

Training helps teachers anticipate how students with learning disabilities might solve problems

July 12 2021, by Laura Oleniacz



Credit: Unsplash/CC0 Public Domain

North Carolina State University researchers found that a four-week training course made a substantial difference in helping special



education teachers anticipate different ways students with learning disabilities might solve math problems. The findings suggest that the training would help instructors more quickly identify and respond to a student's needs.

Published in the *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, researchers say their findings could help teachers in <u>special education</u> develop strategies to respond to kids' math reasoning and questions in advance. They also say the findings point to the importance of mathematics <u>education</u> preparation for special education teachers—an area where researchers say opportunities are lacking.

"Many special education programs do not include a focus on mathematics for students with <u>disabilities</u>, and few, if any, focus on understanding the mathematical thinking of students with disabilities in particular," said the study's first author Jessica Hunt, associate professor of mathematics education and special education at NC State. "This study was based on a course experience designed to do just that—to heighten <u>teacher</u> knowledge of the mathematical thinking of students with learning disabilities grounded in a stance of neurodiversity."

In the study, researchers evaluated the impact of a four-week course on 20 pre-service special education teachers. Researchers wanted to know if the course impacted the educators' ability to anticipate the mathematical reasoning of students with learning disabilities, and help teachers adjust tasks to make them more accessible. The course also emphasized neurodiversity, which defines cognitive differences as a natural and beneficial outgrowth of neurological and biological diversity.

"Neurodiversity says that all human brains are highly variable, with no average or 'normal' learners," Hunt said. "This means that we all have strengths and challenges, and as humans we use what makes sense to us to understand the world. It's a way to challenge pervasive deficit



approaches to looking at disability, and to instead use an asset-based approach that positions students with learning disabilities as mathematically capable."

Before and after the course, the teachers took a 40-question assessment. In the test, researchers asked teachers to use words, pictures or symbols to describe a strategy that elementary school students with learning disabilities might use to solve a problem. They compared teachers' responses to see how well they anticipated students' thinking, and also how they might modify tasks for students.

After the course, they saw more anticipation of what they called 'implicit action', which is using strategies like counting, halving, grouping, or predicting the number of people sharing a certain item to solve a problem. It's often represented by pictures or words. Before the test, many teachers used 'static representations' in which they used mathematical expressions to show solutions. While static representations are abstract representations of solutions, researchers argued implicit actions can reflect how students with learning disabilities themselves might work through a problem.

They found teachers' use of implicit action increased from 32 percent to 82 percent of answers before and after the test, while static representation decreased from 50 percent of answers to 17 percent. Their responses didn't add up to 100 percent because some teachers left some answers blank.

"The course helped teachers move from a top-down, one-size-fits-all view of 'this is how you solve these problems', to an anticipation of how actual students who are learning these concepts for the first time might think through these problems," Hunt said. "That's a very different stance in terms of educating teachers to anticipate <u>student</u> thinking so they can meet it with responsive instruction."



Researchers also tracked how teachers modified math problems to make them more accessible tostudents before and after taking the course. After participating in the course, researchers saw thatmore teachers changed the problem type. They saw a shift in 50 percent of answers.

"The benefit of anticipating students' thinking is to help teachers to be responsive and support students' prior knowledge as they're teaching, which is a really hard thing to do," Hunt said. "It's even harder if you don't yet appreciate what that thinking could be."

More information: Jessica H. Hunt et al, Special educators' knowledge of student mathematical thinking, *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education* (2021). DOI: 10.1007/s10857-021-09508-1

Provided by North Carolina State University

Citation: Training helps teachers anticipate how students with learning disabilities might solve problems (2021, July 12) retrieved 29 March 2023 from <u>https://phys.org/news/2021-07-teachers-students-disabilities-problems.html</u>

This document is subject to copyright. Apart from any fair dealing for the purpose of private study or research, no part may be reproduced without the written permission. The content is provided for information purposes only.