal genera known to science and was at least 10 times larger than any previous compilation of fossil animal body sizes.

The group has since expanded the dataset and plumbed it for patterns. Among other results, they've found that larger body size has become one of the biggest determinants of [extinction risk](#) for ocean animals for the first time in the history of life on Earth.

For the new study, Monarrez, Payne and co-author Noel Heim of Tufts

University used body size data from marine fossil records to estimate the probability of extinction and origination as a function of body size across most of the past 485 million years. By pairing their body size data with occurrence records from the public [Paleobiology Database](#), they were able to analyze 284,308 fossil occurrences for ocean animals belonging to 10,203 genera. "This dataset allowed us to document, in different groups of animals, how evolutionary patterns change when a mass extinction comes along," said Payne.

Future recovery

Other paleontologists have observed that smaller-bodied animals become more common in the fossil record following mass extinctions—often calling it the "Lilliput Effect," after the kingdom of tiny people in Jonathan Swift's 18th-century novel *Gulliver's Travels*.

Findings in the new study suggest animal physiology offers a plausible explanation for this pattern. The authors found the classic shrinking pattern in most classes of marine animals with low activity levels and slower metabolism. Species in these groups that first evolved right after a mass extinction tended to have smaller bodies than those that originated during background intervals. In contrast, when new species evolved in groups of more active marine animals with faster metabolism, they tended to have larger bodies in the wake of extinction and smaller bodies during normal times.

The results highlight mass extinction as a drama in two acts. "The extinction part changes the world by removing not just a lot of organisms or a lot of species, but by removing them in various selective patterns. Then, recovery isn't just equal for everyone who survives. A new set of biases go into the recovery pattern," Payne said. "It's only by combining those two that you can really understand the world that we get five or 10 million years after an extinction event."

More information: Pedro M. Monarrez et al, Mass extinctions alter extinction and origination dynamics with respect to body size, *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* (2021). [DOI: 10.1098/rspb.2021.1681](https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.1681)

Provided by Stanford University

Citation: Extinction and origination patterns change after mass extinctions (2021, October 6) retrieved 24 May 2024 from <https://phys.org/news/2021-10-extinction-patterns-mass-extinctions.html>

<p>This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.</p>
--